

ADTICLE INCO

Available online at http://www.journalcra.com

International Journal of Current Research Vol. 10, Issue, 07, pp.71166-71170, July, 2018 INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF CURRENT RESEARCH

RESEARCH ARTICLE

AN IMPACT OF SMILE DESIGNING ON ORAL HEALTH- RELATED QUALITY OF LIFE

*1Dr. Tanvi Jaiswal, ²Dr. Surekha Godbole, ³Dr. Anjali Bhoyar Borle, ⁴Dr. Seema Sathe and ⁵Dr. Mithilesh Dhamande

¹Lecturer, Prosthodontics, SPDC ²Professor, Prosthodontics, SPDC ³Professor and Head, Prosthodontics, SPDC ⁴Professor, Prosthodontics, SPDC ⁵Reader, Prosthodontics, SPDC

ADCTDACT

ANTICLEINFO	ADJINALI				
Article History: Received 22 nd April 2018	Aim: The aim of the present study was to evaluate the impact of smile designing on oral health - related quality of life.				
Received in revised form	Objectives: Comparative evaluation of health-related quality of life (OHQoL) in patients before				
06 th May, 2018	treatment and after treatment ie:- after 1 month of smile designing.				
Accepted 20 th June, 2018	Methods: In this case series study, the impact of treatment on OHQoL was measured using the				
Published online 30 th July, 2018	standard questionnaire OHIP 14. This tool was applied to 15 patients who visited department of				
	Prosthodontics SPDC wardha, before and after 1 month of treatment.				
Key Words:	Results: A total of 15 patients participated in the study. The average mean score recorded before				
	treatment was 2.65 which was reduced to 1.13 after smile designing treatment. OHIP-14 scores were				
Oral Health, Quality of Life,	shown a statistical significant difference before and after treatment and had a greatest impact on				
Smile Designing, Laminates and Veneers.	guality of life after treatment. As can be seen, comparison of the frequencies of these responses				
	suggested that after treatment, changes in OHRQoL for almost all questions.				

Conclusion: This study showed a positive effect on the OHRQoL and a strong impact on social, psychological emotional daily life of each patient.

Copyright © 2018, *Tanvi Jaiswal et al.* This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Citation: Dr. Tanvi Jaiswal, Dr. Surekha Godbole, Dr. Anjali Bhoyar Borle, Dr. Seema Sathe and Dr. Mithilesh Dhamande. 2018. "An impact of smile designing on oral health- related quality of life.", *International Journal of Current Research*, 10, (07), 71166-71170.

INTRODUCTION

Oral health related quality of life OHRQoL represents a personal assessment of how much and how a person's social life and its functional and emotional aspects are affected by the health related issues of their mouth (Navabi, 2015). OHRQoL is an integral part of general health and well-being. Infact it is recognized by the world health organization (WHO) as an important segment of the global health program (2003) (Sischo, 2011). The assessment of the outcome of a dental therapy is based on four parameters: biologic and physiologic features (health of oral structures, nutrition, chewing, esthetics), longevity and survival time (of natural teeth, restorations, implants), psychological and social parameters (personal satisfaction from dental treatment, self confidence, quality of life, perception of body image), financial and economic factors (direct and indirect cost) (Guckes et al., 1996; Anderson, 1998).

However, it appears that professional treatments and cosmetic restorative dentistry can have a significant impact on OHQoL through their impact on quality aspect on life, such as increase in confidence and improved smiling, speech and facial appearance (Samorodnitzky, 2007) smile designing by porcelain laminates and veneers treatment with cosmetic and conservative mechanical properties in relation to health and beauty and is ideal for use in restoration of anterior teeth (Prajapati P, seturaman, 2013; Korkut, 2013) Therefore, smile designing with porcelain laminates and veneers treatment is highly favorable for dentists and patients due to its excellent imitation of natural tooth characteristics such as color, translucency, shape and surface characteristics (Faezeh Hamzeh et al., 2016). The oral health impact profile (OHIP-14) was chosen for its simple comprehension, ease to use and higher validity and sensitivity in detecting patients dissatisfaction after prosthetic treatments.Additionally,OHIP-14 is a shortened version of the OHIP-49 containing just 14 items in order to make it more practical to administer in the clinical setting (Table 1) (Bramanti et al., 2013)

MATERIALS AND METHODS

In this study, Oral health related –quality of life (OHRQol) was evaluated for 15 patients, before and after 1 month of treatment, reported to Department of Prosthodontics, Sharad Pawar Dental College, Sawangi (M), Wardha.

Inclusion criteria

- Patients of age of 14 years and above
- Patient having problem only in esthetic region will be consider.

