

# INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF CURRENT RESEARCH

International Journal of Current Research Vol. 9, Issue, 09, pp.57601-57603, September, 2017

## **CASE REPORT**

# PROSTHODONTIC REHABILITATION OF PARTIAL GLOSSECTOMY WITH SPEECH THERAPY: A CASE REPORT

\*1Dr. Arka Swarnakar, 2Dr. Tarun Kumar Swarnakar, 3Dr. Angana Pal and 4Dr. Sandip Rajan

<sup>1</sup>Senior Lecturer, Dept. of Prosthodontics, Kothiwal Dental College and Research Centre, Moradabad <sup>2</sup>Associate Professor, Dept of Pediatricand Preventive Dentistry, Burdwan Dental College and Hospital, Burdwan <sup>3</sup>Post Graduate Student, Dept of Prosthodontics, Crown Bridge and Implantology, MaharanaPratap College of Dentistry and Research center, Gwalior

<sup>4</sup>Senior Resident, Dept of Dental Surgery, Safdarjung Hospital, New Delhi

#### ARTICLE INFO

### Article History:

Received 27<sup>th</sup> June, 2017 Received in revised form 22<sup>nd</sup> July, 2017 Accepted 04<sup>th</sup> August, 2017 Published online 30<sup>th</sup> September, 2017

#### Key words:

Partial glossectomy, Speech therapy, Oral exercises.

### **ABSTRACT**

Oral carcinomas accounts for about 40% of all carcinomas prevalent amongst the human beings. Those involving the tongue results in mild tosevere deficiencies of phonation, deglutition, mastication and taste depending upon the degree and extent tissues involved. Rehabilitation of such patients pose a challenge for the clinician and involves a careful observation and evaluation ofthe residual oral function. This article presents a case report of prosthodontic rehabilitationcompletely edentulous patient, who underwent partial glossectomy following surgical resection of the squamous cellcarcinoma involving left lateral borders of the tongue. An attempt was made to restore the comfort, speech and function of the patientwith additional assistance through speech therapy and simple oral exercises.

Copyright©2017, Dr. Arka Swarnakar et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Citation: Dr. Arka Swarnakar, Dr.Tarun Kumar Swarnakar, Dr.Angana Pal and Dr. Sandip Rajan, 2017. "Prosthodontic rehabilitation of partial glossectomy with speech therapy: A case report", *International Journal of Current Research*, 9, (09), 57601-57603.

## INTRODUCTION

Malignant lesions involving tongue have shown to have a higher prevalence along the posterior two-thirds and lateral borders of the tongue. The success rate of prosthetic rehabilitation depends mainly on the form and extent of remaining oral tissues, following surgical resection. Hence proper diagnosis and treatment plan is important to conserve the oral structures (Marunick, 2004). Complete or partial glossectomy results in morbidity related to speech and deglutition, due to altered residualtongue volume and mobility (Bressmann, 2009). Speech disorders include impaired articulation, reduced speechintelligibility and precision, altered oral and nasal resonance impaired voice quality, and reduction in global speech proficiency (Bachher, 2002). Radiation therapy further adds to these complications in form of xerostomia, acute mucositis, fibrosis, and trismus. The treatment options include modified dental prosthesis, speech therapy (Lauciello, 1980 and Furia, 2001), oral exercises (Logemann, 1997). This case report describes the prosthetic rehabilitation of the completely edentulous patient with

\*Corresponding author: Dr. Arka Swarnakar

Senior Lecturer, Dept. of Prosthodontics, Kothiwal Dental College and Research Centre, Moradabad.

partialglossectomy along the left lateral border of the tongue, following surgical resection of the squamous cellcarcinoma involving the tongue.

