INTRODUCTION

Neoplasms of the small bowel are rare lesions that account for less than 5% of all gastrointestinal tumors. Furthermore, Gastrointestinal stromal tumors are mesenchymal tumors and represent 9% of all small bowel tumors.
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These tumors most frequently occur in the stomach, followed by jejunum and ileum. Occurrence in colon, rectum, esophagus and appendix is rare. GIST's predominantly grow extra-luminally. An intraluminal mass is far less common. Obstruction and intussusception are rare because GISTs do not involve the circumferential bowel wall. Intussusception of the bowel is defined as the telescoping of a proximal segment of the gastrointestinal tract within the lumen of the adjacent segment. This condition is frequent in children and presents with the classic triad of cramping abdominal pain, bloody
diarrhea and a palpable tender mass. However, bowel intussusception in adults is considered a rare condition, accounting for 5% of all cases of intussusceptions and almost 1%-5% of bowel obstruction (Azar, 1997). Eight to twenty percent of cases are idiopathic, without a lead point lesion. Secondary intussusception is caused by organic lesions, such as inflammatory bowel disease, postoperative adhesions, Meckel’s diverticulum, benign and malignant lesions, metastatic neoplasms or even iatrogenically, due to the presence of intestinal tubes, jejunostomy feeding tubes or after gastric surgery (Ishii et al., 2007; Archimandritis et al., 2001). Intraluminal GIST causing small bowel intussusception is a rare clinical entity and could present as a diagnostic and management challenge for the surgeons. CT-scan is the most sensitive investigation and surgical resection is treatment of choice with proper oncological principals.

Presentation of Case

Our patient, an elderly male of 67 years age, who presented with symptoms of intestinal obstruction i.e. vomiting, constipation and abdominal distention.

His symptoms first appeared 3 months ago, including colicky abdominal pain, off & on constipation, pain abdomen specially on oral intake, abdominal bloating and mild weight loss. These symptoms became more prominent with the passage of time. There was no history of fever, hematemesis, melena, fresh blood or mucus in stool and no other systemic symptoms. On examination patient was mildly anemic with regular pulse rate and slightly dehydrated. Abdominal examination revealed moderate distention and a diffuse or ill defined mass in right lower abdomen. Bowel sounds were increased in frequency and tympanatic in character.

On Digital rectal examination, rectum was empty. There was no significant lymphadenopathy and rest of systemic examination was unremarkable. Colonoscopy was normal. Erect X-ray abdomen showed significantly dilated small bowel loops with abrupt cut off in distal small bowel. Stool occult blood test was negative. Urgent Abdominal CT-scan with contrast was obtained for definitive pre-op diagnosis which showed the findings of ileo-ileo intussusception secondary to intraluminal pathology. A provisional diagnosis of intestinal obstruction secondary to small bowel pathology was made.

Fig. 1. Erect Abdominal Film

Fig-2 CT Image : Red arrow marks the point of intussusception, also dilated small bowel loops can be seen with multiple air fluid levels
Resuscitation of the patient was already started, nasogastric tube and Foley’s catheter passed, baseline investigation sent. CBC of the patient showed mild microcytic hypochromic anemia. Exploratory laparotomy was done, which confirmed ileo-ileal intussusception, about one and half feet segment of proximal ileum was involved. Involved segment was mildly inflamed and serosa thickened with proximal gut dilated. This segment of gut was resected and an end to end anastomosis made with vicryl 2-0 in an interrupted fashion after emptying the gut on table. Examination of resected specimen showed intraluminal 4 into 4 cm rounded firm soft tissue mass which was sub mucosal and served as lead point for intussusception. Surrounding mesentery of the lesion was fibrosed and mild serosal inflammation.

**Fig. 3: Ileo-ileal intussusception segment**

There were no mesenteric lymph node enlargement and liver / spleen were normal. Also no ascetic fluid was present in abdominal cavity. Proper closure of abdomen was done without any drain. Skin sealed with fibrin glue.