Exclusion criteria: Those unable to complete the questionnaire for whatever reason were excluded from the study and not ready to participate in the study.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Based on these criteria, a total 15 patients were included in this study. All patients provided informed consent, which included a complete discussion of potential benefits of smile designing by porcelain laminates and veneers, care of prosthesis and improvement in quality of life. Purpose of the study was also explained to the patients and they were given a choice to exit from the study whenever they want. After providing informed consent, patients performed the OHIP-14 (Italian version of the OHIP-14 questionnaire) in order to investigate the OHRQoL of the participants before and after 1 month of smile designing treatment. Subsequently patients were subjected to the smile designing treatment performed by the same operator. After 1 month of completion of smile designing treatment, patients were completed again the same OHIP-14 questionnaire, which was then comparatively analyzed between pretreatment and post treatment OHIP-14 questionnaire. OHIP-14 measures quality of life in seven domains (2 questions for each domains) of functional limitation, physical discomfort, physical discomfort, physical disability, psychological disability, social disability, and physical disability. Frequency was codified using a likert scale with 5 options like zero for (never), one for (rarely), two for (sometimes), three for (frequently), and four for (almost always). The final total score of OHIP-14 ranged from 0 to 56. A lower total score and closer to zero indicted a higher level of quality of life and a better OHRQoL. Demographic data of the patients as well as information obtained from OHIP-14 at the two time points were analyzed with SPSS(version 22.0) using descriptive stastistics. Shapriowilk test was used to check the normality of data and Wilcoxon test was used to compare the average scores of the questionnaire before and after treatment.

RESULTS

A total of 15 patients participated in the study. Table 2 shows the data of the patients before and after treatment based on OHIP-14 scale. The average mean score recorded before treatment was 2.65 which was reduced to 1.13 after smile designing treatment. OHIP-14 scores were shown a statistical significant difference before and after treatment and had a greatest impact on quality of life after treatment (Graph1). As can be seen, comparison of the frequencies of these responses suggested that after treatment, changes in OHRQoL for almost all questions.

DISCUSSION

OHRQoL is defined as multifaceted model that considers individual's comfort when eating, sleeping, and engaging in social interaction, their self esteem and their satisfaction with respect to their oral health.

OH-Qol is explained by using the personal assessment of how the following factors affect a person's well being.

- Functional factors
- Psychological factors
- Social factors
- Experience of pain and discomfort.

There are three groups of instruments which may be used as standards of OHQoL measures.

- Generic measures:- Generic measures do have uses in comparisions across populations and have scope for use in economic evaluation. But they have limited ability to capture the effect of certain interventions
- Utility measures:-The use of these measures in clinical trial require measurement of the patient's quality of life throughout the study. There are two fundamental approaches to utility measurement.
 - To ask patients a number of questions about their function and to classify the patients into categories on the basis of their responses.
 - To ask patients to make a single rating of all aspects of their quality of life.
- **Specific measures:-** they focuses on a particular condition, disease, population or problem.

The need to develop patient oriented measures of oral health status was first given by Cohen and Jago. Basically, there are three categories of OHRQoL measure as indicated by Slade. These are ;-

- Social indicators
- Global self rating of OHRQoL/ single item rating
- Multiple item questionnaire.

So, according to all these instrumental scale present study is based on multiple item questionnaire system given by Slade and these system, we prefer these scale because it is considered as most extensive method to evaluate OHRQoL. The specific instruments are specialized by some researchers to measure specific oral conditions. Some of the currently adapted OHROoL questionnaire mentioned (Deepa are chandrashekaraiah, 2017). OH-QoL is considered as best self assessing tool. So, in this present study OH-QoL assessing tool is used to see the patients perception regarding their dental treatment (Pre treatment assessment and Post treatment assessment). The questionnaire used is validated questionnaire, and is one of the most comprehensive measures of oral health based on 7 domains: functional limitation, physical pain, psychological discomfort, physical disability, psychological disability, social disability and handicap (Slade, 1994). E-Baramanti in 2013 carried out a study to measure the OHRQoL before and after prosthodontic implant therapy to determine physical and psychological impact amongst patient (Bramanti et al., 2013).

T.LL. 1 L.		1 1		1 1 1 141.	• · · · · · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Ignie i iteme	ς comnositing t	ne snortened	i version of t	'ne argi negitn	imnact	nratile (illectionngire
I apic I. Itchis	s compositing t	ne snoi teneu		ne or ar nearch	impace	prome e	luconomiane

1)	Phonatory function : trouble pronouncing words					
2)	Taste function : Sense of taste worsened					
3)	Physical pain : Painful aching in mouth					
4)	Uncomfortable and difficult eating					
5)	Felt self conscious					
6)	Felt tense or irritated					
7)	Diet unsatisfactory					
8)	Interrupt meals					
9)	Difficulty relaxing					
10)	Been embarrassed					
11)	Irritable with other people					
12)	Difficulty conducting usual jobs					
13)	Daily life less satisfying					
14)	Total impediment					

Table 2. The comparison of OHIP-14 In 15 patients before and after smile designing treatment.

