



RESEARCH ARTICLE

EFFECT OF MINERAL FERTILIZERS ON FRUIT YIELD AND NUTRITIONAL QUALITY OF TOMATO VARIETY (*Lycopersicon lycopersicum* Mill) IN OGBOMOSO AND MOKWA, NIGERIA

^{1,*}Tswanya, M. N., ²Olaniyi, J. O. and ¹Onyenekwe, P. C.

¹Biotechnology Advanced Research Centre, Sheda Science and Technology Complex, P.M.B. 186, Garki-Abuja

²Department of Agronomy, Faculty of Agricultural Sciences, Ladoke Akintola, University of Technology, P.M.B 4000, Ogbomoso, Oyo State, Nigeria

ARTICLE INFO

Article History:

Received 12th April, 2017
Received in revised form
15th May, 2017
Accepted 17th June, 2017
Published online 31st July, 2017

Key words:

Tomato,
Mineral fertilizers,
Rates,
Growth,
Yield,
Nutritional quality.

ABSTRACT

Field experiment was conducted at the Teaching and Research Farm, Ladoke Akintola University of Technology, Ogbomoso and Niger State College of Agriculture, Mokwa, in 2013 cropping season to examine the effects of mineral fertilizers on fruit yield and nutritional qualities of tomato variety. The experiment had twenty seven fertilizer treatments namely: nitrogen (0, 30 and 60 in kg N ha⁻¹), phosphorus (0, 25 and 50 kg P₂O₅ ha⁻¹) potassium (0, 16.5 and 33 kg K₂O ha⁻¹) and their combinations, replicated three times. Treatment without fertilizer served as control. The experiment was laid out in Randomized Complete Block Design (RCBD). Data were collected on plant height, number of flowers, number of fruits and total fruit yield. The determination of fruit phytochemical contents at full ripening, 6 fruit samples were randomly selected per plot and analysed for nutritional qualities such as crude Protein, Carotene, Iron, Phosphorus, Potassium, Calcium, Lycopene, Magnesium, Vitamin C contents. Data was analysed using analysis of variance (ANOVA) SAS package and treatment means compared using Duncan multiple range test at 5 % probability level. External input of mineral fertilizer improved tomato yield and nutritional quality of tomato. Application of 60 kg N ha⁻¹ + 50 kg P₂O₅ ha⁻¹ + 33 kg K₂O ha⁻¹ gave the highest fruit yield (27.81 t ha⁻¹) while control plot had the least (9.96 t ha⁻¹). Nutritional qualities (Lycopene, phosphorus, potassium) were best at fertilizer rates of 60 kg N ha⁻¹ + 50 kg P₂O₅ ha⁻¹ + 33 kg K₂O ha⁻¹. In conclusion, external input of mineral fertilizer is necessary to improve tomato yield and nutritional quality. Plants fertilized with 60 kg N ha⁻¹ + 50 kg P₂O₅ ha⁻¹ + 33 kg K₂O ha⁻¹ responded better than other rates and therefore can be recommended for farmers to increase crop production within the study areas.

Copyright©2017, Tswanya et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Citation: Tswanya, M. N., Olaniyi, J. O. and Onyenekwe, P. C. 2017. "Effect of mineral fertilizers on fruit yield and nutritional quality of tomato variety (*Lycopersicon lycopersicum* Mill) in Ogbomoso and Mokwa, Nigeria", *International Journal of Current Research*, 9, (07), 54282-54288.

INTRODUCTION

Tomato (*Lycopersicon lycopersicum*) belongs to the solanaceae family. It originated in Peru and Mexico, in the present day Central and South America from where it spread to other parts of the world (Zeidan, 2005). Tomato reached Europe from Mexico in the 16th century, and was initially used as ornamental plant. Its cultivation for edible fruits started at the end of the 18th century. Tomato was introduced to West Africa and Nigeria in particular, at the end of the 19th century (Villareal, 1980). It is currently considered to be one of the main vegetable crops in the world, and constitutes an economic force that influences the income of many growers in the world (Omar, 2005). In Nigeria tomato also finds its way into almost every kitchen. Tomato crop is very important in terms of diet

and economy in Nigeria both during the rainy season (rainfed) and dry season using irrigation facilities. It is used as a condiment in stews and soup or eaten raw in salads. Industrially, the crop is made into puree, sauce, paste and powder (Balarabe, 2012). Although the use of improved varieties along with fertilizer application have increased tomato production in the tropics, the full potential of the crop has not been achieved when compared to the temperate countries where fruits yield could be as high as 52.80 t ha⁻¹ (FAO, 2000). The low yield of 10 t ha⁻¹ obtained in the tropics has been attributed to several factors including high temperatures, high humidity, excessive rainfall (FAO, 2006), lack of appropriate varieties (Olaniyi, 2010) and cultural practices (Znidarcic et al., 2003). Fertilizer recommendation for tomato in Nigeria often appears as straight nitrogen (N), phosphorus (P), or potassium (K) applied as Urea, Single Super Phosphate and Muriate of potash respectively (Anon. 2002). Yet it is much more convenient for the farmers to apply

*Corresponding author: Tswanya, M. N.

Biotechnology Advanced Research Centre, Sheda Science and Technology Complex, P.M.B. 186, Garki-Abuja

fertilizer-nutrient needs in one single formulation. The use of mineral fertilizer has also been very widely adopted by farmers. Infact, over 70% of all fertilizer used in Nigeria today is in the form of NPK 15-15-15. The problem with too much reliance on NPK 15-15-15 is that this fertilizer has low N and P content (Anon, 2002). Nitrogen is the most limiting nutrient in the guinea savanna zones (Enwezor *et al.*, 1990; Aduayi *et al.*, 2002). The soils of this zone are low in organic matter, poorly buffered and are of low activity clay (LAC) with Kaolinite as dominant clay fraction, therefore cations and water retention capacities are low (Enwezor *et al.*, 1990; Odunze, 2006). Application of N as fertilizer to soils in the savanna is therefore essential in order to achieve high crop yields of good quality. The current tomato production systems require high levels of N and irrigation for optimum growth. Nitrogen has a pronounced effect on growth and development of tomato (Upendra *et al.*, 2003).