**Fig. 4: Bisected ileal segment showing intraluminal tumor**

Patient had his normal bowel function at 5th post-op day and was discharged. His follow-up is without any complications. Histopathology of resected specimen showed diagnosis of gastrointestinal stromal tumor of low malignant potential with resected small bowel margins free of any disease and no lymph nodes involved. It was decided to add no further adjuvant treatment in this patient.

**DISCUSSION**

First reported in 1674 by Barbette of Amsterdam (de Moulin et al., 1985) and further presented in a detailed report in 1789 by John Hunter (Noble, 1971) as “introssusception”, intussusception represents a rare form of bowel obstruction in the adult. Historically, Sir Jonathan Hutchinson was the first to operate on a child with intussusception in 1871 (Hutchinson, 1976). In adults, the exact mechanism of bowel intussusception is unknown (primary or idiopathic) in 8%-20% of cases and is more likely to occur in the small intestine (Azar, 1997; Begos, 1997; Erkan et al., 2005). On the other hand, secondary intussusception is believed to initiate from any pathologic lesion of the bowel wall or irritant within the lumen that alters normal peristaltic activity and serves as a lead point, which is able to initiate an invagination of one segment of the bowel into the other (Begos, 1977; Erkan et al., 2005). Schematically, intussusception could be described as an “internal prolapse” of the proximal bowel with its mesenteric fold within the lumen of the adjacent distal bowel as a result of overzealous or impaired peristalsis, further obstructing the free passage of intestinal contents and, more severely, compromising the mesenteric vascular flow of the intussuscepted segment. The result is bowel obstruction and inflammatory changes ranging from thickening to ischemia of the bowel wall.

The clinical presentation of adult intussusception varies considerably. The presenting symptoms are nonspecific and the majority of cases in adults have been reported as chronic, consistent with partial obstruction (Azar, 1997; Martin-Lorenzo et al., 2004). Variability in clinical presentation and imaging features often make the preoperative diagnosis of intussusception a challenging and difficult task. Reijnen et al. (1989) reported a preoperative diagnostic rate of 50%, while Eisen et al. (1999) reported a lower rate of 40.7%.

Plain abdominal films are typically the first diagnostic tool, since in most cases the obstructive symptoms dominate the clinical picture. Such films usually demonstrate signs of intestinal obstruction and may provide information regarding the site of obstruction (Eisen, 1999; Cerro et al., 2000). Upper gastrointestinal contrast series may show a “stacked coin” or “coil-spring” appearance, while a barium enema examination may be useful in patients with colo-colic or ileo-colic intussusception, during which a “cup-shaped” filling defect or “spiral” or “coil-spring” appearances are characteristically demonstrated (Eisen et al., 1999; Zubaidi, 2006; Wiot, 1970). Ultrasonography is considered a useful tool for the diagnosis of intussusception, both in children and in adults (Fujii, 2002; Boyle et al., 1993).
The classical imaging features include the “target” or “doughnut” signs on the transverse view and the “pseudo-kidney” sign or “hay-fork” sign in the longitudinal view (Boyle et al., 1993; Weissberg, 1977). Undoubtedly, this procedure requires handling and interpretation by an experienced radiologist, in order to confirm the diagnosis. However, obesity and the presence of massive air in the distended bowel loops limit the image quality and the subsequent diagnostic accuracy.

Abdominal computed tomography (CT) is currently considered as the most sensitive radiologic method to confirm intussusception, with a reported diagnostic accuracy of 58%-100% (de Moulin, 1985; Eisen, 1999; Erbil, 1997; Farrokhi et al., 1996; Gayer et al., 1996; Bar-Ziv et al., 1991; Tan et al., 2003). The characteristic features of CT scan include an un-homogeneous “target” or “sausage”-shaped soft-tissue mass with a layering effect, mesenteric vessels within the bowel lumen are also typical (Begos, 1997). A CT scan may define the location, the nature of the mass, its relationship to surrounding tissues and, additionally, it may help staging the patient with suspected malignancy causing the intussusceptions (Eisen, 1999). Flexible endoscopy of the lower GI tract is considered invaluable in evaluating cases of intussusception presenting with sub-acute or chronic large bowel obstruction (Begos et al., 1997). Confirmation of the intussusception, localization of the disease and demonstration of the underlying organic lesion serving as a lead point are the main benefits of endoscopy.

