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ABSTRACT

The research focuses on the nonlinear propagation of electron-ion (El) acoustic solitary waves in a degenerate quantum plasma (containing Maxwellian electrons, vortex-like negative ions, cold mobile positive ions, and arbitrarily charged static dust grains) have been theoretically investigated. The Korteweg-de Vries (KdV) and modified KdV (mKdV) equations are derived by adopting the reductive perturbation method. Their stationary solutions are derived and analyzed analytically as well as numerically to study some new basic features of the El acoustic solitary structures that are commonly found to exist in degenerate quantum plasma. It is found that the basic properties (viz. amplitude, width, and phase speed, etc.) of the El acoustic waves are significantly modified by the effects of relativistic ally degenerate electrons and light ions, quantum pressure, number densities of plasma particles, and external magnetic field, etc. The results of this theoretical investigation may be useful for understanding the formation and features of the solitary structures in astrophysical compact objects like white dwarfs, neutron stars, active galactic nuclei, pulsars etc. are pinpointed.

INTRODUCTION

Progress in the understanding of dense quantum plasmas has received an immense interest not only because of their omnipresence in many astrophysical compact objects (like white dwarfs, neutron stars, active galactic nuclei, etc. (Woolsey et al., 2004; Shapiro, 1983; Garcia-Berro, 2010; Mamun, 2010)), but also for their available application in the laboratory i.e., intense laser-solid matter interaction experiment (Berezhiani, 1995; Mukhmd, 2006). The degenerate compact objects e.g. white dwarfs, neutron stars, etc. are usually consist of extremely dense iron/oxygen/carbon and helium nuclei (Shiıkita, 2011; Massey, 1976) are ideal examples of degenerate plasma systems where the quantum mechanical effects (i.e., when the de Broglie thermal wavelength of the charged particles is equal or larger than the average inter-particle distance) play an important role in the plasma dynamics (Abdikian, 2016). The plasma in the interior of white dwarfs and in the crust of neutron stars are extremely dense and highly degenerate (Mamun, 2010; Chabrier, 2006; Lai, 2001; Harding, 2006) (plasma particles number densities can be of the order of 10^30cm^-3, or even more and the magnetic field strength can also be very large, i.e., B >> 10^9G). For such plasmas, the Fermi temperature TFIs high and the quantum-mechanical effects associated with the quantum statistical pressure and the quantum force involving the Bohm potential (causing the plasma particles tunneling) are expected to play an important role (Manfredi, 2005). Therefore, by adding the quantum statistical pressure term (the Fermi-Dirac distribution) and the quantum diffraction term (the Bohm potential) to the fluid model generalized QHD model (Manfredi, 2001; Manfredi, 2005) is obtained. A number of works (Rouhani, 2014; Hossain, 2011; Haas, 2003; Bhowmik, 2007; Saeed-ur-Rehman, 2012; Hossen, 2015) have been done by considering the quantum effects of plasma particles in different plasma medium. The behaviour of high densities and low temperatures quantum plasmas was first studied by Pines (Pines, 1961). Haas et al. (Haas et al., 2003) found in their investigation that the Bohm potentials associated with the plasma particles significantly modify the basic features of the nonlinear IA waves. Bhowmiket al. (2007) investigated the effects of quantum diffraction parameter H, and the equilibrium density ratio of the plasma species in modifying the electron-acoustic (EA) waves in a quantum El plasma.
On the other hand, Ali et al. (2007) analyzed the IA waves in an EPI plasma, and found that the nonlinear properties of the IA waves are significantly affected by the inclusion of the quantum terms in the momentum equations of electrons and positrons. But none of them considered the effects of external magnetic field and the presence of the heavy ions. It is well known that (Miller, 1987; Plastino, 1993; Gervino, 2012) the presence of external magnetic field (which causes the obliqueness of the wave propagation) plays a vital role in modifying the basic features of the linear and nonlinear waves in space and astrophysical plasmas (Mahmood, 2008; Sultana, 2010; El-Tantawy, 2012; Shahmansouri, 2013; Alinejad, 2013; Ashraf et al., 2014), and that the most of astrophysical degenerate quantum plasma systems like white dwarfs and neutron stars usually contain degenerate electrons and light ions along with heavy ions (Koester, 1990). This means that the effects of heavy ions and magnetic field must be considered, specially for the study of the nonlinear phenomena in the degenerate astrophysical objects (Woolsey, 2004; Shapiro, 1983; Torres et al., 2010; Mamun, 2010). Obliquely propagating electron-acoustic (EA) solitary waves (SWs) in a two electron population quantum magnetized neutral plasma was theoretically investigated by Masood and Mushtaq (2008). They found that propagation characteristics of the EA SWs are significantly affected by the presence of quantum corrections and the ratio of hot to cold electron concentration. Recently, Hossen and Mamun have theoretically investigated the nonlinear positron-acoustic (PA) waves propagating in the fully relativistic electron-positron-ion plasma and found that the effects of relativistic degeneracy of electrons and positrons, static heavy ions, plasma particles velocity, and enthalpy, etc. have significantly modified the basic properties of the PA SWs. Using a fully relativistic set of two fluids plasma equations, Lee and Choi (Lee, 2007), Tribeche and Boukhalfa (2011), Saberianet al., (2011), and Akbari-Moghanjoughi (2011) have studied the characteristics of the nonlinear IA waves in different fully relativistic plasmas. Therefore, in our present work, we have examined the basic properties of the El acoustic waves propagating in a degenerate quantum plasma composed of relativistically magnetized quantum electrons and light ions in the presence of stationary heavy ions. We have considered the quantum mechanical (such as tunneling associated with the Bohm potential) effects for both electrons and light ions which abundantly occurs in different astrophysical situations (viz. white dwarfs, neutron stars, active galactic nuclei, etc. (Woolsey, 2004; Shapiro, 1983; Garcia-Berro, 2010; Mamun, 2010), and laboratory plasmas like intense laser-solid matter interaction experiment (5. 6). The manuscript is organized as in Sec. II, the basic equations governing our plasma model are presented; in Sec. III and IV, the K-dV and mK-dV equations along with their solutions are derived; in Sec. V, a brief discussion is given.