Modern tomato cultivars and hybrids exhibit high relative growth rates and therefore rely on adequate supply of nitrogen and phosphorus for optimal development and high yield. The relative growth rate of tomato increases sharply with increasing plant P concentration when the latter is below the critical level of adequacy (de Groot *et al.*, 2002). Tomato fruits absorb high amounts of K from the soil. With optimum nutrition, nutrient uptake increases rapidly during the fruit growth period. Adequate K supply is important to several plant processes among them enzyme activation, photosynthesis, osmoregulation and phloem transport determining the fruit yield. In low K soil it is not possible to obtain high tomato yields without K fertilizer (Huett and Dettmann, 1988). Despite many investigations in the area of nutrition, knowledge on how mineral fertilizers influence physical and phytochemical contents of tomato fruit is insufficient. This study determined plant growth, fruit yield and nutritional qualities of tomato variety in Ogbomoso and Mokwa, Nigeria as affected by mineral fertilizers.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The experiment was conducted at two locations; Teaching and Research Farm, Ladoke Akintola University of Technology, Ogbomoso (8°10'N; 4°10'E) and Niger State College of Agriculture, Mokwa (9° 18'N and 5° 04'E), during 2013 cropping season. The experimental plot was ploughed and harrowed after which lining out was carried out. There were 81 plots with three replications. Each replicate consisted of 27 plots. Each treatment was in a plot size of 2.5 m x 2.0 m (5.0 m²). A plot contained 30 plants. The total experimental area was 850.50 m² (0.085 ha⁻¹). The alley way between replicates was 1.0 m and within replicates was 1.0 m. Tomato seedlings were transplanted at a spacing of 50 cm x 50 cm. UC82B which was the best variety from the previous experiments was used. Three mineral fertilizer types at 3 rates each and their combinations were used. The treatments were laid out in a Randomized Complete Block Design, replicated three times. The seeds were sourced from the Department of Crop Production and Soil Science, Ladoke Akintola University of Technology, Ogbomoso and from the Department of Agricultural Technology, Niger State College of Agriculture, Mokwa. The tomato seeds were sown on nursery beds containing pulverized soil and the seedlings were raised for four weeks before transplanting to the field at the two locations. Watering in the nursery was done as at when needed. Healthy and vigorous seedlings were transplanted into the field

in order to ensure uniformity. Watering was done using watering - can to supplement rainfall. Pesticide in form of cypermethrin was applied at the dosage of 25 ml per 15 litres of knapsack sprayer fortnightly to check caterpillars, worms and grasshoppers. Manual weeding was also carried out using hoe at three weeks interval starting from 2 WAT to reduce competition between weeds and plants. Data were collected on plant height, number of flowers, number of fruits and total fruit yield. The determination of fruit phytochemical contents at full ripening, 6 fruit samples were randomly selected per plot and analysed for nutritional qualities such as crude Protein, Carotene, Iron, Phosphorus, Potassium, Calcium, Lycopene, Magnesium, Vitamin C contents. In order to assess these, 6 fruit samples were collected and dried in an oven at 85°C for 72 hours. The dried fruit samples were separately ground with a Wiley mill, and passed through a 0.5 mm sieve for tissue analysis. Total P was determined by the Vanadomolybdate method, K and Ca was determined by the flame photometry and Mg and Fe were determined by atomic absorption spectrophotometer (IITA, 1989). Total N was analyzed by the micro-Kjeldahl procedure as described by IITA (1989) and crude protein was obtained by multiplying the total N by a factor of 6.25. Data collected were subjected to Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) using SAS statistical package. Treatment means were separated using Duncan multiple range test (DMRT) at 5% probability level.

RESULTS

Plants height

The mean plants height of tomato plant is presented in Table 1. The plant height was significantly ($P \leq 0.05$) different at 2, 4 and 6 WAT. At 2 WAT, the highest plant height of 16.1 cm was obtained from the plants treated with 60 kg N ha⁻¹ + 50 kg P₂O₅ ha⁻¹ + 33 kg K₂O ha⁻¹ which was significantly taller than that of 30 kg N ha⁻¹ + 50 kg P₂O₅ ha⁻¹ + 33 kg K₂O ha⁻¹ (14.8 cm) which was also taller than other treatments. While the least mean value (11.8 cm) was observed from un-fertilized plot. At 4 WAT, tomato grown on soil amended with 33 kg K₂O ha⁻¹ produced the tallest height (35.8 cm) which was significantly taller than that of 30 kg N ha⁻¹ + 25 kg P₂O₅ ha⁻¹ + 33 kg K₂O ha⁻¹ which had mean value (34.0 cm). The tomato plants treated with fertilizer of 60 kg N ha⁻¹ + 50 kg P₂O₅ ha⁻¹ + 33 kg K₂O ha⁻¹ (33.5 cm) and 25 kg P₂O₅ ha⁻¹ (33.3 cm) had similar height while the least mean value (22.4 cm) was obtained from un-fertilized plot. Likewise, plant height of tomato was significantly ($P \leq 0.05$) influenced by combined fertilizer application rates at 6 WAT. The tallest plant height with the mean value (71.2 cm) was obtained from 60 kg N ha⁻¹ + 50 kg P₂O₅ ha⁻¹ + 33 kg K₂O ha⁻¹. This was followed with the mean value (68.5 cm) received from 50 kg P₂O₅ ha⁻¹ + 16.5 kg K₂O ha⁻¹ and the least mean value (47.6 cm) was obtained from un-fertilized plot.

Number of flowers

The number of flowers of tomato plant was significantly ($P \leq 0.05$) increased by combined fertilizer application rate (Table 2). The tomato plants fertilized with 60 kg N ha⁻¹ + 50 kg P₂O₅ ha⁻¹ + 33 kg K₂O ha⁻¹ (39.8) was significantly higher than that of 60 kg N ha⁻¹ + 50 kg P₂O₅ ha⁻¹ (32.6) which was not significantly different from the mean values of (31.4) received from the plants treated with 33 kg K₂O ha⁻¹, 60 kg N ha⁻¹ + 33 kg K₂O ha⁻¹ (31.1) and 50 kg P₂O₅ ha⁻¹ + 33 kg K₂O ha⁻¹ (31.0).

Table 1. Effect of fertilizer types on the plant height of tomato plants in 2013 cropping season

Fertilizer types (Kg ha ⁻¹)	Plant height (cm)		
	Weeks after transplanting		
	2	4	6
0	11.8f	22.4g	47.6f
30 N	13.2cdef	25.8g	54.5de
60 N	14.0bcd	27.9fg	55.3cde
25 P ₂ O ₅	12.5ef	33.3bc	60.8bc
50 P ₂ O ₅	13.4bcde	29.5def	55.9cde
16.5 K ₂ O	14.5bc	31.0de	59.8bcd
33K ₂ O	13.7bcde	35.8a	62.6b
30N + 25 P ₂ O ₅	14.0bcde	29.1ef	54.8de
30N + 50 P ₂ O ₅	14.2bcd	31.1cde	57.6bcde
30N + 16.5 K ₂ O	12.6ef	32.3bc	47.8f
30N + 33 K ₂ O	13.1cdef	25.8g	52.5f
60N + 25 P ₂ O ₅	14.1bcd	27.9fg	56.3cde
60N + 50 P ₂ O ₅	12.8def	29.0ef	55.3cde
60N + 16.5 K ₂ O	13.4bcde	27.7g	56.8cde
60N + 33 K ₂ O	14.3bcd	29.5def	55.3cde
25 P ₂ O ₅ + 16.5 K ₂ O	13.9bcde	31.7bcd	58.5bcd
25 P ₂ O ₅ + 33 K ₂ O	13.7bcde	27.7fg	56.2cde
50 P ₂ O ₅ + 16.5 K ₂ O	14.7abc	29.6def	68.5a
50 P ₂ O ₅ + 33 K ₂ O	14.2bcd	28.0fg	54.1cde
30N + 25 P ₂ O ₅ + 16.5 K ₂ O	12.8def	29.0ef	55.3cde
30N + 25 P ₂ O ₅ + 33 K ₂ O	14.2bcd	34.0b	59.5bcd
30N + 50 P ₂ O ₅ + 16.5 K ₂ O	13.3bcde	25.8g	54.5de
30N + 50 P ₂ O ₅ + 33 K ₂ O	14.8ab	26.7g	57.7bcde
60N + 25 P ₂ O ₅ + 16.5 K ₂ O	13.7bcde	27.7fg	56.2cde
60N + 25 P ₂ O ₅ + 33 K ₂ O	14.0bcd	27.9fg	55.3cde
60N + 50 P ₂ O ₅ + 16.5 K ₂ O	14.3bcd	29.5def	55.3cde
60N + 50 P ₂ O ₅ + 33 K ₂ O	16.1a	33.5ab	71.2a