About 20-30 % of GISTs are malignant at presentation. In the normal bowel they are more often malignant than in the stomach. Tumors smaller than 2 cm are usually benign, whereas masses larger than 5 cm are often malignant. Malignant GISTs predominantly grow extra-luminally and can show necrosis, hemorrhage, calcification (post therapy) and fistula formation. Typically a GIST is a well defined and exophytic mass with heterogeneous enhancement and a clear delineation from the mesentery. An intraluminal mass is far less common. Obstruction is rare because GISTs do not involve the circumferential bowel wall, in contrast to adenocarcinoma. Unlike carcinoid tumors, the primary lesion in a GIST is large. Both GIST and lymphoma can show aneurysmal dilation of the bowel. Liver metastases are usually hyper-vascular and can be missed on a single portal venous phase CT. Lymph node metastases are generally not seen. If lymphadenopathy is seen, you should consider another diagnosis. Mesenteric or omental metastases are more common in recurrent disease than at first presentation. This is thought to be due to spill of tumor during surgery. These metastases can be easily missed, as they often have a low-density center. After chemotherapy (Imatinib or Gleevec), the liver and mesenteric metastases become hypo-vascular or even cystic. Despite radical surgical resection, 40-90 % of patients have recurrence of disease in liver or mesentery. Gleevec can be given in case of metastatic disease. Disease recurrence in resected GIST showing hypo-dense liver metastases and a large heterogeneous peritoneal metastasis (Sandrasegaran et al., 2005). Due to the fact that adults present with acute, sub-acute, or chronic nonspecific symptoms (Haas, 2003), the initial diagnosis is missed or delayed and is established only when the patient is on the operating table. Most surgeons accept that adult intussusception requires surgical intervention because of the large proportion of structural anomalies and the high incidence of occurring malignancy.

However, the extent of bowel resection and the manipulation of the intussuscpted bowel during reduction remain controversial (Begos, 1997). In contrast to pediatric patients, where intussusception is primary and benign, preoperative reduction with barium or air is not suggested as a definite treatment for adults (Begos et al., 1997; Eisen, 1999; Weilbaecher et al., 1971).

The theoretical risks of preliminary manipulation and reduction of an intussuscepted bowel include: (1) intraluminal seeding and venous tumor dissemination, (2) perforation and seeding of microorganisms and tumor cells to the peritoneal cavity and (3) increased risk of anastomotic complications of the manipulated friable and edematous bowel tissue (Azar, 1997; Nagorney, 1981; Begos, 1997; Eisen et al., 1999; Reijnen et al., 1989). Moreover, reduction should not be attempted if there are signs of inflammation or ischemia of the bowel wall (Tan et al., 2003). Therefore, in patients with ileocolic, ileo-cecal, colo-colic and ileo-ileo intussusceptions, especially those more than 60 years of age, due to the high incidence of bowel malignancy as the underlying etiologic factor, formal resections using appropriate oncologic techniques are recommended, with the construction of a primary anastomosis between healthy and viable tissue (Begos et al., 1997; Reijnen et al., 1989; Eisen, 1999; Felix et al., 1976; Wolff et al., 2008; Gonzalez, 2008). Finally, several reports have been published regarding the laparoscopic approach of adult intussusception, due to benign and malignant lesions of the small and large bowel (Lin et al., 2007; Palanivelu et al., 2007; Chuang et al., 2007; Ishibashi et al., 2007).

**Conclusion**

Surgery i.e. resection and primary end to end anastomosis is treatment of choice in adults in contrast to pediatric group where a trial of air/ barium enema or intra-operative reduction is preferred treatment for intussusception. Involved segment of bowel should not be reduced intra-operatively in such elderly patients to prevent theoretical risk of tumor cells spillage intraluminally or intra-abdominally. Proper oncological principals must be followed. All abdominal viscera must be thoroughly inspected for metastatic deposits. Complete resection and reconstruction of involved bowel is sufficient treatment. In recurrent or metastatic disease, Imatinib and Gleevec are used as chemotherapeutic agents.
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