**Model Equations:** The propagation of the ElA waves in a degenerate dense quantum plasma system containing degenerate electron and positron fluids (both non-relativistic and ultrarelativistic), and inertial nonrelativistic light ion fluid has been considered. We consider a collision less plasma system with an ambient magnetic field directed along the z axis, i.e., (Bo = 2B). where 2 is a unit vector in the z direction. At equilibrium, the quasi-neutrality condition can be expressed as

\[ Z_i \eta_{ho} + \eta_{ho} - \eta_{co} = 0 \]

where \( \eta_{ho} \), \( \eta_{io} \), and \( \eta_{co} \) are the equilibrium number densities of immobile heavy ions, light ions, and electrons, respectively, and \( Z_h \) is the immobile heavy ions charge state respectively. The dynamics of the electrostatic ElA waves propagating in such plasma system is governed by the following set of normalized equations.

\[ \frac{\partial N_s}{\partial T} + \nabla \left( N_s U_s \right) = 0 \]  

(1)

\[ \frac{\partial U_s}{\partial T} + (U_s, \nabla) U_s = \frac{q_s}{m_s} \left[ -\nabla^s + \frac{1}{c} U_s \times \mathbf{B} \right] \]

\[ - \frac{\nabla^s P^s}{m_e N_s} + \frac{\hbar^2}{2m_e} \nabla \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{n_s}} \nabla^{s2} \sqrt{N_s} \right) \]

\[ \nabla^{s2} \Phi = -4\pi \varepsilon (N_i - N_e + Z_h N_h) \]  

(3)

is \( P^s \) and the full expression for it is (Mon, 1935)

\[ P^s = \frac{\pi m_e^4 c^5}{3 h^3} \left[ R_s \left( R_s^2 + 1 \right)^{1/2} \left( 2R_s^2 - 3 \right) + 3 \sinh^{-1} \left( R_s \right) \right] \]  

(4)

in which the relativity parameter \( R_s = \frac{p_{Fs} / m_s c}{(3h^3 N_s / 8\pi)^{1/3}} \) is the Fermi relativistic momentum of s'th species. Taking \( N_s = N_{s0} + N_{s1}, N_{s1} \) is the perturbation of the s'th species with \( \left| N_{s1} \right| << N_{s0} \) and the Taylor expansion up to second order, Eq. (4) turns to
\[ P_i' = P_{i0} + \frac{\partial P_{i0}'}{\partial N} N_{i0} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 P_{i0}'}{\partial N^2} N_{i0}^2, \]
\[ = P_{i0} + \frac{2\epsilon F_i}{3Y_{s0} N_{i0}} + \frac{(3R_0^2 + 4)\epsilon F_i}{9Y_{s0}^2 N_{i0}^2}, \]

(5)

where \( Y_{s0} = \sqrt{1 + R_0^2}, \) \( R_0 = P_{i0} / m_i c, \) \( P_{i0} = (3\hbar^3 n_{i0} / 8\pi)^{1/3}, \) and \( \epsilon F_i = \hbar^2 (3\pi^2 n_i)^{2/3} / 2m_i. \) In order to normalize the basic Eqs. (1)-(3), we use the following normalized parameters

\[ T \rightarrow t\omega_{pe}, \nabla^* \rightarrow \frac{\omega_{pe}}{u_F} \nabla, \Phi \rightarrow \frac{e\phi}{2\epsilon F}, U_s \rightarrow \frac{u_s}{u_F}, \]
\[ N_s \rightarrow \frac{n_s}{n_{i0}}, \omega_{ps} \rightarrow \sqrt{\frac{4\pi^2 n_{i0}}{m_i}}, H_s \rightarrow \frac{h\omega_{pe}}{2\epsilon F}, \]
\[ u_F \rightarrow \sqrt{2\epsilon F_i / m_i}, \omega_c \rightarrow \frac{eB_0}{m_i c}, \Omega_c \rightarrow \frac{\omega_c}{\omega_{pe}}, \]
\[ P_i' \rightarrow P_i / 2\epsilon_{Fe} n_{i0}, \eta \rightarrow \frac{\epsilon_{Fe}}{\epsilon_{Fi}}, \sigma \rightarrow \frac{n_{i0}}{n_{e0}}. \]

