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ABSTRACT

In today’s world the demand for biomedical telemetry is increasing day by day. The idea we are putting forward is an ‘Implantable antenna for biomedical application’. Miniaturization is the major concern for implantable antennas in biomedical application. The major issue with miniaturization is the degradation of various antenna parameters. In order to meet these limitation several techniques were employed to achieve miniaturization in this paper, viz, reducing the antenna dimension, inducing irregularity in the patch, inclusion of wing and circular patch and addition of Rogers RT-Duroid. In the proposed idea we are evaluating the two different cases of the antenna, one without wing and circular patch and the other with wing and circular patch, using the HFSS software. For biomedical applications the United States Federal communication commission has assigned the MICS band whose frequency ranges from 402-405MHz. We are using the upper C band for the operation of implantable antenna which is higher than the MICS band in order to increase the bit rate. The resultant antenna has a dimension of $14.64 \times 13.06 \times 0.376$ mm$^3$ with maximum return loss of -34.1 db.
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INTRODUCTION

Implantable antennas are widely used in wireless telemetry applications. After the introduction of implantable pacemakers it has undertaken a drastic change that makes it feasible for use with current technologies especially with the outline of antenna based telemetry (Constantine Balanis, 2005). This implantable device is helpful in diagnosis, monitoring and transmission of patient allied data to nearby base stations. Recently MICS band (402-405MHz) has been assigned which is regulated by the United States Federal Communications Commission (FCC) and the European Radio communications Committee for bi directional biotelemetry operations (Shankar Bhattacharjee et al., 2015). The antenna size should be small enough for implanting. Reducing the size of the antenna has a direct effect on the resonance frequency and several other parameters (Shankar Bhattacharjee et al., 2015). This is due to the change in effective current length path which leads to shifting of the resonant frequency. Several miniaturization tools have been employed earlier like the use of high permittivity dielectric substrate, lengthening of the current flow path on the patch surface, inserting short pins between the ground and the patch plane which upturns the effective size of the antenna, patch stacking technique etc (Constantine Balanis, 2005).

But we are operating the antenna in a higher frequency than MICS band that is in the upper C band (5-8GHz) (FCC, 2002). With the rise in frequency bandwidth also rises which leads to higher bit rate between the implant and the base station (Asimina Kioriti et al., 2012). But with the increase in bandwidth the noise level also increases, which affects the efficiency of the communication channel. So a conciliation between gain and bandwidth has to be achieved in order to have better design.

Antenna design

The design process of the micro strip antenna for biomedical application need some basic parameters fixed such as the height of the substrate, dielectric constant of the substrate and the operating frequency (Constantine Balanis, 2005).

The design equations are used for calculation of length and width of the patch

\[ W = \frac{1}{2\varepsilon_0 \mu_0} \sqrt{\frac{2}{\varepsilon_0+1}} \sqrt{\frac{c}{2\varepsilon_0}} \sqrt{\frac{2}{\varepsilon_r+1}} \] \hspace{1cm} (1)

\[ \varepsilon_{\text{reff}} = \frac{\varepsilon_r+1}{2} + \frac{\varepsilon_r-1}{2\varepsilon(1+\frac{1}{\varepsilon})} \] \hspace{1cm} (1a)

\[ \Delta L = 0.412 \ h \left( \frac{\varepsilon_{\text{reff}}+0.3}{\varepsilon_{\text{reff}}+0.25} \right) \left( \frac{W}{\lambda_{\text{ef}}+0.26} \right) \] \hspace{1cm} (1b)
\[ L = \frac{c}{2f_r\sqrt{\varepsilon_{\text{reff}}}} - 2\Delta L \quad \text{................................. (2)} \]

Where

- \( W \) = width of the patch
- \( C \) (\( v_0 \)) = speed of light in vacuum
- \( f_0 \) = operating frequency
- \( \mu_0 \) = free space permeability
- \( \varepsilon_0 \) = free space permittivity
- \( \varepsilon_r \) = relative permittivity
- \( \varepsilon_{\text{reff}} \) = effective permittivity
- \( \Delta L \) = increase in length
- \( h \) = height of the substrate
- \( L \) = length of the patch

The equations 1&2 are used to find the width and length of the patch. After finding the effective permittivity, the increase in length is calculated there by calculating the length of the patch.

