INTRODUCTION

Entrepreneurial orientation represents strategy making processes that provide organizations with a basis for entrepreneurial decisions and actions (Rauch and Wiklund, 2009). It encompasses specific organizational-level behavior to perform risk-taking, self-directed activities, engage in innovation and react proactively and aggressively to outperform the competitors in the marketplace and hence enhances firm performance (Lumpkin and Dess, 1996; Hakala, 2011). The academic interest in entrepreneurship has virtually exploded in recent years, especially in developing economies of the world including Nigeria. For example, (Rauch, 2014; Covin Green, 2006) averred that the number of studies on EO and performance increased more than five-fold in the past decade compared to the previous one. At the same time, the field is struggling with establishing a common body of knowledge. Does the concept represent a promising area for building such a body of knowledge? Controversies and conflicting results on how it relates to performance and the dimensionality of the construct hamper further development. Moreover, moderators have not yet been sufficiently emphasized in literature. This situation-controversy, different results, lack of research on moderators, conceptual imprecision, and a substantial number of empirical studies suggest that meta-analysis is a promising way forward and a natural next step (Soininen, 2013). In a study carried out on Malaysia public enterprises by Sumon, et al. (2010), the researchers agreed with Wiklund (1999) who stated that Scholars and practitioners often associate the entrepreneurial orientation (EO) of a firm with private owned business entities. Within the context of organizational entrepreneurship, research shows that EO of a
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firm has a significant relationship with its performance (Wiklund, 1999). EO is the demonstration of a firm’s innovativeness, pro-activeness and risk-taking (Covin and Slevin, 1989). On the other hand, the overall performance of public enterprises in Malaysia continues to be a major concern. Perhaps, the underperformance of these enterprises is due to low degree of their entrepreneurial orientation. Innovativeness portrays organizational willingness and a tendency to achieve the desired innovation demonstrated in terms of behaviours, strategies, activities and processes. As a consequence, innovativeness usually result in new products/services or changes in service/product lines, developing new R&D processes, new methods of production, developing new systems/applications or introducing as well as implementing new procedures. Accordingly, the impact of organizational innovativeness on its performance depends on the degree of innovation that is being pursued. It has been argued that more substantial and radical types of innovation tend to have a significant impact on organizational overall performance, while incremental innovation seems to have a low and short term impacts because such innovation usually concentrate on minor or process improvement initiatives or activities. Given this, when there is a major disruption occurs, organizations concentrating too much on incremental innovation initiatives may find themselves less competitive and lack of sustainability.

Traditional EO theory incorporates three core dimensions; risk-taking, pro-activeness and innovativeness (Covin and Slevin, 1989, Sumon, et al., 2012). The extent to which an organization is entrepreneurial, in the sense of taking risks and creating new products, manufacturing techniques and markets (Schumpeter, 1934), has been found to have significant and beneficial consequences for the performance of a large variety of western firms (Rauch, Wiklund, Frese and Lumpkin, 2009) and business units (Wales, Monsen & McKelvie, 2011). As is well known, these are often but not always embedded within business groups, and may have a significant degree of family control (Khanna & Yafeh, 2005, Sumon, et al., 2012). The analysis of the relationship between EO and the performance of firms in this context enables us to provide a point of comparison with "western" EO strategies (e.g. Miller & Le Breton Miller, 2011). Hence, bricolage – combining existing knowledge to match specific needs and conditions – may supplement innovation as the key driver of business performance in these business contexts. Relying more heavily on pro-activeness, the third element of EO, may more than substitute for the weaker impact of innovativeness in eastern emerging markets. When considering corporate strategies in eastern emerging market firms, one must also take into account the greater variety of ownership arrangements compared with western economies, most significantly the widespread prevalence of business groups as well as conventional independent private firms and state owned ones.

In a study on changing world of Business in South Africa, Petzer (2012) stated that “In the African regulatory environment, financial institutions are much more exposed to scrutiny and regulations than ever before, and this is said to inhibit the development of entrepreneurial orientation and consequently hinders that performance of corporate firms.

In another study in South Africa, (Kroop et al., 2006) discovered that international entrepreneurial business venture performance is positively related to the innovative component of EO. And, exploring the entrepreneurial underpinning of low export involvement level of manufacturing firms in Nigeria, (Kelvin and Young, 2006) discovered from the study of a 78-firm representative sample that high export entrepreneurial firm are typically more innovative in developing export, less averse to exporting risk and have more proactive motivations for export. Investigations, however, show that majority of studies carried out in Nigeria are on the following areas: exports (Kevin, and Young, (2006), Kevin (2010). Entrepreneurial burnout (Shepherd et al, 2010) and the role of technology in firms’ performance (Prodromos et al., 2011).