(6)

The normalized equations for the ion quantum fluid can be written as

\[ \frac{\hat{\partial} n_i}{\hat{\partial} t} + \nabla \cdot (n_i u_i) = 0, \]

(7)

\[ \frac{\hat{\partial} u_i}{\hat{\partial} t} + (u_i \nabla) u_i = -\nabla \phi + \Omega_c (u_i \times \hat{z}) - \frac{\nabla P_i}{n_i} \]
\[ + \frac{H^2}{2(1 - \sigma Z_a)^2} \nabla \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{n_i}} \nabla^2 \sqrt{n_i} \right), \]

(8)

and the normalized equations for the electron quantum fluid can be written as

\[ \frac{\hat{\partial} n_e}{\hat{\partial} t} + \nabla \cdot (n_e u_e) = 0, \]

(9)

\[ \frac{\hat{\partial} u_e}{\hat{\partial} t} + (u_e \nabla) u_e = \nabla \phi - \Omega_e (u_e \times \hat{z}) - \frac{\eta \nabla P_e}{n_e} \]
\[ + \frac{H^2}{2} \nabla \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{n_e}} \nabla^2 \sqrt{n_e} \right), \]

(10)

and the normalized form of the Poisson equation is

\[ \nabla^2 \phi = n_e - n_i (1 - \sigma Z_a) - Z_a \sigma. \]

(11)

K-dV EQUATION
In order to examine the characteristics of the nonlinear propagation of the electrostatic perturbation modes in the relativistically magnetized quantum plasmas (under consideration), we will derive the K-dV equation employing the reductive perturbation method (47). So, we first introduce the stretched coordinates (Washimi, 1966) as

\[ \xi = \xi_0 + \xi_1 + \xi_2 (l_z, l_y, l_y - V_p t), \]
\[ \tau = \tau_0 + \tau_1 + \tau_2 l_z, \]

where \( V_p = \omega / \kappa(\omega) \) is the wave phase speed, \( \xi \in (0 < \xi < 1) \) is a measure of the solitary wave amplitude i.e., a measure of the weakness of the dispersion or of the nonlinear effect, \( l_x, l_y, \) and \( l_z \) are the direction cosines of the wave vector \( k \) along the \( x, y, \) and \( z \) axes, respectively, so that \( l_x^2 + l_y^2 = 1 \). We then expand the perturbed quantities \( n_i, n_e, u_{sx}, u_{sy}, \) and \( \phi \) about their equilibrium values in power series of \( \xi \) as

\[ n_i = 1 + \xi n_{i(1)} + \xi^2 n_{i(2)} + \xi^3 n_{i(3)} + \ldots, \]
\[ n_e = 1 + \xi n_{e(1)} + \xi^2 n_{e(2)} + \xi^3 n_{e(3)} + \ldots, \]
\[ u_{sx} = 1 + \xi u_{sx(1)} + \xi^2 u_{sx(2)} + \xi^3 u_{sx(3)} + \ldots, \]
\[ u_{sy} = 1 + \xi u_{sy(1)} + \xi^2 u_{sy(2)} + \xi^3 u_{sy(3)} + \ldots, \]
\[ \phi = \phi(1) + \xi^2 \phi(2) + \xi^3 \phi(3) + \ldots. \]

Now, substituting Eqs. (12)-(18) in Eqs. (7)-(11), and equating the coefficients for the lowest order of \( \xi \), we obtain the first order continuity equations, the \( z \)-component of the momentum equations, and Poisson's equation, which after simplification, we can write as

\[ n_i = \frac{3y_{ei}l_z^2}{3y_{e0}V_p^2 - l_z^2} \phi(1), \]
\[ u_{z} = \frac{3y_{ei}l_zV_p}{3y_{e0}V_p^2 - l_z^2} \phi(1), \]
\[ n_e = \frac{3y_{e0}l_z^2}{\eta l_z^2 - \eta y_{e0}V_p^2} \phi(1), \]
\[ u_{e} = \frac{3y_{e0}l_zV_p}{\eta l_z^2 - \eta y_{e0}V_p^2} \phi(1), \]
\[ v_p = \sqrt{\frac{y_{ei}l_z^2 + \eta y_{ei}l_z^2 (1 - Z_s \sigma)}{3y_{e0}y_{e0}(2 - Z_s \sigma)}}. \]

We define \( l_z = \cos \delta \), where \( \delta \) is the angle between the directions of the wave propagation vector \( k \) and the external magnetic field \( B_0 \). The Eq. (23) represents the dispersion relation for the acoustic type electrostatic waves in the degenerate quantum plasma under consideration. We can write the first order \( x \)— and \( y \)—components of the momentum equations as
Eqs. (24)-(27), represent the x— and y—components of the ion-electron electric field drift, respectively. Again, substituting Eqs. (12)-(18) in Eq. (8) and Eq. (10) and using Eqs. (19)-(27), we obtain the next higher order x and y—components of the momentum equations as

\[
\frac{\partial u_{ix}^{(1)}}{\partial \xi} = -\frac{3l_i \gamma_{i0} V_p^2}{\Omega_e (3\gamma_{i0} V_p^2 - l_z^2)} \frac{\partial \phi^{(1)}}{\partial \xi},
\]