Means with the same letter are not significantly different at 5% probability (DMRT)

Table 2. Effect of fertilizer types on flowers and fruit characteristics of tomato in 2013 cropping season

Fertilizer types (Kg ha ⁻¹)	No. of flowers / plant	No. of fruits / plant	Total fruit yield (t/ha)
0	21.9g	19.5j	9.96f
30 N	26.2f	24.0hi	11.24ef
60 N	29.1cde	27.3cdef	12.47bcde
25 P ₂ O ₅	25.3f	23.4i	12.06cde
50 P ₂ O ₅	27.2ef	24.3hi	13.41bc
16.5 K ₂ O	30.0bcd	27.0defg	13.48bc
33 K ₂ O	31.4bc	29.4bc	12.82bcd
30N + 25 P ₂ O ₅	26.1f	24.2hi	12.65bcde
30N + 50 P ₂ O ₅	32.6b	30.2b	13.80b
30N + 16.5 K ₂ O	25.9f	25.0hi	10.70ef
30N + 33 K ₂ O	26.3f	24.1hi	11.64ef
60N + 25 P ₂ O ₅	29.1cde	27.3cdef	12.47bcde
60N + 50 P ₂ O ₅	25.2f	23.5i	11.53de
60N + 16.5 K ₂ O	27.7def	26.0efgh	12.17cde
60N + 33 K ₂ O	31.1bc	25.8bcd	13.26bc
25 P ₂ O ₅ + 16.5 K ₂ O	25.7f	23.6i	12.86bcd
25 P ₂ O ₅ + 33 K ₂ O	27.4ef	25.0fghi	9.96f
50 P ₂ O ₅ + 16.5 K ₂ O	31.0bc	28.3bcde	13.28bc
50 P ₂ O ₅ + 33 K ₂ O	26.1f	23.7i	11.50de
30N + 25 P ₂ O ₅ + 16.5 K ₂ O	25.5f	23.5i	11.34def
30N + 25 P ₂ O ₅ + 33 K ₂ O	26.7ef	24.6ghi	12.76bcde
30N + 50 P ₂ O ₅ + 16.5 K ₂ O	27.2f	25.8ghi	11.74ef
30N + 50 P ₂ O ₅ + 33 K ₂ O	27.7def	26.0efgh	12.17cde
60N + 25 P ₂ O ₅ + 16.5 K ₂ O	27.4ef	24.0hi	12.07cde
60N + 25 P ₂ O ₅ + 33 K ₂ O	29.1cde	27.3cdef	12.47bcde
60N + 50 P ₂ O ₅ + 16.5 K ₂ O	31.3bc	28.8bcd	13.20bc
60N + 50 P ₂ O ₅ + 33 K ₂ O	39.8a	36.4a	27.81a

Means with the same letter are not significantly different at 5% probability (DMRT)

The application of 33 kg K₂O ha⁻¹ fertilizer had mean (30.0) which was significantly higher than that of 60 kg N ha⁻¹ + 16.5 kg K₂O ha⁻¹ and 30 kg N ha⁻¹ + 50 kg P₂O₅ ha⁻¹ + 33 kg K₂O ha⁻¹ (27.7). But were not significantly different from the plants treated with 25 kg P₂O₅ ha⁻¹ + 16.5 kg K₂O ha⁻¹ and 30 kg N ha⁻¹ + 25 kg P₂O₅ ha⁻¹ + 33 kg K₂O ha⁻¹ fertilizers (26.7). Application of 30 kg N ha⁻¹ fertilizer was not significantly different from the mean values of 30 kg N ha⁻¹ + 16.5 kg K₂O ha⁻¹ (25.9), 30 kg N ha⁻¹ + 25 kg P₂O₅

ha⁻¹ + 33 kg K₂O ha⁻¹ (25.5), 25 kg P₂O₅ ha⁻¹ (25.3) and 60 kg N ha⁻¹ + 50 kg P₂O₅ ha⁻¹ (25.2) and the least mean value (21.9) was obtained from un-fertilized plot.

Number of fruits per plant

Number of tomato fruits per plant was significantly ($P \leq 0.05$) influenced by various fertilizer application rates (Table 2).

Table 3. Effect of fertilizer types on nutritional qualities of tomato in 2013 cropping season

Fertilizer types (Kg ha ⁻¹)	Protein	Carotene mg/100 g	Fe
0	1.1ab	0.5d	0.6bc
30 N	1.1ab	0.4e	0.5d
60 N	1.1ab	0.8a	0.7ab
25 P ₂ O ₅	1.1ab	0.4e	0.6bc
50 P ₂ O ₅	1.1ab	0.7b	0.4e
16.5 K ₂ O	1.1ab	0.7b	0.8a
33 K ₂ O	1.1ab	0.6c	0.7ab
30N + 25 P ₂ O ₅	1.1ab	0.6c	0.5d
30N + 50 P ₂ O ₅	1.1ab	0.7b	0.6bc
30N + 16.5 K ₂ O	1.1ab	0.6c	0.6bc
30N + 33 K ₂ O	1.1ab	0.4e	0.5d
60N + 25 P ₂ O ₅	1.1ab	0.8a	0.7ab
60N + 50 P ₂ O ₅	1.1ab	0.7b	0.5d
60N + 16.5 K ₂ O	1.0b	0.5d	0.5d
60N + 33 K ₂ O	1.1ab	0.6c	0.7ab
25 P ₂ O ₅ + 16.5 K ₂ O	1.1ab	0.6c	0.7ab
25 P ₂ O ₅ + 33 K ₂ O	1.0b	0.6c	0.5d
50 P ₂ O ₅ + 16.5 K ₂ O	1.1ab	0.6c	0.7ab
50 P ₂ O ₅ + 33 K ₂ O	1.1ab	0.5d	0.5d
30N + 25 P ₂ O ₅ + 16.5 K ₂ O	1.1ab	0.7b	0.5d
30N + 25 P ₂ O ₅ + 33 K ₂ O	1.1ab	0.6c	0.7ab
30N + 50 P ₂ O ₅ + 16.5 K ₂ O	1.1ab	0.4e	0.5d
30N + 50 P ₂ O ₅ + 33 K ₂ O	1.0b	0.5d	0.5d
60N + 25 P ₂ O ₅ + 16.5 K ₂ O	1.0b	0.6c	0.5d
60N + 25 P ₂ O ₅ + 33 K ₂ O	1.1ab	0.8a	0.7ab
60N + 50 P ₂ O ₅ + 16.5 K ₂ O	1.1ab	0.6c	0.7ab
60N + 50 P ₂ O ₅ + 33 K ₂ O	1.2a	0.8a	0.7ab

