



RESEARCH ARTICLE

PATTERN OF CARDIAC CONDUCTION DEFECTS – A HOSPITAL BASED STUDY

\*Aadil Ashraf, Touseef ahmad Mir, Irfan Gul, Gazzanfar Ali and Javed Khan

Department of Medicine, Govt. Medical College, Srinagar, India

ARTICLE INFO

Article History:

Received 27<sup>th</sup> April, 2017  
Received in revised form  
22<sup>nd</sup> May, 2017  
Accepted 19<sup>th</sup> June, 2017  
Published online 31<sup>st</sup> July, 2017

Key words:

Cardiac conduction defects  
(LBBB, CHB, AV BLOCKS),  
Increasing age,  
Male sex.

ABSTRACT

**Background:** The interest in cardiac conduction system has focused primarily on its role as a predictor of mortality and coexistent cardiovascular diseases particularly hospitalized patients.

**Objectives:** The study was undertaken to study the pattern of cardiac conduction defects in a tertiary care hospital.

**Methodology:** The study was conducted from 1st March 2012 to 31st August 2013 and included cases >20yrs of age presenting to OPD or admitted in SMHS hospital and showing some form of cardiac conduction defect on a standard 12 lead ECG. A total of 1710 cases were studied. A thorough medical history and meticulous physical examination was done and appropriate statistical methods applied to derive the results.

**Results:** Of the 1710 cases, 990(57.9%) were males and 720(42.1%) were females. Most of cases were seen in the age group of 70-79yrs (25.7%). The various cardiac conduction defects in decreasing order of frequency were: LBBB 501 (29.2%), LAHB 431 (25.2%), RBBB 308 (18.01%), Bifascicular block 173(10.1%), Complete Heart Block 104(6.08%), 2<sup>nd</sup> degree heart block 91(5.32), Trifascicular Block 48(2.8%), 1st degree Heart block 34(1.99%), S<sub>I</sub> S<sub>II</sub> S<sub>III</sub> Syndrome 13(0.76%) and LPHB 7(0.4%).

**Conclusion:** LBBB is the most common conduction defect in a hospitalized setting. The cardiac conduction defects increase with advancing age and a close follow up on patients cardiac status should be maintained as they are linked with significant morbidity and mortality.

Copyright©2017, Aadil Ashraf et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Citation: Aadil Ashraf, Touseef ahmad Mir, Irfan Gul, Gazzanfar Ali and Javed Khan, 2017. "Pattern of cardiac conduction defects – A hospital based study", International Journal of Current Research, 9, (07), 54875-54879.

INTRODUCTION

The heart is unique among the muscles of body in that it possesses the properties of automatic impulse formation and rhythmic contraction. Electrical impulse formation occurs within the conduction system of heart (Nova Golschalger and Mervin, 1989). Cardiac conduction defects are divided into two parts (John Merideth and Raymond Pruitt, 1973):

- Atrioventricular (A-V) conduction disturbances; and
- Bundle-branch block and intraventricular block.

The AV Blocks defined are (Hurst's):

- First degree heart Block.
- Second degree heart Block:

Mobitz type I  
Mobitz type II  
2:1 atrioventricular Block

- High grade atrioventricular Block
- Third degree (Complete) heart Block.
- Atrioventricular Dissociation.

The Bundle Branch Block and intraventricular defects include (John Merideth and Raymond Pruitt, 1973):

- Right Bundle Branch Block (RBBB).
- Left bundle branch Block (LBBB).
- Left Anterior Fascicular Block (LAFB).
- Left Posterior Fascicular Block (LPFB).
- Right Bundle Branch Block combined with either Left Anterior Fascicular Block or Left Posterior Fascicular block.

The interest in Bundle Branch Blocks has focused primarily on its role as a predictor of mortality and coexistent cardiovascular diseases. Conduction defects are presenting increasingly common on electrocardiograms of adult population (John et al., 1982, 1978, 1979 & 1980) and in hospitalized patients they are reported to be about 10-15% (Fisch et al., 1957; Suarez et al., 1961; Nihalick et al., 1974) although this does not represent the actual prevalence of

disease in the general population. This type of study has not been done in the state so far. Hence this study was undertaken in this Hospital to know the pattern of different cardiac conduction defects.