\[
\frac{\partial u_{iy}^{(1)}}{\partial \xi} = -\frac{3l_i \gamma_{i0} V_p^2}{\Omega_e (3\gamma_{i0} V_p^2 - l_z^2)} \frac{\partial \phi^{(1)}}{\partial \xi},
\]

\[
\frac{\partial u_{ix}^{(2)}}{\partial \xi} = -\frac{3l_i \gamma_{i0} V_p}{\Omega_e (3\gamma_{i0} V_p^2 - l_z^2)} \frac{\partial \phi^{(1)}}{\partial \xi},
\]

\[
\frac{\partial u_{iy}^{(2)}}{\partial \xi} = -\frac{3l_i \gamma_{i0} V_p}{\Omega_e (3\gamma_{i0} V_p^2 - l_z^2)} \frac{\partial \phi^{(1)}}{\partial \xi},
\]

Eqs. (28)-(31), denote the y— and x—components of the ion-electron polarization drift, respectively. Further, substituting Eqs. (12)-(18) in Eqs. (7)-(11), we obtain the next higher order continuity equations, the z—component of the momentum equations, and Poisson's equation, which can be given as

\[
\frac{\partial n_{ix}^{(1)}}{\partial \tau} - V_p \frac{\partial n_{ix}^{(2)}}{\partial \xi} + l_z \frac{\partial u_{ix}^{(2)}}{\partial \xi} + l_y \frac{\partial u_{iy}^{(2)}}{\partial \xi} + l_z \frac{\partial u_{iz}^{(2)}}{\partial \xi} + l_z \frac{\partial n_{ix}^{(1)}}{\partial \xi} = 0,
\]

\[
H^2 l_z \frac{\partial^3 n_{ix}^{(1)}}{\partial \xi^3} = 0
\]
The solution of the SWs can be written as

\[\frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} \left( n_e^{(1)} u_e^{(1)} \right) = 0, \tag{34}\]

\[
\frac{\partial u_e^{(1)}}{\partial \tau} - V_p \frac{\partial u_e^{(2)}}{\partial \xi} + I_a u_e^{(1)} \frac{\partial u_e^{(1)}}{\partial \xi} - I_z \frac{\partial \phi^{(2)}}{\partial \xi} + \eta l_e \frac{\partial n_e^{(2)}}{\partial \xi} + \frac{\eta l_z}{3\gamma e_0} \frac{\partial n_e^{(2)}}{\partial \xi} + \frac{\eta l_z (3R^e_{\sigma} + 4)}{9\gamma e_0} \frac{\partial n_e^{(1)}}{\partial \xi} - \frac{\eta l_z n_e^{(1)}}{3\gamma e_0} \frac{\partial n_e^{(1)}}{\partial \xi} - \frac{H^2 l_z}{4(1 - Z_n \sigma)^{2/3}} \frac{\partial^3 n_e^{(1)}}{\partial \xi^3} = 0, \tag{35}\]

\[
\frac{\partial^2 \phi^{(1)}}{\partial \xi^2} = n_e^{(2)} - (1 - Z_n \sigma) n_i^{(2)} \tag{36}\]

Now, simplifying Eqs. (32)-(36) by using Eqs. (19)-(31), and combining each other, we finally obtain our desired equation in the form

\[
\frac{\partial^2 \phi^{(1)}}{\partial \tau} + A \phi^{(1)} \frac{\partial \phi^{(1)}}{\partial \xi} + B \frac{\partial^3 \phi^{(1)}}{\partial \xi^3} = 0, \tag{37}\]

Eq. (37) is the well known K-dV equation describing the dynamics of the SWs propagating in the degenerate quantum plasma. The nonlinear coefficient, A and the dispersion coefficient, B are given as

\[A = \frac{L_1 + L_2 + L_3 + L_4}{6\gamma e_0 V_p a b + 6\gamma e_0 V_p a b (1 - Z_n \sigma)}, \tag{38}\]

\[B = \frac{K_1 - K_2 - K_3 + K_4 - K_5}{72\gamma e_0 V_p l_z^2 a^2 \Omega_e^2 + 72\gamma e_0 V_p l_z^2 b^2 \Omega_e^2 (1 - Z_n \sigma)}, \tag{39}\]

Where

\[a = (3\gamma e_0 V_p^2 - l_z^2), \quad b = (\eta l_z^2 - 3\gamma e_0 V_p^2), \]

\[L_1 = 27\gamma e_0 V_p^2 a l_z^2, \quad L_2 = \eta a l_z (3R^e_{\sigma} - 3\gamma e_0 + 4), \]

\[L_3 = 27\gamma e_0 V_p b l_z^2 (1 - Z_n \sigma), \]

\[L_4 = b^2 l_z^2 (3R^e_{\sigma} - 3\gamma e_0 + 4) (1 - Z_n \sigma), \]

\[K_1 = 4a b \Omega_e^2, \quad K_2 = 36\gamma e_0 V_p^4 a^2 (1 - l_z^2), \]

\[K_3 = 9\gamma e_0 l_z^4 H^2 a^2 \Omega_e^2, \]

\[K_4 = 36\gamma e_0 V_p b^2 (1 - l_z^2), (1 - Z_n \sigma), \]

\[K_5 = 9\gamma e_0 l_z^2 H^2 b^2 \Omega_e^2 (1 - Z_n \sigma)^{1/3}, \tag{40}\]