Means with the same letter are not significantly different at 5% probability (DMRT)

Table 4. Effect of fertilizer types on phosphorus, potassium and calcium contents of tomato in 2013 cropping season

Fertilizer types (Kg ha ⁻¹)	P mg/100 g	K	Ca
0	4.8h	4.7ef	2.8e
30 N	5.7f	4.2gh	1.9h
60 N	6.5cd	5.4c	2.5f
25 P ₂ O ₅	4.5i	3.8i	2.9de
50 P ₂ O ₅	5.4g	4.1h	1.7i
16.5 K ₂ O	6.6cd	5.7b	2.4fg
33 K ₂ O	5.4g	4.3g	3.5b
30N + 25 P ₂ O ₅	6.7c	4.7ef	2.9de
30N + 50 P ₂ O ₅	7.8a	5.4c	3.8a
30N + 16.5 K ₂ O	6.1e	4.3gh	2.8e
30N + 33 K ₂ O	5.7f	4.2gh	1.9h
60N + 25 P ₂ O ₅	6.5cd	5.4c	2.5f
60N + 50 P ₂ O ₅	4.6hi	4.2gh	2.3g
60N + 16.5 K ₂ O	6.1e	4.6f	1.9h
60N + 33 K ₂ O	7.1b	5.2d	2.0h
25 P ₂ O ₅ + 16.5 K ₂ O	5.7f	4.8e	3.5b
25 P ₂ O ₅ + 33 K ₂ O	6.5cd	5.1d	2.8e
50 P ₂ O ₅ + 16.5 K ₂ O	7.1b	5.8ab	3.3c
50 P ₂ O ₅ + 33 K ₂ O	4.5i	4.3g	2.5f
30N + 25 P ₂ O ₅ + 16.5 K ₂ O	4.6hi	4.2gh	2.3g
30N + 25 P ₂ O ₅ + 33 K ₂ O	5.7f	4.8e	3.5b
30N + 50 P ₂ O ₅ + 16.5 K ₂ O	6.7cd	4.2gh	1.9h
30N + 50 P ₂ O ₅ + 33 K ₂ O	6.1e	4.8e	1.9h
60N + 25 P ₂ O ₅ + 16.5 K ₂ O	6.5cd	5.1d	2.8e
60N + 25 P ₂ O ₅ + 33 K ₂ O	6.5cd	5.4c	2.5f
60N + 50 P ₂ O ₅ + 16.5 K ₂ O	7.1b	5.2d	2.0h
60N + 50 P ₂ O ₅ + 33 K ₂ O	5.9f	6.0a	3.0d

Means with the same letter are not significantly different at 5% probability (DMRT)

The number of fruits increased as the fertilizer rates increased and declined thereafter. The number of fruits increased from the plants treated with 60 kg N ha⁻¹ + 50 kg P₂O₅ ha⁻¹ + 33 kg K₂O ha⁻¹ (36.4) which was significantly higher than that of 30 kg N ha⁻¹ + 50 kg P₂O₅ ha⁻¹ (30.2) and this was not higher than that of 33 kg K₂O ha⁻¹ (29.4), 60 kg N ha⁻¹ + 50 kg P₂O₅ ha⁻¹ + 16.5 kg K₂O ha⁻¹ (28.5) and 50 kg P₂O₅ ha⁻¹ + 16.5 kg K₂O ha⁻¹ (28.3). The tomato grown with soil amended of 60 kg N ha⁻¹, 60 kg N ha⁻¹ + 25 kg P₂O₅ ha⁻¹, 60 kg N ha⁻¹ + 25 kg P₂O₅ ha⁻¹ + 33 kg K₂O ha⁻¹ (27.3), 16.5 kg K₂O ha⁻¹ (27.0) and 30 kg N ha⁻¹ + 50 kg P₂O₅ ha⁻¹ + 33 kg K₂O ha⁻¹ (26.0) were not significantly different from each other while the least mean value (19.5) was received from un-fertilized plot.

Table 5. Effect of fertilizer types on lycopene, magnesium and vitamin C contents of tomato in 2013 cropping season

Fertilizer types (Kg ha ⁻¹)	Lycopene mg/100 g	Mg	Vit. C
0	2.7c	1.4d	28.2cde
30 N	2.2h	1.9c	27.0ef
60 N	3.0a	1.9c	29.2cd
25 P ₂ O ₅	2.4f	1.2d	29.7bc
50 P ₂ O ₅	2.3g	1.3d	27.8de
16.5 K ₂ O	2.5e	1.9c	28.8cd
33 K ₂ O	2.5e	1.9c	31.8a
30N + 25 P ₂ O ₅	2.0j	1.3d	26.2f
30N + 50 P ₂ O ₅	3.0a	1.8c	26.0f
30N + 16.5 K ₂ O	2.8c	1.7c	28.9cde
30N + 33 K ₂ O	2.2h	1.9c	27.0ef
60N + 25 P ₂ O ₅	3.0a	1.9c	29.2cd
60N + 50 P ₂ O ₅	2.2h	1.4d	28.7cd
60N + 16.5 K ₂ O	2.6d	1.4d	28.5cde
60N + 33 K ₂ O	3.0a	1.9c	29.7bc
25 P ₂ O ₅ + 16.5 K ₂ O	2.9b	2.2a	28.3cde
25 P ₂ O ₅ + 33 K ₂ O	1.9k	1.4d	27.0ef
50 P ₂ O ₅ + 16.5 K ₂ O	2.1i	2.2a	28.2cde
50 P ₂ O ₅ + 33 K ₂ O	2.7c	1.7c	28.3cde
30N + 25 P ₂ O ₅ + 16.5 K ₂ O	2.2h	1.4d	26.0f
30N + 25 P ₂ O ₅ + 33 K ₂ O	2.9b	2.2a	28.3cde
30N + 50 P ₂ O ₅ + 16.5 K ₂ O	2.5d	1.9c	27.0ef
30N + 50 P ₂ O ₅ + 33 K ₂ O	2.6d	1.4d	28.5cde
60N + 25 P ₂ O ₅ + 16.5 K ₂ O	1.9k	1.4d	27.0ef
60N + 25 P ₂ O ₅ + 33 K ₂ O	3.0a	1.9c	29.2cd
60N + 50 P ₂ O ₅ + 16.5 K ₂ O	3.0a	1.8c	29.7bc
60N + 50 P ₂ O ₅ + 33 K ₂ O	3.0a	2.0bc	31.0ab