# **Clinical Report**

A 60 year old female patient reported with the chief complaint of difficulty in chewing due to missing teeth in upper and lower arches and impaired speech due to partial resection of tongue along the left lateral borderfollowing the surgery. The patient had been diagnosed with squamous cell carcinoma involving the left lateralborder of the tongue 1 years back. She underwent partial glossectomy with radical brachytherapy forthe same. General examination indicated nogross asymmetry, normal mouth opening and absence of any mandibular deviation. Intraorally, completely edentulous maxillary and mandibular residual alveolarridges were intact and were not included in the surgical resection (Fig. 1). The overlying mucosa was firm and resilient. The lingual sulcus was almost completely lost on the resected side. The floor of the mouth was also compromised with musculature partially covering the mandibular residual alveolar ridge on the left posteriorregion. The tongue was compromised with resected left lateral border, flaccid, with altered posture and

restrictedmovements (Fig. 2). A complain of dry mouth was also reported. The treatment plan included maxillary and mandibular complete dentures, with monoplane occlusal scheme.



Fig. 1. Well developed Maxillary arch residual alveolar ridge and partially resorbed mandibular residual alveolar ridge



Fig. 2. Partially resected tongue on left lateral border

The maxillary and mandibular primary impressions were made with impression compound (Pinnacle, DPI) in a stock metal tray using the conventional technique (Fig. 3). To avoid the burning sensation of zinc oxide eugenol impression material due to xerostomia, polyether (Impregum, 3M ESPE) was used as the material for final impression (Fig. 4).



Fig. 3. Primary impressions of maxillary and mandibular arch



Fig. 4. Final Impression using polyether impression material

The master cast was poured with type III dental stone. Jaw relation was recorded, decreasing the vertical dimension of occlusion slightly by reducing the height of the occlusal plane on the mandibular denture. Posterior teeth with reduced buccolingual width and cuspless teeth were selected.



Fig. 5. Lateral view of complete denture in centric relation position showing the anterior teeth placed close to each other to aid in phonation



Fig. 6. Final mandibular prosthesis showing asymmetrical lingual borders

These would aid in providing the stability to the mandibular denture as well as reduce the stress transmitted on to the under lyingridge, hence slow down the further resorption of the bone and aid in comfort to the thin mucosa. The teeth arrangement was done with the anteriorteeth arranged closed to prevent the escape of air between them and posterior in monoplane occlusion. Try-inwas done to verify the retention, stability and esthetics of the prosthesis. The final prosthesis was fabricated using heat activated polymethyl methacrylate (Heat Cure, DPI). After the denture was cured, it was finished and polished (Fig. 5). The lingual border on the affected side was asymmetrical due to los of vestibular depth (Fig. 6). Following insertion the patient regularly underwent the speech therapy and oral exercises. After insertion of denture, follow up was done after 24 hours, 1 week, 1 month and periodically after every 6 months. The patient expressed satisfaction and gratitude for the rehabilitation efforts.

# Speech Therapy (Bachher, 2001 and Savariaux, 2001)

The average speech session lasted for 30 minutes, following which patient was asked to repeat the exercises 3times daily. In producing alveolar plosives /t/, /d/, which requires tongue articulation, modified gesture similar to the production of labial plosives /b/, /p/ with the lips coming to each other. In producing the alveolar fricatives /s/, /z/, the speakers blew the

air through closed teeth instead. In producing stop consonants, different degree of labial protrusion and retraction was followed.

## Oral exercises (Sullivan, 2001 and Lazarus, 2003)

Patient was instructed that all exercises are five repetitions daily, five times a day.

- Openingher mouth as wide as possible for stimulation of tongue base.
- Next was pushing the non-affected side of tongueagainst a tongue depressor for count of three and was relaxed.
- Attempt to lick the alveolar ridge with her tongue, left to right, then right to left.
- Attempt to lick her lip, left to right, then right to left.
- Attempt to push non-affected cheek out with her tongue and hold for the count of three.
- With her teeth together and lips closed, attempt to push tongue forward and hold for count of three.

## **DISCUSSION**

The complete rehabilitation of glossectomy patient, aims at reduction in the size of the oral cavity thereby improving the resonance, developing altered articulating surface as well as the pattern which would diminishthe deficiencies related to speech and deglutition (Aramany, 1987). The vertical height was decreased because slight reduction on the mandibular denture allow the residual tongue to more conveniently place the food bolus on the occlusal table (Bhirangi, 2012). Posterior teeth with reduced buccolingual width and reduced cusp were arranged to provide monoplane occlusion. This offered better stability and comfort. Georgian, Logemann and Fisher suggested that speech therapy helps the patients develop compensatory strategies to produce speech sounds in an altered Strategies (Georgian, 1982). for communicative efficiency, such asmaintaining good eye contact with listeners and speaking at a slower rate, bring a positive change in theprognosis of the prosthesis. Apart from developing new places of articulation, other aspects of speech, such as the intonation, rhythm and speech rate, need to be modified for the betterment of the patient.