Now, to investigate the properties of the El acoustic SWs, we are interested in the SWs solution of the K-dV equation. To do so, we introduce another stretched coordinates, \(\zeta = \xi - u_0 \tau\). After the coordinate transformation, the steady state \((\partial / \partial \tau = 0)\) solution of the SWs can be written as

\[\phi^{(1)} = \phi_m \text{sech}^2 \left( \frac{\zeta}{\delta_1} \right), \tag{41}\]
where the amplitude $\phi_m = 3U_0 / A$ and the width, $\Delta_t = \sqrt{4B / U_0}$. It is seen that the quantum parameter $\mathcal{H}$ is present in $\delta_1$, whereas $\phi_m$ is totally independent of this parameter.

mK-DV EQUATION

We now follow the K-dV equation (Eq. (37)) is the result of the second order calculation in the smallness parameter $\varepsilon$, where the quadratic nature has been revealed by the nonlinear term $A\phi \partial^3 \phi / \partial \xi^3$. For plasmas with more than two species as like our system, however, there can arise cases where $A$ vanishes at a particular value of a certain parameter $\sigma$, and Eq. (37) fails to describe nonlinear evolution of perturbation. So, higher order calculation is needed at this critical value $\sigma = \sigma_c$. For this reason, to derive the mK-dV equation, we apply the following stretched coordinates (Washimi, 1966)

$$\xi = \varepsilon^{1/2} (l_x + l_y + l_z - V_p t),$$

$$\tau = \varepsilon^{3/2} t,$$

We then expand the variables $n_i, n_e, u_{ex}, u_{ey}, u_{exy}$, and $\phi$, in power series of $\varepsilon$ as

$$n_i = 1 + \varepsilon^{1/2} n_i^{(1)} + \varepsilon n_i^{(2)} + \varepsilon^{3/2} n_i^{(3)} + \ldots,$$

$$n_e = 1 + \varepsilon^{1/2} n_e^{(1)} + \varepsilon n_e^{(2)} + \varepsilon^{3/2} n_e^{(3)} + \ldots,$$

$$u_{ex} = \varepsilon u_{ex}^{(1)} + \varepsilon^{3/2} u_{ex}^{(2)} + \varepsilon u_{ex}^{(3)} + \ldots,$$

$$u_{ey} = \varepsilon u_{ey}^{(1)} + \varepsilon u_{ey}^{(2)} + \varepsilon^{3/2} u_{ey}^{(3)} + \ldots,$$

$$\phi = \varepsilon^{1/2} \Phi^{(1)} + \varepsilon^{3/2} \Phi^{(2)} + \varepsilon^{3/2} \Phi^{(3)} + \ldots$$

By using Eq. (42)-(48) in Eqs. (7)-(11), we found the values of $n_i^{(1)}, n_e^{(1)}, u_{ex}^{(1)}, u_{ey}^{(1)}, u_{exy}^{(1)},$ and $V_p$ as like that of the K-dV equation. To the next higher order of $\varepsilon$, we obtain a set of equations, after using the values of $n_i^{(1)}, n_e^{(1)}, u_{ex}^{(1)}, u_{ey}^{(1)}, u_{exy}^{(1)},$ and $V_p$ can be simplified as

$$n_i^{(2)} = \frac{81V_p^2 l_i^4 \gamma_0^3 + 3l_i^6 (3R_0^2 - 3\gamma_0^3 + 4)}{2(3\gamma_0^2 V_p^2 - l_i^2)^2} \left[ \Phi^{(1)} \right]^3$$

$$+ \frac{3l_i^2 \gamma_0^3}{(3\gamma_0^2 V_p^2 - l_i^2)} \Phi^{(2)}$$

$$n_e^{(2)} = \frac{-81V_p^2 l_i^4 \gamma_0^3 + 3l_i^6 (3R_0^2 - 3\gamma_0^3 + 4)}{2(\eta l_i^2 - 3\gamma_0 V_p^2)^3} \left[ \Phi^{(1)} \right]^3$$