Means with the same letter are not significantly different at 5% probability (DMRT)

Total fruit yield

The fertilizer application rates was found to significantly ($P \leq 0.05$) influence total fruit yield of tomato with the highest yield (27.81 t ha⁻¹) obtained from the plants treated with 60 kg N ha⁻¹ + 50 kg P₂O₅ ha⁻¹ + 33 kg K₂O ha⁻¹ (Table 2). The yield obtained was significantly higher than the yields received from all other treatments. More so, the plots treated with 60 kg N ha⁻¹ + 25 kg P₂O₅ ha⁻¹ + 16.5 kg K₂O ha⁻¹ which had the ranged mean values of 12.07 t ha⁻¹ to 13.48 t ha⁻¹ were not significantly different from each other. But were significantly different from the plants treated with 30 kg N ha⁻¹ (11.24 t ha⁻¹) and 60 kg N ha⁻¹ + 50 kg P₂O₅ ha⁻¹ (11.53 t ha⁻¹) while the least mean value (9.96 t ha⁻¹) was received from un-fertilized plants.

Crude protein, Carotene and Iron contents

The fertilizer application had significant ($P \leq 0.05$) influence on the fruit nutritional qualities of tomato plants (Table 3). The protein content was significantly increased by fertilizer application rates with the highest mean value of 1.2 mg/100 g observed from the plants treated with 60 kg N ha⁻¹ + 50 kg P₂O₅ ha⁻¹ + 33 kg K₂O ha⁻¹. The treatments which had the mean values of 1.1 mg/100 g were significantly higher than the treatments with the mean values of 1.0 mg/100 g. The fruit carotene content was significantly ($P \leq 0.05$) influenced by fertilizer application. The highest mean values of 0.8 mg/100 g were obtained from the plants treated with 60 kg N ha⁻¹, 60 kg N ha⁻¹ + 16.5 kg K₂O ha⁻¹, 60 kg N ha⁻¹ + 25 kg P₂O₅ ha⁻¹ + 33 kg K₂O ha⁻¹ and 60 kg N ha⁻¹ + 50 kg P₂O₅ ha⁻¹ + 33 kg K₂O ha⁻¹ which were significantly better than the ranged values obtained from other treatments (0.4 mg/100 g to 0.6 mg/100 g). The iron content of tomato fruit was significantly ($P \leq 0.05$) influenced by various fertilizer application rates. The highest mean value of 0.8 mg/100 g was obtained from the plants fertilized with 16.5 kg K₂O ha⁻¹ which was significantly higher than the treatments with the mean values of 0.7 mg/100 g. The treatments with the mean values of 0.6 mg/100 g was significantly higher than the treatments which gave the mean

values of 0.5 mg/100 g while the least mean value of 0.4 mg/100 g was obtained from 50 kg P₂O₅ ha⁻¹. The potassium content of tomato fruit was significantly (P≤0.05) influenced by various fertilizer application rates. The highest mean value of 6.0 mg/100 g was received from the plant treated with 60 kg N ha⁻¹ + 50 kg P₂O₅ ha⁻¹ + 33 kg K₂O ha⁻¹. This was followed with the mean value of 5.7 mg/100 g obtained from 33 kg K₂O ha⁻¹ and the least mean value of 3.8 mg/100 g was received from the plants fertilized with 50 kg P₂O₅ ha⁻¹.

Phosphorus, Potassium and Calcium contents

The phosphorus content of tomato fruit was significantly (P≤0.05) increased by fertilizer application rates (Table 4). Phosphorus content increased as the fertilizer rates increased and declined thereafter. The highest mean value of 7.8 mg/100 g was obtained from the plants treated with 30 kg N ha⁻¹ + 50 kg P₂O₅ ha⁻¹ which was significantly higher than the mean values of 7.1 mg/100 g received from the plants fertilized with 60 kg N ha⁻¹ + 33 kg K₂O ha⁻¹, 50 kg P₂O₅ ha⁻¹ + 16.5 kg K₂O ha⁻¹ and 60 kg N ha⁻¹ + 50 kg P₂O₅ ha⁻¹ + 16.5 kg K₂O ha⁻¹. The plants treated with 30 kg N ha⁻¹ + 25 kg P₂O₅ ha⁻¹ (6.7 mg/100 g) significantly produced better phosphorus content than that of 60 kg N ha⁻¹, 60 kg N ha⁻¹ + 25 kg P₂O₅ ha⁻¹, 25 kg P₂O₅ ha⁻¹ + 33 kg K₂O ha⁻¹, 60 kg N ha⁻¹ + 25 kg P₂O₅ ha⁻¹ + 16.5 kg K₂O ha⁻¹ and 60 kg N ha⁻¹ + 25 kg P₂O₅ ha⁻¹ + 33 kg K₂O ha⁻¹ (6.5 mg/100 g) and the least mean value of 4.6 mg/100 g was obtained from 25 kg P₂O₅ ha⁻¹ and 50 kg P₂O₅ ha⁻¹ + 33 kg K₂O ha⁻¹. The potassium content of tomato fruit was significantly (P≤0.05) influenced by fertilizer application rates. Potassium content increased as the fertilizer rates increased and declined thereafter. The highest mean value (6.0 mg/100 g) was obtained from the plants treated with 60 kg N ha⁻¹ + 50 kg P₂O₅ ha⁻¹ + 33 kg K₂O ha⁻¹ which was followed by the plants fertilized with 50 kg P₂O₅ ha⁻¹ + 16.5 kg K₂O ha⁻¹ (5.5 mg/100 g). But the value obtained from this treatment was not significantly higher than the plants applied with 16.5 kg K₂O ha⁻¹ (5.7 mg/100 g). The plants treated with 60 kg N ha⁻¹, 30 kg N ha⁻¹ + 50 kg P₂O₅ ha⁻¹, 60 kg N ha⁻¹ + 25 kg P₂O₅ ha⁻¹ and 60 kg N ha⁻¹ + 25 kg P₂O₅ ha⁻¹ + 33 kg K₂O ha⁻¹ (5.4 mg/100 g) were significantly higher than the plants fertilized with 60 kg N ha⁻¹ + 33 kg K₂O ha⁻¹ and 60 kg N ha⁻¹ + 50 kg P₂O₅ ha⁻¹ + 16.5 kg K₂O ha⁻¹ (5.2 mg/100 g). While the least mean value (3.8 mg/100 g) was obtained from the plants fertilized with 25 kg P₂O₅ ha⁻¹. The calcium content of tomato fruit was significantly (P≤0.05) increased by various fertilizer application rates (Table 4). Calcium content increased as the fertilizer rate increased and declined thereafter. The highest mean value of 3.8 mg/100 g obtained from 30 kg N ha⁻¹ + 50 kg P₂O₅ ha⁻¹ was significantly higher than the values of 3.5 mg/100 g obtained from the plants treated with 33 kg K₂O ha⁻¹, 25 kg P₂O₅ ha⁻¹ + 16.5 kg K₂O ha⁻¹ and 30 kg N ha⁻¹ + 25 kg P₂O₅ ha⁻¹ + 33 kg K₂O ha⁻¹. The plants treated with 50 kg P₂O₅ ha⁻¹ + 16.5 kg K₂O ha⁻¹ (3.3 mg/100 g) was significantly higher than the plots fertilized with 60 kg N ha⁻¹ + 50 kg P₂O₅ ha⁻¹ + 33 kg K₂O ha⁻¹ (3.0 mg/100 g) which was also significantly higher than the treatments that gave the mean values of 2.8 mg/100 g. This was significantly higher than the treatments with the mean values of 2.0 mg/100 g and 2.5 mg/100 g while the least mean value of 1.7 mg/100 g was obtained from the plants fertilized with 50 kg P₂O₅ ha⁻¹.

