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ABSTRACT

With the current advancements quality-of-life of individuals has become a focal theme in the development of advanced techniques for health care related modules and particularly to recover from cardiac abnormalities. A PSO-PID controller is designed for the dual-sensor based pacemaker to meet the desired performance specifications by using PSO optimization algorithm. The gain parameters of the PID controller are designed and applied to the controller system. The closed loop response of the controller is observed for ISE, IAE, IATE and MSE error criteria. A comparison of system performance observed for all four criteria. Comparison with the conventional PID control algorithm, PID tuned with BF-PSO based optimization techniques provide a more suitable control strategy to determine a pacing rate in order to achieve a closer match between actual heart rate and a desired profile. Simulation results confirm that the proposed advanced design is effective for heartbeat recovery. It is observed that this study will be helpful not only for treatment of cardiac diseases but also for improving the performance of implantable medical devices.

INTRODUCTION

In order to prevent the sudden death of a patient, early and accurate diagnosis and medical treatment of heart diseases are very important. Electrocardiogram (ECG) is a very popular and simple and non invasive tool (also well recommended by WHO) for diagnosing the cardiac abnormalities. The contractions of heart muscles give rise to the familiar ECG waveform. Normally rhythm of heart is synchronized by natural pacemaker of heart, Sino Atrial node. If there is any problem in conduction system of heart, a pacemaker is used. It is a medical device that applies an electrical impulse, delivered by electrodes contacting the heart muscles if any ambiguity in the heart rate which may occur due to changes in electrical activity of the heart. There are several researchers who have developed the control system to bring working of heart to normal condition, i.e. normal rhythm condition in the recent few years. A first cardiovascular system with transfer function model was proposed by Biswas et al., (2006). The Propotional Integral controller (PI) had been designed and demonstrated through simulation for closed loop pacemaker model by Inbar et al., for regulating the mixing venous oxygen saturation level (Gideon et al., 1988). In continuation of above control algorithm Sugiuara et al., (1991) developed a fuzzy approach to control HR using an artificial cardiac pacemaker regulated by respiratory rate and temperature and it is concluded from the results that the fuzzy method is well suited for the application (Sugiura et al., 1991). One more control algorithm designed using fuzzy logic controller for rate-adaptive heart pacemaker and proposed by Wojtasik, et al., (2000). Further several other authors have designed a family of fuzzy logic controllers for rate-adaptive cardiac pacemakers. Shin et al. proposed a neuro-fuzzy controller to study the rate adaptive pacemaker by motion and respiration. It is observed that the neuro-fuzzy inferred HR is more accurate than the one using normal fuzzy table look-up method (Shin et al., 2000). The implemented algorithm offers good adaptation to the change in HR according to physiological needs of the patient and easy personalization. It is also noted that other researchers have also reported different algorithms for cardiac pacing (Alt et al., 1999; Jaworski et al., 2000; Dipali Bansal et al., 2009; Werner et al., 1999). Recently, Neogi et al. worked on simulation aspect of an artificial pacemaker. The authors have designed and analysed a control system for regulating the HR using pacemaker in an efficient way. The design emphasizes on the optimality of the developed system (Neogi et al., 2010).

From the literatures, it is noted that the need for improved performance of the pacemaker has led to the development of model based controllers. Well-designed conventional Proportional, Integral and Derivative (PID) controllers are the most widely used controller in the chemical process industries because of their simplicity, robustness and successful practical
applications. Many tuning methods have been proposed for PID controllers. Many tuning methods have been proposed for obtaining better PID controller parameter settings. The comparison of various tuning methods for cardiac pacemaker is analysed using simulation software. Also efficiency of various PID controller are investigated for different performance metrics such as Integral Square Error (ISE), Integral Absolute Error (IAE), Integral Time absolute Error (ITAE), and Mean square Error (MSE) is presented and simulation is carried out. Work in this paper explores basic concepts, mathematics, and design aspect of PID controller. Comparison between the PID controller and PSO optimization based control techniques will have been carried out to determine the best controller for the cardiac system is concerned.

**PID controller**

The controller consists of Proportional, Integral and Derivative gains and the PID feedback control system is illustrated in Fig. 1 where r, e, y are respectively the reference, error and controlled variables. Where \( K_p \) is proportional gain, \( K_i \) is integral gain and \( K_d \) is derivative gain.

\[
C(s) = K_p + \frac{K_i}{s} + K_ds
\]  
\[
-------- (1)
\]

Where \( K_p, K_i, K_d \) are the parameters of the PID controllers that are going to be tuned using PSO algorithm.