$$+ \frac{3l_i^2 \gamma_0^3}{(\eta l_i^2 - 3\gamma_0 V_p^2)} \Phi^{(2)}$$

$$u_{ey}^{(2)} = \frac{l_x}{3\Omega, \gamma_0} \frac{\partial n_i^{(2)}}{\partial \xi} + \frac{l_x l_i^3 (3R_0^2 - 3\gamma_0^2 + 4)}{\gamma_0 \Omega_c (3\gamma_0 V_p^2 - l_i^2)^2} \frac{\Phi^{(1)}}{\partial \xi} \frac{\partial \Phi^{(1)}}{\partial \xi}$$
To the next higher order of $\varepsilon$, we obtain a set of equations which after simplification as follows
\[\frac{\partial \chi^{(3)}}{\partial \xi} = \frac{18V_{\nu}f_{\nu e}^l \gamma_{\nu 0}^3}{(3 \gamma_{\nu 0}^2 V_{\nu 0}^2 - l_z^2)^2} \frac{\partial \Phi^{(1)}}{\partial \tau} + 3l_z^2 \gamma_{\nu 0} \frac{\partial \Phi^{(3)}}{\partial \xi} + \left[ \frac{9l_z^4 H^2 \gamma_{\nu 0}^2}{ \Omega_z^2 (3 \gamma_{\nu 0} V_{\nu 0}^2 - l_z^2)^2} - \frac{9l_z^4 H^2 \gamma_{\nu 0}^2}{(3 \gamma_{\nu 0} V_{\nu 0}^2 - l_z^2)^2} \right] \frac{\partial \Phi^{(1)}}{\partial \xi} + \left[ \frac{81 V_{\nu} f_{\nu e}^l \gamma_{\nu 0}^3 - 3l_z^2 (3 \gamma_{\nu 0}^2 - 3 \gamma_{\nu 0}^2 + 4)}{(3 \gamma_{\nu 0} V_{\nu 0}^2 - l_z^2)^2} \frac{\partial \Phi^{(1)}}{\partial \xi} \right] \right] \frac{\partial \Phi^{(1)}}{\partial \xi}, \]  

(60)

\[\frac{\partial \phi^{(3)}}{\partial \xi} = \frac{18V_{\nu}f_{\nu e}^l \gamma_{\nu 0}^3}{(\eta l_z^2 - 3 \gamma_{\nu 0} V_{\nu 0}^2)^2} \frac{\partial \Phi^{(1)}}{\partial \tau} + 3l_z^2 \gamma_{\nu 0} \frac{\partial \Phi^{(3)}}{\partial \xi} + \left[ \frac{9l_z^4 H^2 \gamma_{\nu 0}^2}{ \Omega_z^2 (\eta l_z^2 - 3 \gamma_{\nu 0} V_{\nu 0}^2)^2} - \frac{9l_z^4 H^2 \gamma_{\nu 0}^2}{(\eta l_z^2 - 3 \gamma_{\nu 0} V_{\nu 0}^2)^2} \right] \frac{\partial \Phi^{(1)}}{\partial \xi} + \left[ \frac{81 V_{\nu} f_{\nu e}^l \gamma_{\nu 0}^3 - 3l_z^2 (3 \gamma_{\nu 0}^2 - 3 \gamma_{\nu 0}^2 + 4)}{(\eta l_z^2 - 3 \gamma_{\nu 0} V_{\nu 0}^2)^2} \frac{\partial \Phi^{(1)}}{\partial \xi} \right] \right] \frac{\partial \Phi^{(1)}}{\partial \xi}, \]  

(61)

\[\frac{\partial \phi^{(3)}}{\partial \xi} = n_e^{(3)} - (1 - Z_e \sigma) n^{(3)} \]  

(62)

where

\[F_1 = 486 V_{\nu e} f_{\nu e}^l \gamma_{\nu 0}^5, F_2 = 18 l_z^4 \gamma_{\nu 0}^2 (3 \gamma_{\nu 0}^2 - 3 \gamma_{\nu 0}^2 + 4), \]

\[F_3 = 8 V_{\nu e} f_{\nu e}^l \gamma_{\nu 0}^2 (3 \gamma_{\nu 0}^2 - 3 \gamma_{\nu 0}^2 + 4), \]

\[F_4 = 3 l_z^4 (3 \gamma_{\nu 0}^2 - 3 \gamma_{\nu 0}^2 + 4)^2, \]

\[F_5 = 8 V_{\nu e} f_{\nu e}^l \gamma_{\nu 0}^2, F_6 = 9 V_{\nu e} f_{\nu e}^l \gamma_{\nu 0} (3 \gamma_{\nu 0}^2 - 3 \gamma_{\nu 0}^2 + 4), \]

\[F_7 = 3 l_z^2 \gamma_{\nu 0}^4 (3 \gamma_{\nu 0}^2 - 3 \gamma_{\nu 0}^2 + 4), F_8 = 729 V_{\nu e} f_{\nu e}^l \gamma_{\nu 0}^5 \]

\[F_9 = 27 V_{\nu e} f_{\nu e}^l \gamma_{\nu 0}^5 (3 \gamma_{\nu 0}^2 - 3 \gamma_{\nu 0}^2 + 4), \]

\[G_1 = 486 \eta V_{\nu e} f_{\nu e}^l \gamma_{\nu 0}^5, G_2 = 18 l_z^4 \gamma_{\nu 0}^2 (3 \gamma_{\nu 0}^2 - 3 \gamma_{\nu 0}^2 + 4), \]

\[G_3 = 8 l_z^4 \gamma_{\nu 0}^2 (3 \gamma_{\nu 0}^2 - 3 \gamma_{\nu 0}^2 + 4), \]

\[G_4 = 3 l_z^4 (3 \gamma_{\nu 0}^2 - 3 \gamma_{\nu 0}^2 + 4)^2, \]

\[G_5 = 8 l_z^4 \gamma_{\nu 0}^2, G_6 = 9 l_z^4 \gamma_{\nu 0} (3 \gamma_{\nu 0}^2 - 3 \gamma_{\nu 0}^2 + 4), \]

\[G_7 = 3 l_z^2 \gamma_{\nu 0}^4 (3 \gamma_{\nu 0}^2 - 3 \gamma_{\nu 0}^2 + 4), G_8 = 729 l_z^4 \gamma_{\nu 0}^5, \]

\[G_9 = 27 l_z^4 \gamma_{\nu 0}^5 (3 \gamma_{\nu 0}^2 - 3 \gamma_{\nu 0}^2 + 4). \]