Lycopene, Magnesium and Vitamin C contents

Fertilizer application rates had significant (P≤0.05) influence on lycopene content of tomato fruits (Table 5). The highest

mean values of 3.0 mg/100 g were received from the plants treated with 60 kg N ha⁻¹ + 50 kg P₂O₅ ha⁻¹ + 33 kg K₂O ha⁻¹, 60 kg N ha⁻¹, 30 kg N ha⁻¹ + 50 kg P₂O₅ ha⁻¹, 60 kg N ha⁻¹ + 25 kg P₂O₅ ha⁻¹, 60 kg N ha⁻¹ + 33 kg K₂O ha⁻¹, 60 kg N ha⁻¹ + 25 kg P₂O₅ ha⁻¹ + 33 kg K₂O ha⁻¹ and 60 kg N ha⁻¹ + 50 kg P₂O₅ ha⁻¹ + 16.5 kg K₂O ha⁻¹. This was followed with the mean value of 2.9 mg/100 g obtained from 25 kg P₂O₅ ha⁻¹ + 16.5 kg K₂O ha⁻¹ and 30 kg N ha⁻¹ + 25 kg P₂O₅ ha⁻¹ + 33 kg K₂O ha⁻¹ and the least mean value of 1.9 mg/100 g was observed from 25 kg P₂O₅ ha⁻¹ + 33 kg K₂O ha⁻¹ and 60 kg N ha⁻¹ + 25 kg P₂O₅ ha⁻¹ + 16.5 kg K₂O ha⁻¹. More so, fertilizer application rates had significant effect on magnesium content with the optimum values (2.0 mg/100 g) obtained from 60 kg N ha⁻¹, 60 kg N ha⁻¹ + 25 kg P₂O₅ ha⁻¹, 25 kg P₂O₅ ha⁻¹ + 16.5 kg K₂O ha⁻¹, 30 kg N ha⁻¹ + 25 kg P₂O₅ ha⁻¹ + 16.5 kg K₂O ha⁻¹. The values obtained from these treatments were significantly higher than the plants fertilized with 30 kg N ha⁻¹ + 50 kg P₂O₅ ha⁻¹ and 50 kg P₂O₅ ha⁻¹ + 16.5 kg K₂O ha⁻¹ (1.8 mg/100 g). Also, magnesium content obtained from the tomato grown on soil amended with 30 kg N ha⁻¹ + 25 kg P₂O₅ ha⁻¹, 60 kg N ha⁻¹ + 50 kg P₂O₅ ha⁻¹ and 30 kg N ha⁻¹ + 25 kg P₂O₅ ha⁻¹ + 16.5 kg K₂O ha⁻¹ (1.6 mg/100 g) were not significantly different from the mean value of 1.5 mg/100 g obtained from 50 kg P₂O₅ ha⁻¹, 16.5 kg K₂O ha⁻¹ and 33 kg K₂O ha⁻¹ while the least mean value of 1.2 mg/100 g were received from 30 kg N ha⁻¹, 50 kg P₂O₅ ha⁻¹, 33 kg K₂O ha⁻¹ and 30 kg N ha⁻¹ + 50 kg P₂O₅ ha⁻¹ + 16.5 kg K₂O ha⁻¹. The vitamin C content of tomato fruit was significantly (P≤0.05) increased by fertilizer application rates. The vitamin C content increased as the fertilizer rates increased and declined thereafter. The highest mean value of 31.8 mg/100 g was received from 33 kg K₂O ha⁻¹ closely followed with the mean value of 31.0 mg/100 g obtained from 60 kg N ha⁻¹ + 50 kg P₂O₅ ha⁻¹ + 33 kg K₂O ha⁻¹. Other treatments which gave the ranged mean values between 28.2 mg/100 g and 29.7 mg/100 g were not significantly different from each other while the least mean value of 26.0 mg/100 g was obtained from 30 kg N ha⁻¹ + 50 kg P₂O₅ ha⁻¹.

DISCUSSION

The significant response of growth parameters, yield and yield component to increase N rates in this study demonstrated the high demand of tomato for this element. This result agrees with the findings of Upendra *et al.* (2003); Elizabeth and John (2003); Oyinlola and Jinadu (2012) who observed that tomato responded significantly to applied N rates. The increase in the parameter measured; plant height as the N rates increased confirmed the importance and contribution of N to the growth of the vegetative crop plants. Maximum growth parameter was obtained at the highest rate of 60 kg N ha⁻¹. This might be due largely to the low level of mineralizable N of the native soils as a result of the low organic matter content. Therefore, it is imperative that nitrogen be adequately supplied to promote both vegetative and productive growth and impacts the characteristic deep green colour of leaves as also observed by Tisdale *et al.* (2003). The measured parameters of yield components responded significantly to N rates and a rate of 60 kg N ha⁻¹ appeared optimum for tomato crop. Yield components and fruit yield at 30 kg N ha⁻¹ was not significantly higher than the rate of 60 kg N ha⁻¹ appeared optimum but with yield rate still significantly greater than what was obtained from the control plot. Therefore, tomato fruit yield responded to increasing N fertilizers until a peak (60 kg N ha⁻¹) was achieved. The result obtained from this current study was at a lower range obtained by Oyinlola and Jinadu

(2012). Samaila *et al.* (2011) reported that the highest mean fruit weight, fruit yield and dry matter yield were obtained at 90 kg N ha⁻¹. From this result, the optimum N rate for better yield performance of tomato is 60 kg N ha⁻¹. This means that, the increase in growth parameter at 60 kg N ha⁻¹ led to increase in total fruit yield. This result is in conformity with those obtained by Olaoye *et al.* (2007) who reported that Roma variety recorded a relatively better fruit yield under nitrogen treatment and different growing seasons under moisture regimes.