However, available evidence shows that Nigerians are not lacking in EO traits. The Igbo in the East, commonly likened to the Kikuyus of Kenya, the Ijebus and the Ijeshas of the South Western Nigeria have exhibited certain entrepreneurial traits over the years. For example, the Ijeshas are regarded as the ‘Osoamaols’ of Nigeria. The appellation was initially a term of abuse to characterize the aggressive Ijesh textilc traders (Aluko, 1993 and Namusonge, 1998). The word ‘Osoamaol’ is tied to the process of debt collection by the traders. It simply means ‘I will not sit down until I have collected my money’, showing an inflexible determination to succeed in the face of all odds. It also can be interpreted as ‘I will not allow bad debts to cripple my business’. So, undoubtedly, this posture constitutes a form of aggressiveness and pro-activeness, which are components of Entrepreneurial Orientation. According to Campos et al. (2013), firms with higher Entrepreneurial Orientation (EO) perform better, however, an important message from past research efforts is that this relationship is more complex. The notion that the relationship between an EO and performance is different for many types of businesses, especially small business, is not new. There are two explanations for these inconclusive results: the performance implication of EO is context-specific and the relationship between EO and performance is moderated by internal factors.

In a study of 164 small businesses in Mexico, Campos, et al (2013) discovered that performance was positively influenced by its EO, but the findings also indicate that time orientation moderates the relationship. The EO-performance link is stronger for long-term orientation than for short-term orientation. Campos et al., 2013 concluded their study by stating that it is necessary to continue the study of EO and its peculiarities in the small businesses context because these businesses acquire certain peculiarities that distinguish them from the large company. The internal contingent factors may be helpful to better understand the relationship between EO and firm performance. This work was supported by the concept of dominant logic, which in the context of a small business is essential. In the same vein, through the concept of dominant logic, future research can contribute to a greater understanding in regard to decision making within a company. This in turn could generate benefits for both theory and practice. Quoting Miller (1983), Campos et al., 2013 agreed that there are three dimensions of EO that have been used consistently in the
literature: innovativeness, risk taking and pro-activeness. Lumpkin and Dess (1978) added aggressiveness and pro-active posture as components of EO.

The Nigerian Capital market represents the arm of the Nigerian financial system that is responsible for the listing, supervision and management of business in Nigeria. It came into existence in 1960 under the nomenclature of Lagos Stock Exchange (LSE) and later came to be known as the Nigerian Stock Exchange (NSE) in December 1977. NSE began operations in 1961 other branches that were later opened include: Kaduna (1978), Port Harcourt (1980), Kano (1989), Onitsha (February 1990), Ibadan (August 1990), Abuja (October 1999), Yola (April 2002), Benin (January 2005), Ilo (2007), Ilorin (2008), and Abeokuta (2008). The NSE continues to evolve to meet the needs of its valued customers, and to achieve the highest level of competitiveness. With about 200 companies and 258 Securities listed, the Exchange operates fair, orderly and transparent markets that bring together the best of African enterprises and the local and global investor communities. The Nigerian Stock Exchange is currently championing the acceleration of Africa's economic development and poised to become ‘the Gateway to African Markets’. The objective of this paper is to examine the relationship between aggressiveness and performance of firms on the Nigerian Stock Exchange.

Theories on Entrepreneurship

Schumpeter’s Theory

The theory of entrepreneurship innovation was propounded by Joseph Schumpeter (1949). According to him, entrepreneurs help the process of development in an economy, entrepreneurs are the people who are innovative, creative, and with foresight in a given community. Schumpeter went further and added that innovation occurs when the entrepreneur introduce a new product or a new production system, open a new market, discover a new source of raw materials or introduce a new organization in to the industry. He further stated that entrepreneurship is about combining resources in a new way such as introducing new products, new method of production, identify new source or source(s) of raw materials/inputs and setting a new standard either in the market or the industry that alters the equilibrium in the economic system. However, Schumpeter’s entrepreneurs are, essentially, large scale businessmen/women which are common in the advanced economies. The class of entrepreneurs common in developing countries are entrepreneurs who needs to imitate, rather than innovate to survive.

McClelland’s Theory

The theory of high achievement motivation was propounded by McClelland. Here, he identified two characteristics of entrepreneurship, namely: (1) Doing things in a new and better way and (2) Making decisions under uncertainty. He stated further that people with high achievement motivation were likely to become entrepreneurs. That these people are not influenced by money or external incentive, but consider profit making in any venture as a measure of success or competency. Achievement motivation can be measured by the achievement motivation inventory which is a drive that is developed from emotional state. One may feel to achieve by get striving for success and avoiding failure. Another theory developed by McClelland was the theory of Acquired Needs motivation. He categorized a person’s needs into three; (1) Need for Achievement- success with individuals own effort (2) Need for Power- need to dominate and influence others, and, (3) Need for Affiliation-to maintain friendly relations with others. McClelland concluded by stating that the need for achievement is essential for successful new entrepreneurship.