**Neural network based PID control**

Neural network could be used to regulate the parameters of the PID controller. The PID control system based on Back Propagation (BP) neural network was composed by the conventional PID controller and BP neural network and its structure is shown in Figure 2, are regulated by the neural network.

In the diagram of Fig.1, \( G(s) \) is the plant transfer function and \( C(s) \) is the PID controller transfer function that is given as:

\[
C(s) = K_p + \frac{K_i}{s} + K_ds
\]  
\[
-------- (1)
\]

Where \( K_p, K_i, K_d \) are the parameters of the PID controllers that are going to be tuned using PSO algorithm.

![Figure 1. Feedback PID Controller](image1)

![Figure 2. Structure of Neuro Controller](image2)

The BP neural network can contain different hidden layers. But it is theoretically proved that three layered network with unlimited nodes can fit any non linear mapping. The number of input layer neurons is two namely \( I_1 \) and \( I_2 \) that is Heart rate set point (HRd), Heart rate output (HRo). The output of the neural network is PID controller output \( O_1 \) and therefore the number of neurons in the output layer is one. The hidden layer has three neurons and they represented as \( H_1 \) (P-neuron), \( H_2 \) (I-neuron) and \( H_3 \) (D-neuron). According to the structure of neural net diagram in Figure. 3, the actuating error \( HR_{err} \) can be expressed as,

\[
HR_{err} = HR_1 - HRo
\]  
\[
-------- (2)
\]

![Figure 3. Architecture of Neural controller](image3)

In the conventional BP multi-layered neural network, the learning algorithm is the gradient descent algorithm in which the gradient is calculated by back propagation method, according to the rules of windrow-Hoff. BP algorithm is used for weighting coefficients. The aim of the algorithm is to minimize the error in order to recover the system quickly from the effects of the external disturbance by tuning of PID parameter. BP neural network learning algorithm is described as follow:

\[
e_k = 0.5 \ast (HRs - HRo)^2
\]  
\[
-------- (3)
\]

The weights of hidden nodes are adjusted by BPNN algorithm based on steepest descent online training process. It is done in terms of adjusted weights of hidden layer to output layer and input layer to hidden layer. The increments of weight in hidden to output connection are updated by gradient descent method. The responses of both the controllers are given in Figure 4. It is observed from the response that the performance criteria such as peak over shoot, settling time are too high. In order to bring the performance indices within the stipulated limit, the following performance criteria also considered. Also, the two more controllers are designed to minimize the performance criteria.

**Performance evaluation criteria**

Quantification of system performance is achieved through a performance index. The performance selected depends on the process under consideration and is chosen such that emphasis is placed on specific aspects of system performance. Furthermore, performance index is defined as a quantitative measure to depict the system performance of the designed PID controller. Using this technique an ‘optimum system’ can often be designed and a set of PID parameters in the system can be adjusted to meet the required specification. The following performance indexes are used to minimize the overshoot,
settling time, steady state error and reference tracking error for all proposed controllers. For a PID-controlled system, there are often four indices to depict the system performance ISE, IAE, IATE and MSE.

For a PID-controlled system, there are often four indices to depict the system performance ISE, IAE, IATE and MSE.

\[
ISE = \int_0^\infty e^2(t)dt \quad \text{------- (4)}
\]

\[
IAE = \int_0^\infty |e(t)| dt \quad \text{------- (5)}
\]

\[
IATE = \int_0^\infty t|e(t)| dt \quad \text{------- (6)}
\]

\[
MSE = \frac{1}{r} \int_0^\infty te^2(t) dt \quad \text{------- (7)}
\]

**Overview of pso algorithm**

PSO is optimization algorithm based on evolutionary computation technique. A new parameter called inertia weight is added. This is a commonly used PSO where inertia weight is linearly decreasing during iteration in addition to another common type of PSO which is reported by Clerc. The later is the one used in this paper. In PSO, instead of using genetic operators, individuals called as particles are “evolved” by cooperation and competition among themselves through generations. A particle represents a potential solution to a problem. Each particle adjusts its flying according to its own flying experience and its companion flying experience. Each particle is treated as a point in a D-dimensional space. The ith particle is represented as \(X_i=(x_{i1}, x_{i2}, \ldots, x_{id})\). The best previous position (giving the minimum fitness value) of any particle is recorded and represented as \(P_i=(p_{i1}, p_{i2}, \ldots, p_{id})\), this is called \(P_{best}\). The index of the best particle among all particles in the population is represented by the symbol \(g\), called as \(g_{best}\).