### Aims

To Study the pattern of Cardiac Conduction Defects in Hospitalized Patients and to Study the age-sex distribution of Cardiac conduction defects.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

This study included all the patients admitted in medical and surgical wards of SMHS hospital with some form of ECG documented Cardiac conduction abnormality. The patients admitted in medical and surgical intensive care units were also included in the study. The patients were included in the study irrespective of the underlying illness. This study was conducted from a period of 1<sup>st</sup> of March 2012 to 31st of August 2013. A detailed History was taken from the patients regarding the presenting illness. A detailed history with regard to the underlying illness and drug history was also taken from the patients. A standard 12 lead ECG was taken with a paper speed of 25 mm/sec. In addition a one minute rhythm strip was also recorded. The different cardiac conduction defects were diagnosed using the definitive criteria (Kellkar and Elizabeth, 1996; Lawrence *et al.*, 1971):

- **A-V block first degree:** PR interval 200ms, each P wave followed by QRS complex.
- **2<sup>nd</sup> Degree Block Type 1:** Progressive P-R prolongation leading to dropped beats.
- **2<sup>nd</sup> Degree Block Type 2:** Herein dropped beats occur but the P-R interval of all conducted beats is constant.
- **Complete Heart Block:**
  - a) Regular PP interval.
  - b) Regular RR interval.
  - c) Varying PR interval.
  - d) PP interval less than RR interval.
- **Left Bundle Branch Block(LBBB):**
  - a) QRS duration 0.12 sec in any three standard leads.
  - b) Dominant S wave in V1.
  - c) R duration 0.08 sec in lead V5 or V6.
  - d) S duration 0.04 sec.
  - e) No Q or S wave in lead V5 or V6.
- **Right Bundle Branch Block(RBBB):**
  - a) QRS duration 120ms.
  - b) Secondary R` wave in right precordial leads V1 or V2.(usually rsR`,rsr`,rSR`).
  - c) Wide S Wave in leads 1, V5, V6(more than 40 ms).
- **Left Anterior Hemi Block (LAHB) :**
  - a) Left axis deviation(usually between -45 and -90 degrees).
  - b) Small Q waves with tall R waves (= 'qR complexes') in leads I and aVL.
- **Small R waves with deep S waves (= rS complexes') in leads II, III, aVF.**
- **QRS duration normal or slightly prolonged (80-110 ms).**
- **Prolonged R wave peak time in aVL > 45 ms.**
- **Increased QRS voltage in the limb leads.**
- **Left Posterior Hemi Block (LAHB):**
  - a) Right axis deviation (> +90 degrees).
  - b) Small R waves with deep S waves (= `rS complexes') in leads I and aVL.
  - c) Small Q waves with tall R waves (= complexes') in leads IL III and aVF.
  - d) QRS duration normal or slightly prolonged (80-110ms).
  - e) Prolonged R wave peak time in aVF.
  - f) Increased QRS voltage in the limb leads.
  - g) No evidence of right ventricular hypertrophy.
  - h) No evidence of any other cause for right axis deviation.
- **RBBB + LAHB:** Mean QRS axis between -45° and 120° in presence of RBBB.
- **RBBB + LPHB:** presence of RBBB and mean QRS axis in frontal plane to about +120° with absence of right ventricular Hypertrophy.
- **Trifascicular Block:**
  - a) Bifascicular Block + 1<sup>st</sup> degree AV block.
  - b) Bifascicular Block + 2<sup>nd</sup> degree AV block.
  - c) RBBB + alternating LAFB/LPFB.
  - d) Bifascicular block + 3<sup>rd</sup> degree AV block.
- **S<sub>I</sub> S<sub>II</sub> S<sub>III</sub> Syndrome:**
  - a) Prominent S wave in all of the standard leads I,II, III.
  - b) S wave greater than the preceding R wave in atleast one of these leads.
  - c) S wave in lead II should be greater than S wave in lead III.

### Statistical methods

Statistical testing was conducted with the statistical package for the social science system version SPSS 20.0. Continuous variables are presented as mean ± SD and categorized into groups; Categorical variables are presented as frequencies and percentage. Nominal categorical data between the groups were compared using Chi-square test or Fisher's exact test as appropriate.  $p < 0.05$  was considered statistically significant.

