



ISSN: 0975-833X

Available online at <http://www.journalcra.com>

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL
OF CURRENT RESEARCH

International Journal of Current Research
Vol. 13, Issue, 12, pp.19956-19958, December, 2021

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.24941/ijcr.42258.12.2021>

RESEARCH ARTICLE

EFFECTIVENESS OF PRONE VENTILATION IN AWAKE, NON-IN TUBATED COVID 19 PATIENTS IN A TERTIARY CARE CENTRE IN RAJASTHAN

^{a,*}Dr. Roshni Mary Prince*, ¹Dr. Suman Khangarot, MD, ²Dr. Qazi Faizanul Haque, ³Dr. Ashish Ranjan, ⁴Dr. Anu Suresh and ⁵Dr. Ramdhan Somani

¹Professor, Department of Respiratory Medicine, Government Medical College Kota

^{a,2,3,5}Resident, Department of Respiratory Medicine, Government Medical College, Kota

⁴Resident Dept., Respiratory Medicine of SPM, GMC Aurangabad

ARTICLE INFO

Article History:

Received 17th September, 2021

Received in revised form

28th October, 2021

Accepted 10th November, 2021

Published online 29th December, 2021

Key Words:

Prone Positioning,
covid- 19, non-Intubated Patients,
Intensive Care Units.

*Corresponding author:

Dr. Roshni Mary Prince

ABSTRACT

Background: India witnessed a disaster in the form of covid in 2020-21 crippling the healthcare system like never before. With multiple waves happening consecutively with unexpected death rates, hospitals couldn't make arrangements for the overspill from the ICU under such short notice. Awake proning which is an accepted treatment modality for mechanically ventilated ARDS patients was adopted into the treatment protocol of most of the hospitals with the hypothesis that it would delay the escalation of respiratory support, ICU admissions and intubation. **Methods:** We conducted a longitudinal study and evaluated the effectiveness of awake proning in terms of improvement in oxygen saturations, incidence of ICU admissions and death rates in non-intubated patients managed in general covid care wards. Chi square test and paired t test was applied for statistical analysis. **Results:** All patients who could tolerate prone positioning for at least an hour had significant improvement in oxygen saturation with significant decrease in ICU admissions and death rates. The death rate among successfully proned patients were 6.4% in comparison to 66.6% in patients who couldn't maintain prone. Best results were obtained in patients who tolerated prone positioning for more than 1 hour. **Conclusions:** Incorporating awake proning into the treatment protocol has significantly reduced the freight on Intensive care units by being able to manage notable number of patients in the general wards.

Copyright © 2021. Roshni Mary Prince et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Citation: Dr. Roshni Mary Prince, Dr. Suman Khangarot, MD, Dr. Qazi Faizanul Haque et al. "Effectiveness of prone ventilation in awake, non-intubated Covid 19 patients in a tertiary care centre in Rajasthan", 2021. International Journal of Current Research, 13, (12), 19956-19958.

INTRODUCTION

Ventilation in the supine position results in gravitational forces that may increase pulmonary oedema and atelectasis in posterior lung zones. Abdominal organs displace the posterior diaphragm superiorly, exacerbating posterior lung collapse. (2) Defective hypoxic pulmonary vasoconstriction may also contribute to ventilation/ perfusion (V/Q) mismatch. (3) Prone positioning refers to making the patient to lay with face down onto their anterior chest and abdomen with appropriate cushioning over pressure points.

METHODS

Patient selection: A longitudinal study was conducted in general covid care wards of government medical college Kota during the time period of April 2021- May 2021 after taking verbal informed consent from the patient.

Laboratory-confirmed SARS-CoV-2 infection as determined by PCR and/or CT scan showing typical radiological findings (ground glass abnormalities) with a need for O₂ 3L/min to get an SpO₂ higher or equal to 93% or not maintaining 93% in room air were included in the study. Patients requiring immediate intubation, with cardiovascular instability, altered consciousness, pregnancy or other factors rendering proning unsafe were excluded. Ethical clearance was taken from the concerned authority of institution.

Evaluation and follow-up: Prone positioning (PP) was initiated in the ward with recording of baseline oxygen requirement, oxygen saturation, extend of dyspnoea. Proned patients were instructed to alternate between prone and supine for a minimum duration of one hour for as long as tolerated. Patients were followed up for a period of 2 weeks.