The significant response of growth parameter, yield and yield components to increase P rates in this study demonstrated the need of tomato for this element which is in accordance with the findings of Tiequan *et al.* (2005) who reported that tomato significantly responded to the applied P rates. The increase in the growth parameters measured, plant height increased as the P rate increased. Although, significantly the contributions of P to variation were minor compared to N effects. Despite this, phosphorus accounted for only a small percentage of total increase growth rates of tomato as reported by Regina and Robert (1991). Findings from this study indicated that maximum growth parameter was obtained at the highest rate of 50 kg P₂O₅ ha⁻¹. Findings from the present study showed that P increased yield by increasing the number of flowers per plant, number of fruits per plant and total fruit yield. The positive contribution of yield components to the fruit yield may be attributed to the improved growth parameter caused by the increased plant height could have increased the number of flowers in applied plots. Results from this study indicated that yield components responded significantly to P rates and a rate of 50 kg P₂O₅ ha⁻¹ appeared optimum for tomato plant. Yield components and fruit yield at 25 kg P₂O₅ ha⁻¹ was not significantly higher than the rate of 50 kg P₂O₅ ha⁻¹ which appeared optimum but was significantly higher than that obtained from non-applied plot. Therefore, tomato fruit yield responded to increasing P fertilizers until a peak of 50 kg P₂O₅ ha⁻¹ was reached. This is line with the findings of Tiequan *et al.* (2005) who reported that optimum yields and quality of pepper and tomato depend on adequate N and P nutrition. From this result, the optimum P rate for better yield performance of tomato is 50 kg P₂O₅ ha⁻¹. This proved that, the increase in growth parameter at 50 kg P₂O₅ ha⁻¹ led to increase in total fruit yield recorded from this study. This result is in agreement with the findings of de Groot *et al.* (2002) who stated that, modern tomato cultivars and hybrids exhibit relative growth rates and thereby rely on adequate supply of phosphorus for optimal development and high yields.

The significant response of growth parameter, yield and yield components to increase K rates in this study demonstrated the high demand of tomato for this element required. This result is in agreement with the findings of Chapagain and Wiesman (2004) who reported that the K requirements of tomato are extraordinarily high due to the rapid growth of the plant in combination with the heavy fruit load. The increase in the parameter measured; plant height increased as the K rate increased confirmed the need of K to several plant processes. From the result of this study, maximum growth parameter was obtained at the highest rate of 33 kg K₂O ha⁻¹. It is therefore, imperative that potassium be adequately supplied for enzymes activation, photosynthesis, osmoregulation and phloem transport as also observed by Chen and Gabelman (2000). The influence of K on tomato variety proved that K increased yield by increasing the number of flowers per plant, number of fruits

and total fruit yield. The positive contribution of yield components to the fruit yield might be due to the improved growth parameter caused by the increased plant height would have amount to increased number of flowers in fertilized plots. Findings from the current study showed that yield component parameters significantly responded to K rates and a rate of 33 kg K₂O ha⁻¹ appeared optimum for the tomato plants. Yield components and fruit yield at 16.5 kg K₂O ha⁻¹ was not significantly higher than the rate of 33 kg K₂O ha⁻¹ appeared optimum but was significantly greater than that obtained from the control plot. Therefore, tomato fruit yield responded to increasing K fertilizer until it reaches a peak of 33 kg K₂O ha⁻¹. The result obtained from this present study is in conformity with Martin and Liebhardt (1994) who reported that total tomato yield increased up to 112 kg ha⁻¹ in soil high in plant available K. From this study, the optimum K rate for better yield performance of tomato is 33 kg K₂O ha⁻¹.

The significant response in the growth and yield parameters of tomato combined with the application of N, P and K rates showed the high need of tomato for these elements. The result of this current study is in agreement with the findings of Balemi (2008) who reported that there was significant main effect on applied N, P and K fertilizer rates. The increase in all the parameters due to combined N, P and K application confirmed the valuable contribution of these fertilizers on the vegetative growth of the crop plants. The maximum growth parameter was obtained at the highest rates of 60 kg N ha⁻¹ + 50 kg P₂O₅ ha⁻¹ + 33 kg K₂O ha⁻¹. The result obtained in this study is not surprising; this might be attributed to decreased nutrient use efficiency, following the exclusion of the NPK fertilizer rates. Therefore, there is need for nitrogen, phosphorus, and potassium to be adequately supplied to promote both the vegetative and productive growth of tomato plants as also revealed by Akanni (2005). The influence of N, P and K on tomato cultivar indicated that these fertilizers increased yield by increasing the number of flowers per plant, number of fruits per plant and total fruit yield. The positive contribution of yield components on the total fruit yield might be attributed to the improved growth parameter caused by plant height which amount to increased number of flowers in fertilized plots that led to fruit yield. The measured parameters of yield components in this present study responded significantly to combined N, P and K rates, with the highest yield of 27.81 t ha⁻¹ obtained at 60 kg N ha⁻¹ + 50 kg P₂O₅ ha⁻¹ + 33 kg K₂O ha⁻¹ supported the report of Qian and Schoenau (2002), and Okwugwu and Alleh (2003) who reported that high and sustained crop yield of tomato could be achieved with a judicious and balanced NPK fertilizer treatment combined with organic matter amendments. Therefore, tomato total fruit yield response was highest at 60 kg N ha⁻¹ + 50 kg P₂O₅ ha⁻¹ + 33 kg K₂O ha⁻¹. From this result, the optimum N, P and K fertilizer for better yield performance of tomato is at 60 kg N ha⁻¹ + 50 kg P₂O₅ ha⁻¹ + 33 kg K₂O ha⁻¹. The significant increase in the fruit nutritional qualities parameters which fertilizer treatment was imposed showed the potentials involved in tomato variety. The nutritional composition increased as the fertilizer application rates increased. The result obtained from this present study is in agreement with the findings of Olaniyi *et al.* (2010) which stated that there was inconsistency in the nutritional qualities obtained in their study for the tomato varieties used. The plants fertilized with 60 kg N ha⁻¹ + 50 kg P₂O₅ ha⁻¹ + 33 kg K₂O ha⁻¹ significantly recorded higher nutritional qualities than the other fertilizer application rates. Ara *et al.* (2007) supported the result

obtained in this study that tomato is a dependable source of Vitamin A, B, C and D, minerals, Ca, P and Fe. Result of the nutritional qualities revealed that tomato fruits are rich in phosphorus, potassium, calcium and vitamin C. This might be the reason of their intensive use for stew in meals.