## RESULTS

**Table 1. Number and Percentage of Cases in Relation to Sex**

Sex	Number (n)	Percent (%)
Male	990	57.9
Female	720	42.1
Total	1710	100.0

A total of 1710 cases were studied. Out of which 990 (57.9%) were males and 720(42.1%) were females.

**Table 2. Distribution and percent of studied cases in relation to age**

Age in years	n	Percent (%)
20-29	50	2.9
30-39	130	7.6
40-49	230	13.5
50-59	308	18.0
60-69	386	22.6
70-79	458	26.8
80-89	128	7.5
>90	20	1.2
Total	1710	100.0

The number of studied cases increased with increase in age till 70-79 years of age; with maximum number of cases seen in the age group of 70-79 years: 458 (26.8%). Mean age was (61.5 ± 15.2) years.

**Table 3. Distribution of studied cases in relation to age and sex**

Age (years)	Sex				Total
	Male		Female		
	n	%	n	%	
20-29	35	70.0%	15	30.0%	50
30-39	75	57.7%	55	42.3%	130
40-49	146	63.5%	84	36.5%	230
50-59	140	45.5%	168	54.5%	308
60-69	233	60.4%	153	39.6%	386
70-79	279	60.9%	179	39.1%	458
80-89	70	54.7%	58	45.3%	128
>90	12	60.0%	8	40.0%	20
Total	990	57.9%	720	42.1%	1710

Among males, most of the studied cases were in 70-79 years age group: 279(28.18%) and among females most of the studied cases were in the age group of 70-79 years: 179(24.86%). Mean age among males was (62 ± 16) years with minimum and maximum age of (22 and 94) years respectively. Mean age among females was (62 ± 15) years with minimum and maximum age of 23 and 95 years respectively. There was no statistical significance between age of males and females (p=0.88). Males were more as compared to females in all the age groups except 50-59 year age group (54.5 % females vs 45.5 % males).

**Table 4. The number and percentage of different cardiac conduction defects in the studied population**

DIAGNOSIS	n	Percentage (%)
1 <sup>st</sup> DEGREE	34	2.0%
2 <sup>nd</sup> DEGREE TYPE 1	65	3.8%
2 <sup>nd</sup> DEGREE TYPE 2	26	1.5%
CHB	104	6.1%
LAHB	431	25.2%
LBBB	501	29.3%
LPHB	7	0.4%
RBBB	308	18.0%
RBBB + LAH	161	9.4%
RBBB + LPH	12	0.7%
SI SII SIII	13	0.8%
TFB	48	2.8%
Total	1710	100.0%

Of the studied cases, the different cardiac conduction defects in decreasing order of frequency were:

- LBBB 501 (29.2%).
- LAHB 431(25.2%).
- RBBB 308 (18.01%).
- Bifascicular block 173(10.1%).

- Complete Heart Block 104(6.08%).
- 2<sup>nd</sup> degree heart block type1 65(3.8%).
- Trifascicular Block 48(2.8%).
- 1<sup>st</sup> degree Heart block 34(1.99%).
- 2<sup>nd</sup> degree heart block type 2 26(1.5%).
- S<sub>I</sub> S<sub>II</sub> S<sub>III</sub> Syndrome 13(0.76%).
- LPHB 7(0.4%).

LBBB was the commonest conduction defect in both the sexes; 27.7% in males and 31.5% in females. In all the conduction defects, males were more as compared to females except in LPHB where females outnumbered males (57 % vs 43%). There was no statistical significance between males and females with regard to the conduction abnormalities (p=0.58). Left bundle branch block (LBBB), the commonest conduction defect in the studied cases showed increase in frequency with increase in age till 70-79 years of age. Maximum cases occurred in 70-79 years of age: 174(34.7%). Mean age for LBBB was 67 ± 12 years with minimum and maximum case seen at ages of 30 and 94 years respectively. Left anterior hemiblock (LAHB) had maximum cases in the age group of 70-79 years: 118 (27.4%). Mean age for LAHB was 59 ± 14 years with minimum and maximum case seen at ages of 23 and 87 years respectively. Right bundle branch block (RBBB) occurred maximum in the age group of 40-49 years: 72 (23.4%). Mean age for RBBB was 54 ± 16 years with minimum and maximum case seen at ages of 22 and 89 years respectively.