Outcome measures: The outcomes were primarily measured in terms of change in oxygen saturations before, during and 1 hour post proning along with the level of discomfort during

proning, need for ICU admission and number of deaths. No further follow up of patients were done outside the duration of study period. Patients who couldn't successfully complete the proning trial were not excluded from the study and were closely watched for escalation of respiratory support. Two tailed student t- test and chi square or Fischer's exact test were used for statistical analysis.

RESULTS

Patient characteristics: A total of 41 patients were enrolled out of which 31 patients were successfully prone for 1-hour sessions for as long as tolerated. The reasons for intolerance to proning were respiratory distress (90%) and morbid obesity (10%). Out of the 10 patients who failed to prone, three patients were maintained on oxygen via non-rebreathing mask, seven patients were in Bain circuit and one in NIV support. Three patients could not tolerate proning for more than three hours a day. The remaining patients (68.3%) tolerated an average of 6 to 8 hours of proning a day. The Patient demographics are given in Table 1.

Table 1. Demographics of Patients

Characteristics	Proning < 1 Hrs (10)	Proning 1-3 Hrs (3)	Proning > 3 Hrs (28)
Male(30)	5	2	22
Female(12)	5	1	6
Oxygen Supplementation			
Room Air	0	1	4
1-3 L	0	0	4
4-8 L	0	0	3
>8 L	3	2	17
Bain Circuit	7	0	0
NIV	1(morbidly obese)	0	0

Table 2. Outcome Measures

	PP<1 HR	PP 1-3HR	PP>3HR
■MEAN SPO2 BEFORE PP	85.5%	79.6	87
■DURING PP	NA	87.6	92.53
■ AFTER PP	NA	89	90.88
■NUMBER OF ICU REQUIREMENT%	100%	66%	14.8%
■ICU ADMISSION %	50%	33%	7.4%
■NUMBER OF DEATHS %	66.6%	33%	3.5%

PP- prone positioning

Outcomes: All patients who could tolerate prone positioning for at least an hour had significant improvement in oxygen saturation from an average of 86.53 to 91% (5.43%) during the period of proning. The mean oxygen saturation one hour post proning showed an improvement to an average of 90.61% from the baseline oxygen saturation in 27 subjects (65.85%, $P<.001$). The changes observed were independent of mode or rate of oxygen delivery. Interestingly, though the discomfort was more during proning, the extent of improvement in oxygen saturation during prone positioning was better compared to re-supination. The outcome measures have been summarised in table 2. Total of 14 patients were found unable to maintain the oxygen saturation achieved during proning on re-supination. Among the successfully prone patients (PP>1 HR) three patients required ICU admission (9.6%) with a death rate of 6.4% ($p=0.0001$). Among patients with PP < 1 hour, 50% required ICU admission with a death rate of 66.6% which is almost double that of the other cohort ($P=0.0001$). No severe adverse effects were noticed. All statistical analysis were performed with IBM SPSS software version 28.0.0.

DISCUSSION

In this study, awake prone positioning was shown to have an impact in reducing the escalation in respiratory support and ICU admissions. The effect was conspicuous in patients who tolerated prone positioning for more than 1 hour. Though oxygenation improved rapidly during the initial proning period itself, a sustained improvement was achieved over a period of 4-7 days of continued proning. The results were consistent with the previous small studied on awake proning in non-intubated patients. No ethical issues were encountered during the study.

LIMITATIONS: There are several limitations to this study. First and foremost is that the sample size is less and there is no control group. It is impossible to know whether these patients would have improved without proning though the improved oxygenation in tolerating patients are more in favour of it. The increased ICU admissions and death rate in patients who failed to prone can also be due to the severity of disease in it is uncertain whether proning would have increased the survival in them. Other factors like comorbidities and severity of illness was not considered due to unavailability of the whole details.

CONCLUSIONS

Incorporating awake proning into the treatment protocol has significantly reduced the freight on Intensive care units by being able to manage notable number of patients in the general wards. It has shown to improve oxygenation significantly with successful weaning to room air in majority.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

We would like to thank the covid care team including the treating physician, nursing staffs and the patients who guided and supported during the study. We would like to thank Dr. Bhanu Jangir and Dr. Ashok Chaudhary who were interns in the ward who helped in data collection and counselling of the patients. We are extremely thankful to Dr. Anu Suresh who helped us with the statistical analysis.

DECLARATION OF CONFLICT OF INTEREST: There is no potential conflicts of interest with respect to research, authorship and publication of this research.