**Ground plane:**

\[ L_g \geq \left( \frac{c}{f_r} \right) \times 2 + L \quad \text{................................. (3)} \]
\[ W_g \geq \left( \frac{c}{f_r} \right) \times 2 + W \quad \text{................................. (4)} \]
\[ \lambda = \frac{c}{f_r\sqrt{\varepsilon_{\text{reff}}}} \quad \text{................................. (5)} \]

\( L_g \) = Length of the ground plane
\( W_g \) = Width of the ground plane
\( \lambda \) = Wavelength

By using the equations 3&4 we find the length and breadth of the ground and it is same for the substrate. It will be calculated after finding the value of \( \lambda \) using the equation 5

**Proposed antenna**

In this paper a C-slotted rectangular microstrip patch antenna is considered. Fig.1 shows the geometry of the first proposed antenna while Fig.2 shows the geometry of the second proposed antenna. The antenna is fed using microstrip feedline connected to a 50Ω impedance (Shankar Bhattacharjee et al., 2015).

![Figure 1. Microstrip patch antenna without wing and circular patch](image)

In case 1, a patch antenna of silicon substrate having dielectric constant of 11.9 is used. The patch is made up of gold material and a slot is made over the patch.

**Table 1. Dimensions & permittivity of materials used for case 1**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material</th>
<th>Permittivity</th>
<th>Length (mm)</th>
<th>Width (mm)</th>
<th>Height (mm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Substrate</td>
<td>silicon</td>
<td>11.7</td>
<td>14.64</td>
<td>13.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ground</td>
<td>Pec</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Lg=14.64</td>
<td>Wg=13.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patch</td>
<td>Gold</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>L=4.9</td>
<td>W=3.59</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In the above case the substrate used for antenna has a dimension of 14.64 × 13.06 × 0.275mm³. Silicon substrate is used with a dielectric of 11.7. The material used for ground is the perfect conductor (pec) with dimension 14.64 × 13.06 × 0.1mm³.

![Figure 2. Microstrip patch antenna with wing & circular patch](image)

In the second case all the earlier case configuration are made same. A pair of wing patch and a circular patch is laid over the same plane, adjacent to the main patch as shown in the Figure 2. With the addition of wing and circular patch the length of the effective current path increases and thereby obtaining better return loss.

**Table 2. Dimensions & permittivity of materials used for case 2**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material</th>
<th>Permittivity</th>
<th>Length (mm)</th>
<th>Width (mm)</th>
<th>Height (mm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Substrate</td>
<td>Silicon</td>
<td>11.7</td>
<td>14.64</td>
<td>13.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ground</td>
<td>Pec</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>14.64</td>
<td>13.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patch</td>
<td>Gold</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>3.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wing patch</td>
<td>Rogers/RT duroid</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>5.39</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Circular patch</td>
<td>Rogers/RT duroid</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>Radius=1.12mm</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Here also a silicon substrate with dimension 14.64 × 13.06 × 0.275mm³ is used. The material used for ground is the perfect conductor (pec) with dimension 14.64 × 13.06 × 0.1mm³. In addition to this we are adding wing and circular patch made of Rogers/RT duroid with dielectric 2.2. The dimension of wing patch is 3.59 × 0.7mm² and circular patch has a radius of 1.12mm.

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

The designed antenna is stimulated in ANSYS HFSS (high frequency simulation software) software. The results of the two proposed designs were compared and it is then evaluated.
The $s_{11}$ parameter plot an idea about the radiation properties of the antenna. Desirable return loss should be maintained less than -10 dB throughout the operating frequency. In our first case the antenna has a maximum return loss of -17.5 dB at a resonant frequency of 6.325 GHz. In our second case where certain improvement have been made over the first case; It is seen that the antenna has a returning loss of -34.1 dB at a resonant frequency of 5.9 GHz. In the second case, wing and circular patch lead to the shifting of resonant frequency along with improved return loss due to increase in effective current path [2]. The wing patch and the main patch has no direct connection, but coupled through a capacitance (Shankar Bhattacharjee et al., 2015).

An antenna radiation pattern is defined as a mathematical function or a graphical representation of the radiation properties of the antenna as a function of space coordinates. It describes how an antenna directs the energy it radiates and it is determined in the far field region.
Conclusion

Rectangular microstrip patch antenna with quarter wavelength feedline was designed and simulated in HFSS13.0. Miniaturization techniques employed resulted in the increase of effective current path which in turn reduce the resonant frequency as well as the return loss. The $s_{11}$ parameter for case 1 was found to be -17.5dB at resonant frequency 6.325GHz, while for case 2 it was -34.1dB at 5.9GHz. VSWR for both cases were found to be between 1 & 2. It produces an omnidirectional radiation pattern within the upper e-band range having a bandwidth around 1GHz in both cases.
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