Bifascicular block (RBBB + LAH, RBBB + LPH) occurred maximum in the age group of 70-79 years of age: 56 (32.3%). Mean age for RBBB + LAH was 65 ± 12 years with minimum and maximum case seen at ages of 36 and 94 years respectively and Mean age for RBBB + LPH was 62 ± 12 years with minimum and maximum case seen at ages of 36 and 79 years respectively. Complete Heart Block (CHB) was common in the older age groups and maximum number of cases occurred in age group of 70-79 years: 47 (45.2%). Mean age for CHB was 76 ± 8 years with minimum and maximum case seen at ages of 58 and 93 years respectively.

2<sup>nd</sup> Degree Type 1 occurred maximum in the age group of 40-49 years: 20 (30.8%). Mean age for 2<sup>nd</sup> Degree Type 1 was 47 ± 11 years with minimum and maximum case seen at ages of 26 and 76 years respectively. Trifascicular block (TFB) was common in the older age groups with maximum cases occurring in the age group of 70-79 years : 23(47.9%). Mean age for TFB was 77 ± 9 years with minimum and maximum case seen at ages of 64 and 95 years respectively.

1<sup>st</sup> Degree heart block was common in the younger age groups and maximum cases belonged to the age group 30-39 years: 18 (52.9%). Mean age for 1<sup>st</sup> Degree Heart block was 32 ± 5 years with minimum and maximum case seen at ages of 24 and 44 years respectively.

2<sup>nd</sup> degree type 2 occurred maximum in the age group of 50-59 years: 11(42.3 %). Mean age for 2<sup>nd</sup> Degree Type 2 was 57 ± 13 years with minimum and maximum case seen at ages of 33 and 85 years respectively.

SI SII SIII occurred maximum in the age groups of 40-49 and 50-59 years: 4 (30.8%) each. Mean age for SI SII SIII was 53 ± 10 years with minimum and maximum case seen at ages of 35 and 70 years respectively.

**Table 5. the number and percentage of different cardiac conduction defects in relation to sex**

Diagnosis	Sex					
	Male			Female		
	n	Row %	Column %	n	Row %	Column %
1 <sup>st</sup> Degree	23	67.6%	2.3%	11	32.4%	1.5%
2 <sup>nd</sup> Degree Type 1	42	64.6%	4.2%	23	35.4%	3.2%
2 <sup>nd</sup> Degree Type 2	13	50.0%	1.3%	13	50.0%	1.8%
CHB	65	62.5%	6.6%	39	37.5%	5.4%
LAHB	257	59.6%	26.0%	174	40.4%	24.2%
LBBB	274	54.7%	27.7%	227	45.3%	31.5%
LPHB	3	42.9%	0.3%	4	57.1%	0.6%
RBBB	171	55.5%	17.3%	137	44.5%	19.0%
RBBB + LAH	99	61.5%	10.0%	62	38.5%	8.6%
RBBB + LPH	6	50.0%	0.6%	6	50.0%	0.8%
SI SII SIII	7	53.8%	0.7%	6	46.2%	0.8%
TFB	30	62.5%	3.0%	18	37.5%	2.5%
Total	990	57.9%	100.0%	720	42.1%	100.0%

**Table 6. The number and percentage of different cardiac conduction defects in relation to the age in the studied population**