	Questions	Before Treatment			After Treatment									
_		Never	Rarely	Sometimes	Frequently	Almost	Mean \pm SD	Never	Rarely	Sometimes	Frequently	Almost always	Mean \pm SD	χ2-value/p-
Sr.		0N (%)	1	2	3	always 4		0	1	2	3	4		value
INO														
1	Have you had trouble pronouncing any words	13 (86.7)	2 (13.3)	0	0	0	1.13 ± 0.35	15 (100)	0	0	0	0	1.00 ± 0.00	0.157
	because of problems with your teeth, mouth?				-	-				-		-		
2	Have you felt that your sense of taste has	12 (80)	2 (13.3)	1 (6.7)	0	0	1.26 ± 0.59	15 (100)	0	0	0	0	1.00 ± 0.00	0.102
	worsened because of problems with your teeth, mouth?													
3	Have you had painful aching in your mouth?	10 (66.7)	3 (20.0)	0	0	2 (13.3)	1.73 ± 1.38	14 (93.3)	1 (6.7)	0	0	0	1.06 ±0.25	0.039
4	Have you found it to eat any	13 (86.7)	1 (6.7)	1 (6.7)	0	0	1.20 ± 0.56	10 (66.7)	1 (6.7)	1 (6.7)	2 (13.3)	1 (6.7)	1.86 ± 1.40	0.039
	uncomfortable foods because of problems with	. ,		. ,				. ,	, í		. ,	. ,		
	your teeth, mouth?													
5	Have you been self conscious because of your	3 (20.0)	1 (6.7)	1 (6.7)	7 (46.7)	3 (20.0)	3.40 ± 1.45	15 (100)	0	0	0	0	1.00 ± 0.00	0.002
(teeth, mouth?	1 ((7)	0	1 ((7)	2 (20.0)	10 (((7)	2 70 + 1 12	14 (02.2)	1 ((7)	0	0	0	1.0(+.0.25	0.001
0	your teeth, mouth?	1 (0.7)	0	1 (0.7)	3 (20.0)	10 (00.7)	3.70±1.12	14 (95.5)	1 (0.7)	0	0	0	1.06± 0.25	0.001
7	Has your diet been unsatisfactory because of	9 (60.0)	3 (20.0)	2 (13.3)	1 (6.7)	0	1.66 ± 0.97	12 (80.0)	0	0	1 (6.7)	2 (13.3)	1.73 ± 1.53	0.739
0	problems with your teeth, mouth?	15 (100)	0	0	0	0	1.00 - 0.00	15 (100)	0	0	0	0	1.00 . 0.00	1.000
8	have you had to interrupt meals because of problems with your teeth mouth?	15 (100)	0	0	0	0	1.00 ± 0.00	15 (100)	0	0	0	0	1.00 ± 0.00	1.000
9	Have you found it difficult to relax because of	7 (46 7)	2 (13 3)	2 (13 3)	2 (13 3)	2 (13 3)	2 33±1 54	15 (100)	0	0	0	0	1.00 ± 0.00	0.011
-	problems with your teeth, mouth?	, (10.7)	2 (10.0)	2 (15.5)	2 (10.0)	2 (15.5)	2.00-1.01	10 (100)	0	Ŭ	0	Ŭ	1.00- 0.00	0.011
10	Have you been a bit embarrassed because of	0	0	1 (6.7)	2 (13.3)	12 (80.0)	3.73±0.59	14 (93.3)	1 (6.7)	0	0	0	1.06 ± 0.25	0.000
	problems with your teeth, mouth?													
11	Have you been a bit irritable with other people	3 (20.0)	0	1 (6.7)	2 (13.3)	9 (60.0)	3.93 ± 1.62	15 (100)	0	0	0	0	1.00 ± 0.00	0.001
10	because of problems with your teeth, mouth?	0	0	1 ((7)	2 (12 2)	12 (80.0)	2.92 - 0.50	14 (02.2)	1 ((7)	0	0	0	1.0(+.0.25	0.000
12	because of problems with your teeth mouth?	0	0	1 (0.7)	2 (13.3)	12 (80.0)	5.85± 0.59	14 (95.5)	1 (0.7)	0	0	0	1.00± 0.25	0.000
13	Have you felt that life in general was less	1 (6.7)	0	0	3 (20.0)	10 (73.3)	3.53± 1.06	15 (100)	0	0	0	0	1.00 ± 0.00	0.000
-	satisfying because of problems with your teeth,	()		-	- ()	- ()		- ()				-		
	mouth?													
14	Have you been totally been unable to function	14 (93.3)	1 (6.7)	0	0	0	1.06± 0.25	15 (100)	0	0	0	0	1.00 ± 0.00	0.317
	because of problems with your teeth, mouth?													