(63)

Now, combining Eqs. (60)-(62) after simplifying by using Eqs. (49)-(57), we obtain the well-known mK-dV equation as follows
\[
\frac{\partial \Phi^{(1)}}{\partial \tau} + C \left[ \Phi^{(1)} \right]^2 \frac{\partial \Phi^{(1)}}{\partial \xi} + B \frac{\partial^3 \Phi^{(1)}}{\partial \xi^3} = 0,
\]

(64)

Equation (64) is a modified konteweg-de Vries (K-dV) equation, exhibiting a stronger nonlinearity, smaller with and larger propagation velocity of the nonlinear wave.

Where

\[
C = \frac{a^2 b^2}{18V_0^{\rho} a^2 \gamma^{2} d^{2} + 18V_0^{\rho} b^2 \gamma^{2} d^{2} (1 - Z_2 \sigma)} \left[ \frac{K_1}{2b^{2} \gamma} + \frac{K_2}{b^{2}} + \frac{G_1 + G_2}{2b^{2}} \right] \left[ 1 - Z_2 \sigma \left( \frac{R_1}{2a^{3} \gamma} + \frac{R_2}{a^{3}} \right) \frac{F_1 + F_2}{2a^{3}} \right]
\]

(65)

Where

\[
K_1 = G_1 + G_2 + G_3 + G_4, \quad K_2 = G_2 + G_6 - G_7,
\]

\[
R_1 = F_1 + F_2 + F_3 + F_4, \quad R_2 = F_3 + F_6 - F_7.
\]

(66)

Now, taking the same stretching as like the solution of the K-dV SWs, the stationary SWs solution of Eq. (64) can be directly given as

\[
\Phi^{(1)} = \Phi_m \sec \left( \frac{\xi}{\delta_2} \right),
\]

(67)

where the amplitude, \( \Phi_m = \sqrt{6U_0/C} \) and the width, \( \delta_2 = \sqrt{B/U_0} \), where \( \delta_2 \) depends H. On the other hand, \( \Phi_m \) is totally independent of these parameter.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

We have graphically obtained the parametric regimes (values of \( \delta, \phi^{(1)}, \Delta, \xi, \) and \( \eta \)) for which the properties of the acoustic SWs in degenerate quantum plasmas are discussed in this section numerically. The effects of quantum diffraction are related not only in degenerate astrophysical plasmas but also may be meaningful in some dense laboratory plasma containing electrons and light ions in presence of stationary heavy ions. In this part, our intention is to explore numerically of the propagation angle, quantum pressure, relativistic factors, immobile heavy ions charge state, and densities of the plasma components on the acoustic SWs in such degenerate quantum plasmas. For our purpose, we have derived the K-dV and

\[ \phi^{(1)} \]

\[ V_B \]

Fig. 1. The graph shows the variation of the positive potential \( K-dV \) solitary waves with \( V_B \) and \( \sigma \) for \( \eta = 1.3 \).

\[ \gamma = 0.08, \lambda = 0.6, \omega_c = 0.5, \delta = 20^\circ, U_0 = 0.01, Z_h = 2. \]
Fig. 2: Showing the variation in the width $\Delta_1$ of the solitary waves with $\xi$ and $H$ for $U_0 = 0.01$.

$\gamma_\epsilon^0 = 1.33$, $\gamma_\iota^0 = 1.29$, $\delta = 40$, $Z_h = 1$, $\eta = 1.1$, and $\sigma = 0.1$.

Fig. 3: Showing the effects of $\eta$ on the K-dV solitary profiles for $U_0 = 0.01$. $\gamma_\epsilon^0 = 1.33$, $\gamma_\iota^0 = 1.29$, $\sigma = 0.4$, $\delta = 40$, $\Omega_c = 0.4$, $Z_h = 1$, $H = 0.3$, $R_\zeta = 2.5$, and $R_i = 2.2$. The lower red dotted line for $\eta = 1.1$, the lower blue solid line for $\eta = 1.13$, the upper green dotted line for $\eta = 1.16$, and the upper black solid line for $\eta = 1.19$.

Fig. 4: Showing the effects of $R_i$ on the (K-dV) SWs profiles for $U_0 = 0.01$. $\gamma_\epsilon^0 = 1.33$, $\gamma_\iota^0 = 1.29$, $\sigma = 0.4$, $\delta = 40$, $\Omega_c = 0.4$, $Z_h = 1$, $H = 0.3$, $Re = 2.5$, and $\eta = 1.2$. The dotted line for $R_i = 1$, the solid line for $R_i = 2$, and the dotdashed line for $R_i = 3$. 
Fig. 5. Showing the effects of $\Omega_c$ on the mK-dV SWs profiles for $U_0 = 0.01$. $\gamma e^0 = 1.33$, $\gamma i^0 = 1.29$, $\sigma = 0.2$, $\delta = 60$, $Z_h = 1$, $H = 0.3$, $\Re = 2.5$, $\Re i = 2.2$, and $\eta = 1.6$. The dotted line for $\Omega_c = 0.3$, the solid line for $\Omega_c = 0.4$, and the dotdashed line for $\Omega_c = 0.5$.