DIAGNOSIS		AGE (in years)								Total
		20-29	30-39	40-49	50-59	60-69	70-79	80-89	>90	
1st DEGREE	n	14	18	2	0	0	0	0	0	34
	Row %	41.2%	52.9%	5.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	
2nd DEGREE TYPE 1	n	2	18	20	19	3	3	0	0	65
	Row %	3.1%	27.7%	30.8%	29.2%	4.6%	4.6%	0.0%	0.0%	
2nd DEGREE TYPE 2	n	0	2	5	11	2	5	1	0	26
	Row %	0.0%	7.7%	19.2%	42.3%	7.7%	19.2%	3.8%	0.0%	
CHB	n	0	0	0	3	27	47	20	7	104
	Row %	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	2.9%	26.0%	45.2%	19.2%	6.7%	
LAHB	n	10	38	72	82	99	118	12	0	431
	Row %	2.3%	8.8%	16.7%	19.0%	23.0%	27.4%	2.8%	0.0%	
LBBB	n	0	12	36	92	121	174	60	6	501
	Row %	0.0%	2.4%	7.2%	18.4%	24.2%	34.7%	12.0%	1.2%	
LPHB	n	0	0	1	3	2	1	0	0	7
	Row %	0.0%	0.0%	14.3%	42.9%	28.6%	14.3%	0.0%	0.0%	
RBBB	n	24	35	72	60	66	30	21	0	308
	Row %	7.8%	11.4%	23.4%	19.5%	21.4%	9.7%	6.8%	0.0%	
RBBB + LAH	n	0	5	18	30	49	53	4	2	161
	Row %	0.0%	3.1%	11.2%	18.6%	30.4%	32.9%	2.5%	1.2%	
RBBB + LPH	n	0	1	0	4	4	3	0	0	12
	Row %	0.0%	8.3%	0.0%	33.3%	33.3%	25.0%	0.0%	0.0%	
SI SII SIII	n	0	1	4	4	3	1	0	0	13
	Row %	0.0%	7.7%	30.8%	30.8%	23.1%	7.7%	0.0%	0.0%	
TFB	n	0	0	0	0	10	23	10	5	48
	Row %	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	20.8%	47.9%	20.8%	10.4%	
Total	n	50	130	230	308	386	458	128	20	1710
	Row %	2.9%	7.6%	13.5%	18.0%	22.6%	26.8%	7.5%	1.2%	

Left posterior hemiblock (LPHB) occurred maximum in the age group of 50-59 years: 3(42.9%). Mean age for was  $57 \pm 9$  years with minimum and maximum case seen at ages of 44 and 70 years respectively.

## DISCUSSION

Cardiac conduction abnormalities vary with population, age (being lowest in young and highest in elderly), from symptomatic to asymptomatic and from male to female. We conducted this hospital based study on 1710 patients to find out the pattern of the cardiac conduction defects.

### Sex Distribution

In our study we found males contributed 57.9% and females contributed 42.1 % of the studied cases with a male to female ratio of 1.4: 1 which is in conformity with the male predominance found by Gupta *et al.*, 1996 and De-Bacquer D *et al.*, 1995; Arvo *et al.*, 1996 found male: female ratio of 2.1:1 and Lone, found male: female ratio of 1.2:1.

We found male predominance in all the cardiac conduction defects except LPHB.

### Age distribution

In our study, the number of cases increased with increase in age which is in conformity with other studies like Wani, 1983; Lone; Charles *et al.*, 1981; Prata *et al.*, 1993; Arvo *et al.*, 1996. The maximum number of cases were seen in the age group of 70-79 years in conformity with the findings of Lawrence V. Perlman *et al.*, 1971. However, in our study the frequency of cases decreased after 80 years which may be due to less number of patients admitted in the hospital. Mean age in our study was  $61.5 \pm 15$  years which was comparable to the mean age found by Okmen *et al.*, 2000 ( $63.5 \pm 11$ ) years.

### Age-sex distribution

In our study, both males and female cases showed increased frequency till 80 years of age. Maximum number of cases in both sexes were found in the age group of 70-79 years which was in conformity the findings of Pooja *et al.*, 2012 and

Ristead Mulchay *et al.*, 1968 and Lawrence V. Perlman *et al.*, 1971. In contrast to our study, Gupta *et al.*<sup>15</sup> reported maximum prevalence in males in age group of 40-49 years and 50-59 years in females. Lone, found maximum prevalence in males and females in age groups of 45-54 and 55-64 years respectively.

### Conduction defects

In our study, we found the different cardiac conduction defects in the decreasing order of frequency as:

- LBBB 501 (29.2%).
- LAHB 431 (25.2%).
- RBBB 308 (18.01%).
- Bifascicular block 173(10.1%).
- Complete Heart Block 104(6.08%).
- 2<sup>nd</sup> degree heart block type I 65(3.8%).
- Trifascicular Block 48(2.8%).
- 1st degree Heart block 34(1.99%).
- 2<sup>nd</sup> degree heart block type 2 26 (1.5%).
- S<sub>I</sub> S<sub>II</sub> S<sub>III</sub> Syndrome 13(0.76%).
- LPHB 7(0.4%).