McClelland also carried out an experiment which is popularly known as Kakinada findings. The study was conducted in an industrial town in Andhra Pradesh between January and March 1964. In that study, young adults were selected and put through three months training program at Small Industry Extension Training Institute (SIETI). The program was designed to induce achievement motivation in them. The program subjected the trainee to control their thinking and talk to themselves positively, imagined themselves in need for challenge to succeed, set planned and achievable goals, strive to get concrete and frequent feedback and imitate their role model. The experiment revealed the following results (1) Traditional belief do not inhibit an entrepreneur or destroy entrepreneurial orientation (2) Sustainable training can supply the required motivation to an entrepreneur (3) Achievement motivation has a positive impact on performance of participant. The general conclusion was that it was the Kakinada studies that made people realize the importance of EDP-Entrepreneurial Development Program.

Aggressiveness-Performance Model

Aggressiveness

This connotes a trait in a firm that is reflected in its propensity to face up to and challenge its rivals directly and intensely and to outperform them in the marketplace. These include the use of strategies such as low price, differentiation, and targeting a competitor’s weaknesses (Lumpkin and Dess, 1996), or in outspending competitors on marketing, product service and quality, sales promotion, advertising or
manufacturing capacity (Oscar, 2013). Furthermore, organizations, in their pursuit for aggressive growth, exhibit a clear and pronounced strategic focus of ‘beating the competitors’. The push strategy of these sales oriented firms are seldom successful and in fact impede market success in the long run (Wang, 2008). According to Nonaka and Takeuchi (1985), Japanese companies remain an enigma to most managers in the western world today. Not because they are terribly efficient or liberated. Yet, slowly but surely they have advanced their position in international market and international competitive environment. Their success is not based on their production and staff management philosophy like seniority system, cheap capital sources, cooperative relationship with staff and customers, but on skills and expertise at organizational knowledge creation i.e capability of a company as a whole to create new knowledge, disseminate it throughout the organization and embody it in production and services systems. It involves distinctive way of innovation on a continuous basis, incrementally, and spirally (Nonaka and Takeuchi, 1985). Continuous innovation is achieved by looking outside and in to the future, anticipate changes in the market, technology, products and competition, gather information from customers, competitors, government agencies, analysts in times of uncertainty and turbulence, get involved in innovation and adaptation to gain competitive advantage in the market place.

Methodology

The target population is 176 firms listed in Nigerian Stock Exchange with financial returns as at August, 2014. Out of the population, a sample of 60 firms were selected. Secondary data collection instruments were applied on the sampled firms. Tools used in the analysis included statistical mean, standard deviation and regression coefficient.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>PR</th>
<th>RR</th>
<th>FR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Aggressiveness</td>
<td>0.147</td>
<td>0.145</td>
<td>0.081</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Constant</td>
<td>(-0.152)</td>
<td>(-0.152)</td>
<td>(-0.19)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R2</td>
<td>0.427</td>
<td>0.434</td>
<td>6.287**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>0.025</td>
<td>1.824</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**DISCUSSION AND IMPLICATIONS**

This paper is a quantitative investigation in respect of the relationship between firms aggressiveness and performance of firms listed in Nigerian Stock Exchange (NSE). The objectives of the paper was specifically, to establish the role of aggressiveness on performance of firms listed in the Nigerian Stock Exchange; This paper sought to answer the question of what is the relationship between firms aggressiveness and performance in the context of Quoted firms in Nigeria. The components of aggressiveness include: Knowledge creation, Dissemination of new knowledge, and continuous innovation. As noted by Osoro (2012) that certain learning related factors did potentially contributed to shaping EO and contribute significantly to increase in firms earnings in Kenya, this study also discovered that in Nigeria, there is a significant and positive relationship between aggressiveness and ROA, and between aggressiveness and ROE. This finding replicates Otieno (2012) findings among manufacturing firms operating under the EAC (East African Community) in Kenya, where there existed a positive relationship between EO adoption and performance of those firms. However, it negates Adetebi and Aberejo (2007) study that concluded that EO was at infancy stage among Nigerian firms.

Conclusion

As concluded by Ebingra (2012) entrepreneurship development especially innovation and aggressiveness in Nigeria is at the peak of awareness, creation and participation by the people, the organized private sector and government at all levels. Policies of government should shift to address the problems of infrastructural decay and finance. The problem of power supply is still very much an issue while credit framework via micro-financing banks should be put in place to assist entrepreneurs with soft loans when still in their infancy. Innovation by Nigerian entrepreneurs brings about technical progress through capital-saving, efficient production techniques and higher levels of output or economic growth. These entrepreneurs stimulate growth in various enterprises and industrial organizations. However, Nigerian entrepreneurs still face problems and challenges in their struggle for innovation and technical progress.
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