## Conclusion

Meticulous observation and evaluation of residual oral functions play a key role in the prosthetic rehabilitation of partial glossectomypatients. Patients often present with diversecomplaints and deficiencies related to speech and swallowing. Factors such as the extent of the surgical resection, type of reconstruction, the mobility of the residual oral and paraoral tissues, neuromuscular coordination, mental proficiency, and motivation dictate the degree to which the patient's impaired oral functions may be rehabilitated and were kept in mind while rehabilitating this patient.

A well planned effort could provide appropriate and successful rehabilitation, thereby restoring the comfort and function of the patient.

## REFERENCES

- Aramany, M. A., Downs, J.A., Beery, Q. C., Aslan, Y. 1987.

  Prosthetic rehabilitation for glossectomy patients. *J Prosthet Dent.*, 57: 608-611.
- Bachher, G. K., Dholam, K. P. 2001. Long term rehabilitation of a Total Glossectomy Patient. *J Indian ProsthodontSoc* 10(3): 194-196
- Bachher, G. K., Dholam, P. S., Pai, P. S. 2002. Effective rehabilitation after partial glossectomy. *The Indian Journal of Otolaryngology and Heck and Neck Surgery.*, 54(1): 43
- Bhirangi, P., Somani, P., Dholam, K. P., Bachher, G. 2012. Technical Considerations in Rehabilitation of anedentulous total Glossectomy Patient. *International Journal of Dentistry.*, 2012.
- Bressmann, T., Jacobs, H., Quintero, J., Irish, J. C. 2009. Speech outcomes for partial glossectomy surgery: Measures of speech articulation and listener perception. *Canadian Journal of Speech-LanguagePathology and Audiology* 33(4): 204-10
- Furia, C.L., Kowalski, L.P., Latorre, M.R., Anglelis, E.C., Martins, N.M., Barros, A.P., Ribeiro, K.C. 2001. Speechintelligibility after glossectomy and speech rehabilitation. Arch Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg., 127(7):877-83
- Georgian, D. A., Logemann, J. A., Fisher, H. B. 1982. Compensatory articulation patterns of a surgically treated oral cancer patient. *Journal of Speech and Hearing Disorders*.47:154-9
- Lauciello, F. R., Vergo, T., Schaaf, N. G., Zimmerman, R. 1980. Prosthodontic and speech rehabilitation afterpartial and complete glossectomy *J Prosthet Dent.*, 43(2): 204-11
- Lazarus, C.L., Logemann, J.A., Huang, C.H., Rademaker, A.W. Effects of two types of tongue strengthening exercises in young normals. Folia Phoniatrica.2003; 55:199-205.
- Logemann, J.A., Pauloski, B.R., Rademaker, A.W., Colangelo, L.A. Speech and swallowing rehabilitation for head and neck carcinoma patients. *Oncology*. 1997;5: 651-9.
- Marunick M, Tselios N. 2004. The efficacy of palatal augmentation prostheses for speech and swallowing in patients undergoing glossectomy: A review of the literature. *J Prosthet Dent.*, 91: 67-74.
- Savariaux, C., Perrier, P., Pape, D, Lebeau, J. 2001. Speech production after glossectomy and reconstructive lingual surgery: a longitudinal study. Proceedings of the 2nd International Workshop on Models and Analysis of Vocal Emissions for Biomedical Applications (MAVEBA). Firenze, Italy.
- Sullivan, P., Hind, J.A., Roecker, E.B., Carnes, M., Doyle, J., Dengel, G.A., Robbins, J. 2001. Lingual exercise protocol for head and neck cancer: A case study. *Dysphagia*. 16:154.