Conclusion: Based on the research findings, it could be concluded that external input of mineral fertilizer is necessary to improve tomato yield and nutritional quality. Plants fertilized with 60 kg N ha⁻¹ + 50 kg P₂O₅ ha⁻¹ + 33 kg K₂O ha⁻¹ responded better than other rates. Therefore, farmers should be encouraged to apply the fertilizer on their crops in order to boost food production.

REFERENCES

- Aduayi, E.A., Chede, V.O., Adehusuji, L.O. and Oleyiwola, S.O. 2002. Fertilizer use and management practices for crops in Nigeria. Federal Ministry of Agriculture and Rural Development Abuja. Pp. 95-98.
- Akanni, D. I. 2005. Response of nutrient composition and yield components of tomato (*Lycopersicon esculentum* Mill) to livestock manure. Ph.D Thesis, Federal University of Technology, Akure, Nigeria. Pp.120.
- Anon, 2002. Fertilizer use and Management practices for crops in Nigeria. Produced by the Federal Fertilizer Department, Federal Ministry of Agriculture and Rural Development Abuja. Pp. 83-84.
- Ara, N; Bashar, M.K; Begun, S. and Kakon, S.S. 2007. Effect of spacing and stem pruning on the growth and yield of tomato. *International Journal of Sustainable Crop production*, 2 (3): 35-39.
- Balarabe, S. 2012. Tomato production in Nigeria: issues and prospects. Ministry of Agriculture and Rural Development Kano, Kano State.
- Balemi, T. 2008. Response of tomato cultivars differing in growth habit to nitrogen and phosphorus fertilizers and spacing on vertisol in Ethiopia.
- Chapagain, B.P. and Wiesman, Z. 2004. Effect of potassium magnesium chloride in the fertigation solution as partial source of potassium on growth, yield and quality of greenhouse tomato. *Scientia Horticulturae*, 99: 279-288.
- Chen, J. and Gabelman, W.H. 2000. Morphological and physiological characteristics of tomato roots associated with potassium-acquisition efficiency. *Scientia Horticulturae*, 83: 213-225.
- de Groot, C.C., Marcelis, L.F.M., van den Boogaard, R and Lambers, H. 2002. Interactive effects of nitrogen and irradiance on growth and partitioning of dry mass and nitrogen in young tomato plants. *Functional Plant Biology*, 29: 1319-1328.
- Elizabeth, A.W. and John, B. M. 2003. Population Density and Nitrogen Fertility effects on tomato growth and yield. *Horticulture-Science*, 38 (3): 367 – 372.
- Enwezor, W.O, Udo, E.J., Ayotade, K.A., Adepetu, J.A. and Chude, V.O. 1990. A review of soil fertilizer use in Nigeria. Literature review on soil fertility investigation in Nigeria (Volume 5 North West Zone). Federal Ministry of Agriculture and Natural Resources, Lagos, Pp: 241-281.
- FAO, 2000. Traditional Food Plants in Rome: Pp. 10.
- FAO, 2006. Food and Agriculture organization of the United Nations. Food Security Statistics – Nigeria.
- Huett, D.O. and Dettmann, E.B. 1988. Effect of nitrogen on growth, fruit quality and nutrient uptake of tomatoes grown in sand. *Australian Journal of Experimental Agriculture, East Melbourne*, Volume 28: 399 – 400.
- IITA 1989. Automated and semi-automated methods for soil and plant analysis. Manual series No. 7. IITA, Ibadan, Nigeria.
- Martin, H.W., Liebhart, W.C. 1994. Tomato response to long term potassium and Lime application on a sandy Ultisol high in non-exchangeable potassium. *Journal of plant Nutrition*, New York, volume 17: 1751-1768.
- Oduze, A.E. 2006. Soil properties and management strategies for some sub-humid of savannah zone Alfisols in Kaduna state, Nigeria. *Samaru Journal of Africa Resource*, 22:3-14.
- Okwugwu, M. T. and Alleh. M. E. 2003. The effect of organic and inorganic manures on the physico-chemical properties of the soil and yield of okra in Ekpoma, humid tropical rainforest of Nigeria. Proceedings of 28th Annual Conference of Soil Science Society of Nigeria, November 3rd-7th, Umudike, Nigeria. Pp. 26.
- Olaniyi, J. O., Akanbi, W. B., Adejumo, T. A., Akande, O. G. 2010. Growth, fruit yield and nutritional quality of tomato varieties. *African Journal of Food Science*, 4 (6): 398 -402.
- Olaniyi, J.O. and Ojetayo, A.E. 2010. The effect of organomineral and inorganic fertilizers on the growth, fruit yield and quality of pepper (*Capsicum frutescens*). *Journal of Animal and plant sciences*, 8 (3): 1070-1076.
- Olaoye, G. Takim, F.O. and Aduloju, M. O. 2007. Impact of Tillage Operation on the fruit yield of six Exotic tomato varieties on an Alfisol in the Southern Guinea Savanna of Nigeria. Pp. 396-399.
- Omar, Z. 2005. Tomato production under protected conditions. Published by Mashav, Cinad co and the peres center for peace project coordinator. Pp. 71-112.
- Oyintola, E.Y. and Jinadu, S. A. 2012. Growth, Yield and Nutrient Concentrations of Tomato as affected by soil Textures and Nitrogen. *Asian Journal of Agricultural Research*, 6: 39 – 45.
- Qian, P. and Schoenau, J.J. 2002. Availability of nitrogen in solid manure amended with different C: N ratios. *Canadian Journal of Soil Science* 82: 219-225.
- Regina, R.M. and Robert, J.D. 1991. Nitrogen, Phosphorus, and Potassium Fertility Regimes Affect Tomato Transplant Growth. *Horticultural Science*, 26 (2): 141 – 142.
- Samaila, A.A., Amans, E.B. and Babaji, B.A. 2011. Yield and fruit quality of tomato (*Lycopersicon esculentum* Mill) as influenced by mulching, nitrogen and irrigation interval. *International Resource Journal of Agricultural Science*, 1: 90-95.
- Tiequan, Z. Chin, T. and Tom. B. 2005. Fertigation Boosts Optimum Nitrogen for Tomato and Pepper. Pp. 55-57.
- Tisdale, S.L., Nelson, W.L., Beaton, J.D. and Halvin, J.L. 2003. Soil fertility and Fertilizers. 5th Edition., Prentice – Hall, New Delhi. Pp. 88-90.
- Upendra, M.S., Ramdane, D. and Bharat, S. 2003. Mineral nutrition in tomato. *Food and Agricultural Environment*, 1: 176-183.
- Villareal, R.L. 1980. Tomato in the tropics. West view Press Boulder, Colorado, Pp. 174.
- Zeidan, O. 2005. Tomato production under protected condition. Ministry of Agriculture and Rural Development. The center for International Agriculture Development Cooperation. Pp. 43-44.
- Znidarcic, D.S.T. and Zlatic 2003. Impact of growing methods on tomato (*Lycopersicon esculentum* Mill) yield and sensory quality. *University of Ljublj Knert*, 81 (2): 341-348.