Fig. 6. Showing the variation of the positive potential (mK-dV) solitary waves with $\xi$ and $\sigma$ for $U_0 = 0.01$. $\gamma e^0 = 1.33$, $\gamma i^0 = 1.29$, $\Omega_c = 0.4$, $\delta = 60$, $Z_h = 1$, $H = 0.3$, $R_e = 2.5$, $R_i = 2.2$, and $\eta = 1.8$.

Fig. 7(a). Showing the variation of the positive potential (mK-dV) solitary waves with $\xi$ and $\gamma$ for $U_0 = 0.01$. $\Omega_c = 0.3$, $\sigma = 0.1$, $\delta = 60$, $Z_h = 1$, $H = 0.3$, and $\eta = 1.16$. 
Fig. 7(b). Showing the variation of the negative potential (mK-dV) solitary waves with $\xi$ and $\gamma$ for $
abla = 0.05, \sigma_2 = 0.5, \omega = 0.5, \delta = 10^9$, and $U_0 = 0.01$.

Fig. 8. Showing the Variation of $\Delta_1(K - dV)$ with $\beta$ for $U_0 = 0.01, p = 0.4, \Omega = 0.3, Z_h = 1, \delta = 60$, The blue curve represents the non-relativistic case ($\gamma = 5/3$) and the red curve represents the ultra-relativistic case ($\gamma = 4/3$).

Fig. 9. Showing the variation of $\Delta_2(mK - dV)$ with $\delta$ for $U_0 = 0.01, \gamma = 4/3, \alpha = 5/3, \beta = 0.2, \Omega = 0.3, p = 0.4$. The dotted line for $Z_h = 2$, the solid line for $Z_h = 3$, and the dotdashed line for $Z_h = 1$. 
mK-dV equations, and analyzed their stationary SWs solutions based on some typical plasma parameters relevant to different astrophysical and laboratory plasma situations existed in some published works. We consider some typical plasma species density which is consistent with the relativistic degenerate astrophysical plasmas, e.g., nio = 1.1 × 1029 cm-3, ne0 = 9.1 × 1029 cm-3, nho = 0.4 × 1030 cm-3, (11, 36, 37, 48) and the other quantum parameters e.g., β = 0.1 to 0.9, H = 0.2 to 0.9 (18, 22, 49), and ambient magnetic fields ~ 1013G (15, 50). The values of these parameters may change depending on different plasma situations. The results, we have found from this investigation can be summarized as follows: We compare the effects of the non-relativistic (γ = 5/3) and ultra relativistic (γ = 4/3) limits of the degenerate pressure originating fro the plasma species on $V_p$ and amplitude, ($\phi_m$) of the K-dV SWs in Figs. 9 and 10, respectively. Since the number density of plasma species in non-relativistic case is always less than the ultra relativistic case, plasma particles can move freely in the non-relativistic plasma more than the ultra relativistic plasma. For this reason $V_p$ as well as $\phi_m$ of the EIA SWs are noticeably higher for $\gamma = 5/3$ than for $\gamma = 4/3$. The effect $\sigma$ on Vp of the EI acoustic waves for different values of $\eta$ are displayed in Fig. 1. The phase speed of the acoustic waves decreases with the increase of $\sigma$. This happens due to the increase of the inertia of the acoustic waves with the increase of the value of $\sigma$. It is also observed that with the increase in $\eta$, Vp of the EI acoustic waves increases. The variation of width, $\Delta_1$ of the K-dV SWs with H is depicted in Fig. 2 for different values of $\Omega_c$. It is found that as the values of both H and $\Omega_c$ increase, $\Delta_1$ of the acoustic SWs decreases significantly. It is observed that for the positive (negative) potential of the solitary waves structures, the magnitude of the amplitude decreases (increases) with the increase in $V_p$ and H shown in Fig. 1 (Fig. 2). It is found that for the positive (negative) potential of the solitary profiles the magnitude of the amplitude increases (decreases) with the increase (decrease) in $\sigma$ and $\gamma$ shown in Fig.6 (Fig.7)

The variation of the K-dV SWs profiles with the $\eta$ (Ri) is shown in Fig. 3 (Fig. 4). It is observed that...
We found that as the magnitude of \( \Omega_P \) increases, the width of SWs decreases, i.e., the magnetic field makes the solitary structures more spiky. We hope that our lower order as well as higher order nonlinear analysis will be helpful for understanding the localized electrostatic disturbances not only in the different astrophysical degenerate compact objects (Shapiro, 1983; Garcia-Berro, 2010; Mamun, 2010), but also in different dense laboratory plasma nonlinear experiments (Berezhiani, 1992; Murkhmd, 2006).
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