FUNDING STATEMENT: None

KEY POINTS

- The role of proning in mechanically ventilated ARDS patients were already established and has been incorporated into the management strategies
- This study was done to look for the impact of prone positioning in non-intubated covid 19 patients in wards.
- Overlooking the limitations and that the disease severity and co morbidities were not compared, proning was found to bring an improvement in oxygen saturation and decline in ICU admissions in patients managed in general wards.

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

ICU – Intensive care unit

PP- Prone positioning

PCR- Polymerase chain reaction

REFERENCES

- Sun Q, Qiu H, Huang M, Yang Y. Lower mortality of COVID-19 by early recognition and intervention: experience from Jiangsu Province. *Ann Intensive Care*. 2020 Mar 18;10(1):33. doi: 10.1186/s13613-020-00650-2. PMID: 32189136; PMCID: PMC7080931
- Scholten EL, Beitler JR, Prisk GK, Malhotra A. Treatment of ARDS With Prone Positioning. *Chest*. 2017 Jan;151(1):215-224. doi: 10.1016/j.chest.2016.06.032. Epub 2016 Jul 8. PMID: 27400909; PMCID: PMC6026253.
- Pappert D, Rossaint R, Slama K, Grüning T, Falke KJ. Influence of positioning on ventilation-perfusion relationships in severe adult respiratory distress syndrome. *Chest*. 1994 Nov;106(5):1511-6. doi: 10.1378/chest.106.5.1511. PMID: 7956412.
- Scaravilli V, Grasselli G, Castagna L, Zanella A, Isgro S, Lucchini A, Patroniti N, Bellani G, Pesenti A. Prone positioning improves oxygenation in spontaneously breathing nonintubated patients with hypoxemic acute respiratory failure: A retrospective study. *J Crit Care*. 2015 Dec;30(6):1390-4. doi: 10.1016/j.jcrc.2015.07.008. Epub 2015 Jul 16. PMID: 26271685.
- Sartini C, Tresoldi M, Scarpellini P, Tettamanti A, Carcò F, Landoni G, Zangrillo A. Respiratory Parameters in Patients With COVID-19 After Using Noninvasive Ventilation in the Prone Position Outside the Intensive Care Unit. *JAMA*. 2020 Jun 9;323(22):2338-2340. doi: 10.1001/jama.2020.7861. PMID: 32412606; PMCID: PMC7229533.
- Pan C, Chen L, Lu C, Zhang W, Xia JA, Sklar MC, Du B, Brochard L, Qiu H. Lung Recruitability in COVID-19-associated acute respiratory distress syndrome: A Single-Center Observational Study. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med*. 2020 May 15;201(10):1294-1297. doi: 10.1164/rccm.202003-0527LE. PMID: 32200645; PMCID: PMC7233342.
- Telias I, Katira BH, Brochard L. Is the Prone Position Helpful During Spontaneous Breathing in Patients With COVID-19? *JAMA*. 2020 Jun 9;323(22):2265-2267. doi: 10.1001/jama.2020.8539. PMID: 32412579.
- Ferrando C, Mellado-Artigas R, Gea A, Arruti E, Aldecoa C, Adalia R, Ramasco F, Monedero P, Maseda E, Tamayo G, Hernández-Sanz ML, Mercadal J, Martín-Grande A, Kacmarek RM, Villar J, Suárez-Sipmann F; COVID-19 Spanish ICU Network. Awake prone positioning does not reduce the risk of intubation in COVID-19 treated with high-flow nasal oxygen therapy: a multicenter, adjusted cohort study. *Crit Care*. 2020 Oct 6;24(1):597. doi: 10.1186/s13054-020-03314-6. PMID: 33023669; PMCID: PMC7537953.
- Fazzini B, Fowler AJ, Zolfaghari P. Effectiveness of prone position in spontaneously breathing patients with COVID-19: A prospective cohort study. *Journal of the Intensive Care Society*. February 2021. doi:10.1177/1751143721996542
- Elharrar X, Trigui Y, Dols AM, Touchon F, Martinez S, Prud'homme E, Papazian L. Use of Prone Positioning in Nonintubated Patients With COVID-19 and Hypoxemic Acute Respiratory Failure. *JAMA*. 2020 Jun 9;323(22):2336-2338. doi: 10.1001/jama.2020.8255. PMID: 32412581; PMCID: PMC7229532.
- Ding L, Wang L, Ma W, He H. Efficacy and safety of early prone positioning combined with HFNC or NIV in moderate to severe ARDS: a multi-center prospective cohort study. *Crit Care*. 2020 Jan 30;24(1):28. doi: 10.1186/s13054-020-2738-5. PMID: 32000806; PMCID: PMC6993481.