Graph 1. The comparison of OHIP-14 In 15 patients before and after smile designing treatment

Sr no.	Authors	Year	Name of measures
1	Cushing et al	1986	Social impact of dental disease
2	Atchison and Dolon	1990	Geriatric oral health assessment index
3	Strauss and Hunt	1993	Dental impact profile
4	Slade and Spencer	1994	Oral health impact profile
5	Locker and Miller	1994	Subjective oral health status indicators
6	Leo and sheiham	1996	Dental implant on daily living
7	Aduulyanon and sheiham	1997	Oral impacts on daily performances
8	Mc- Grath and Bedi	2000	OH-QoL

Faezeh Hamzeh et al in 2016 carried out a study to determine a impact of laminate veeners, on oral health -related quality of life and concluded that esthetic dental treatment using laminate veeners would significantly affect OHQoL. But this study is limited to patients undergoing laminates an veeners (Faezeh Hamzeh et al., 2016). So this present study was carried out to evaluate the impact of smile designing on oral health related quality of life. So that evaluation of OHQoL is applicable to all patients undergoing anterior restoration or smile designing. This study confirmed the improvement of OHRQoL in almost all domain. After smile designing treatment significant and nearly significant results were achieved regarding all questions based on OHIP-7 scale. As Faezeh Hamzeh et al in their study concluded that maximum score recorded was 34 before treatment which was reduced to 31 after treatment. Similarly, the present study also shows a marked difference or dropdown when it was compared for same patient for before and after questionnaire format based on OHIP-14 scale, Average mean score before treatment was 2.65 which was reduced to 1.13 after treatment. Nowadays, due to increased awareness of beauty among society and its importance in the community, many patients are seeking cosmetic restorations, natural tooth color and correction of dental problems with smile designing to change their appearance in an attempt to achieve improvements in their quality of life (Samorodnitzky et al., 2007).

Conclusion

OHRQoL, has a multitude of substantive applications for the field of dentistry, healthcare, and dental research.

Patient oriented outcomes like OHRQoL will enhance our understanding of the relationship between oral health and general health. Preoperative and postoperative assessments of OHRQoL exhibited significant differences in all the patients. This study showed a positive effect on the OHRQoL and a strong impact on social, psychological emotional daily life of each patient.

REFERENCES

- Anderson, JD. 1998. The need for criteria on reporting treatment outcomes. J Prosthet Dent., 79:49-55.
- Bramanti, E., Matacena, G., Cecchetti, F., Arcuri, C., Cicciu. M. 2013. Oral health related quality of life in partially edentulous patients before and after implant theraphy: A 2year longitudinal study:Oral implantology- Anno VI- N. 2/: 37-42
- Deepa chandrashekaraiah, Sushma Rudraswamy, 2017. A brief insight into Oral health related quality of life. *International Journal of oral health and medical research*.March april, Vol-3;Issue 6:121-125.
- Faezeh Hamzeh, Raha Habib-Agahi, Nader Navabi, Shiva pouradeli. 2016. The impact of laminate veneer restoration on oral health-related quality of life:A case series study.J Oral Health Oral Epidemiol/ Summer;vol 5, No-3, 134-140.
- Guckes AD, Scurria MS, Shugars DA. 1996. A conceptual framework for understanding outcomes of oral implant therapy. *J Prosthet Dent.*, 75:633-639

- Korkut B, Yanikoglu F, Gundaym. 2013. Direct composite laminate veneers: three case reports. *J Dent Res Dent Clin Dent Prospects.*, 7(2):105-11.
- Navabi, N. 2015. Unacceptable performance in oral health related quality of life assessments in iran (letter to editor). J Res Dent Sci., 11(4):181-3
- Prajapati P,seturaman R, Naveen YG, Patel JR. 2013. Indirect laminate veneer: a conservative novel approach. BMJ case Rep 2013.
- Samorodnitzky- Naveh GR, Geiger SB, Levin L. 2007. Patients satisfaction with dental esthetics. J Am Dent Assoc., 138(6): 805-8
- Sischo, L. and Broder. H.l. 2011. Clinical reviews in oral biology and medicine. J Dent Res 90(11):1264-1270.
- Slade GD, spencer AJ. 1994. Development and evaluation of the oral health impact profile. *Community Dent Health*, 11:3-11.