The i\(^{th}\) particle in the swarm is represented as

\[X_i = (x_{i1}, x_{i2}, x_{i3}, \ldots, x_{id})\]

The best previous positions of the ith particle is represented as:

\[P_{best} = (P_{besti, 1}, P_{besti, 2}, P_{besti, 3}, \ldots, P_{besti, d})\]

The index of the best particle among the group is \(G_{best}\).

Velocity of the ith particle is represented as \(V_{i} = (V_{i,1}, V_{i,2}, V_{i,3}, \ldots, V_{i,d})\).

The updated velocity and the distance from \(P_{besti,d}\) to \(G_{besti,d}\) is given as:

\[V_{i,m}^{t+1} = W*V_{i,m}^{t} + C_1*\text{rand}()*(P_{besti,m} - X_{i,m}^{t}) + C_2*\text{rand}()*(G_{best,m} - X_{i,m}^{t})\]

\[- X_{i,m}^{t+1} = X_{i,m}^{t} + V_{i,m}^{t+1}\]

For \(i=1,2,3,\ldots,n\), \(m = 1,2,3,\ldots,d\).

where,

\(n\): Number of particles in the group.

\(d\): Dimension index.

\(t\): Pointer of iteration.

\(V_{i,m}^{t}\): Velocity of particle at iteration i.

\(W\): Inertia weight factor.

\(C_1, C_2\): Acceleration Constant.

\(\text{rand}()\): Random number between 0 and 1.

\(X_{i,d}^{t}\): Current position of the particle ‘i’ at iteration.

\(P_{best}\): Best previous position of the ith particle.

\(G_{best}\): Best particle among all the particles in the swarming population.

where \(c_1\) and \(c_2\) are two positive constant. As recommended in Clerc’s PSO, the constants are \(c_1=1.2, c_2=0.5\). While \(\text{rand}()\) is random function between 0 and 1, and is iterated to calculate particle’s new velocity according to its previous velocity and the distances of its current position from its own best experience (position) and the group’s best experience. Then the particle flies toward a new position and the performance of each particle is measured according to a pre-defined fitness function (performance index), which is related to the problem to be solved. Inertia weight, \(w\) is brought into the equation to balance between the global search and local search capability.
Figure 5. Flowchart for PSO algorithm

Figure 6. Response of four different controllers for the Heart rate control
It can be a positive constant or even positive linear or nonlinear function of time. A guaranteed convergence of PSO proposed by Clerc set w=0.9. It has been also shown that PSO with different number of particles (swarm size) has reasonably similar performance. Swarm size of 10-50 is usually selected. Now the PID parameters are tuned for above reduced order system by using PSO algorithm. Fitness Functions are used for designing PID controller ISE, IAE, ITAE and ITSE.

**PSO-PID controller tuning**

The PSO-PID algorithm is applied to tune the parameters Kp, Ki and Kd to meet the system performance criteria and the PSO-PID controller is implemented as detailed in the flowchart. The PSO_PID algorithm was simulated and tested by tuning various parameters like population size, etc.

**RESULTS DISCUSSION**

The intelligent PSO technique gives highly trace over of the conventional PID controller. The response of PSO-PID controller comparing with the response of PID conventional Controller, NN controller, Adaptive neuro controller are as shown in Figure 6. The output responses of PID controller have high peak overshoot, which is not acceptable for the physiology, heart rate. Also it is observed that small change in disturbance the response is highly not satisfactory. Compared to conventional controller, NN controller has better response in view of settling time and sudden disturbance; however the peak overshoot was still high. The adaptive NN controller is well better, but still a peak overshoot of 3.4% is observed. The PSO-PID controller has high tracking between input and output for any simulation time response and the output follows the input, that is the reference. It implies that the response has not any distortion or tracking error.

**CONCLUSION**

Heart Rate signals are used as a reliable indicator of heart diseases. These HR signals form the basis of functioning of a pacemaker. Pacemaker performance depends not only on sensors and the pacemaker circuitry but also on the performance of the controller. In the present work a ZN tuned PID controller was designed and the performance criteria are not satisfactory. Then the PID controller system using PSO algorithm is designed to control to improve the performance of the system. It is observed from the response of PSO based controller that all the parameters (rise time, settling time, maximum overshoot are within the limits and a smooth and satisfactory response is obtained. Therefore it is concluded that the overall performance of Bio inspired tuned PID is better as compared to the PID controller.
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