Wani, 1983 showed in a hospital based study the following sequence:

RBBB(32%) > LBBB=LAH(25%) > BFB(17%).

Najar, 1986 reported the following sequence:

LBBB(40%)>LAHB(32%)>RBBB+LAHB(18%)>RBBB(10%) which was in conformity with our study.

### REFERENCES

- Arvo, J.O. *et al.* 1996. Major Electrocardiographic Abnormalities among American Indians aged 45-74 years(The Strong Heart Study). *Am J Cardiol.*, 78:1400-1405.
- Assantachai, P. *et al.* 2002. An electrocardiographic survey of elderly Thai people in the rural community. *J Med Assoc Thai.*, Dec 85(12) : 1273-1279.
- Charles, F. 1981. Electrocardiograms in the aged: An independent Marker of heart disease, *Am J Of Med.*, 70:4-5.
- De Bacquer *et al.* 1995. Prevalence and correlates of ECG abnormalities in the adult Belgian population, *J Electrocardiol.*, 28(I): I-II.
- Fisch *et al.* 1957. The Electrocardiogram in persons over 70 years. *Geriatrics*, 12:616-620.
- Gupta, R., Sharma, S. 1996. Prevalence of asymptomatic electrocardiographic abnormalities in a rural population. *JAPI*, 44(II):775-777.
- Harrisons Principles of Internal Medicine. 18<sup>th</sup> Ed. Hurst's The Heart; 11<sup>th</sup> Edition p 893-895.
- John H Mc Anulty *et al.* ; Natural History of High risk Bundle Branch Block. *N Eng J Med.* 1982 ; 137:302.
- John H Mc Anulty *et al.* 1978. A prospective study of sudden cardiac death in "High risk" Bundle Branch Block. *N Eng J Med.*, 299:209-215
- John Merideth, Raymond D Pruitt, 1973. Disturbances in Cardiac Conduction and their Management. *Circulation*, 47:1098-1107.
- John, F.S. *et al.* 1979. Newly acquired Right Bundle Branch Block. The Framingham Study. *Ann Intern Med.*, 90:303.
- John, F.S. *et al.* 1980. Newly acquired Right Bundle Branch Block.The Framingham Study. *Ann Intern Med.*, 92:37-44.
- Kellkar, P.N., Elizabeth, J. 1996. Intraventricular conduction disturbances. *JAPI*, 44(6):402-406.
- Lawrence V. Perlman *et al.* 1971. An epidemiologic study of first degree atrioventricular Block in Tecumseh, Michigan. *Chest*, 59: 40-46.
- Najar, M.S. 1986. Clinical profile of intraventricular conduction defects in hospitalized patients in Kashmir, Thesis submitted of university of Kashmir, 1-72.
- Nihalick, M.H. and Fisch, *et al.* 1974. The electrocardiographic findings in the aged. *Am Heart J.*, 87:117.
- Nova Golschalger and Mervin J. Goldman, 1989. Principles of Clinical Electrocardiography. 13th Edition, Prentice Hall International Inc.
- Pooja Hingorani *et al.* 2012. *Indian J Med Res.*, 135, March, 322-330.
- Prata, S.P., Cunha, D.F., Prata, S.C., Nogucira, N. 1993. Prevalence of electrocardiographic Abnormalities in 2000 aged and nonaged chagastic patients. *Arq Brass Cardiol.*, 60(6):369-72.
- Ristead, M., Noel, H., Brain, M. 1968. Aetiology of Bundle Branch Block, *Brit Heart J.*, 30:34.
- Siedell JC. Obesity, 2000. Insulin Resistance and diabetes-world epidemic. *Br. J.*, 183:5-8.
- Suarez, R.M. *et al.* 1961. The Electrocardiogram in the aged. *J Am Geriatrics Soc.*, 91: 645.
- Upshaw, C.B. Jr. 2004. Comparison of the prevalence of first degree atrioventricular block in African –American and in Caucasian patients: an electrocardiographic study III, *J Natl Med Assoc.*, Jun ; 96(6): 756-760.
- Wani, B.A., Zargar, A.H. 1983. Pattern of Heart Block in Kashmir- An electrocardiographic Analysis in 3600 Hospitalized patients. Paper presented during 11<sup>th</sup> National conference on Cardiac Pacing, Calcutta March 3-5.

\*\*\*\*\*