



RESEARCH ARTICLE

HOW TEEN ARGUMENTS ESCALATE INTO GUN VIOLENCE: WHAT SOCIOLOGY REVEALS

Earnestine Lee

United States of American

ARTICLE INFO

Article History:

Received 17th April, 2026
Received in revised form
20th May, 2026
Accepted 27th June, 2026
Published online 30th July, 2026

Keywords:

Teen Violence, Gun Violence, Sociology,
Social Disorganization Theory, Social
Learning Theory, Strain Theory, Peer
Conflict, Retaliation.

*Corresponding author:
Mamadi Mariame Camara

ABSTRACT

Teen gun violence in the United States is often treated as a behavior problem. Sociology shows that it is not that simple. Many teen arguments end without violence, but some become dangerous when young people live around unsafe streets, peer pressure, fear, and the need to protect their reputation. This paper explains how teen conflict can turn into gun violence through peer influence, neighborhood problems, and revenge. It uses strain theory, social learning theory, labeling theory, and social disorganization theory to show that teen gun violence is connected to both a young person's life and the world around them.

Copyright©2026, Framoudou TRAORE et al. 2026. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Citation: Framoudou TRAORE, Mamadi Mariame CAMARA, Serguéi Ivanovich Korzhov, Dmitry N. Golubtsov and Anatoly F. Klimkin. 2026. "Task-Based Role-Play and Drama Techniques as Creative Catalysts for Enhancing EFL Pragmatic Competence." *International Journal of Current Research*. 18. (07). 37907-37909.

INTRODUCTION

Teen arguments are often seen as normal conflicts that fade with time. In many cases, that is true. But in some communities, a small argument can become very serious. A disagreement between teens can lead to threats, group pressure, revenge, and sometimes gun violence. Sociology helps explain why this happens. It looks at more than the actions of one teen. It also studies the people and places around them. Peer groups, neighborhood conditions, poverty, school resources, family stress, and community support can all affect how young people handle conflict. This paper focuses on how teen arguments can turn into gun violence. It explains how peer conflict, neighborhood disadvantage, and revenge cycles work together. It also uses sociology and criminology theories to show why violence is not only an individual choice, but also a social problem.

Peer Conflict as a Social Process: Teen conflict is not always only about the original argument. It can also be about respect, pride, status, and identity. During the teen years, young people often care a lot about how their peers see them. A public insult, rumor, threat, or social media post can feel much bigger when others are watching. Symbolic interactionism helps explain this. It shows that people act based on the meaning they give to

a situation. For teens, the meaning of an argument may depend on their peer group. If their friends believe that backing down makes someone look weak, the teen may feel pushed to answer with anger or aggression. Peer influence is especially strong during the teen years (Dishion et al., 2010). When young people are around peers who support fighting, carrying weapons, or getting revenge, conflict can become more dangerous. In these situations, a gun can turn a short argument into a deadly event.

Neighborhood Conditions and Structural Disadvantage. Neighborhood conditions also play an important role in teen gun violence. Social disorganization theory explains that violence is more likely in communities with poverty, instability, and weak institutions. These problems make it harder for people to work together and keep order. Sampson et al. (1997) describe this as reduced collective efficacy. This means that neighbors may have less ability to trust one another, watch out for young people, or step in before problems grow. When schools, community centers, youth programs, and trusted adults are not strong enough, teens may be left to handle conflict on their own. In high violence neighborhoods, teens may also see violence more often. They may hear about shootings, know people who carry guns, or feel unsafe in their own community. Over time, violence can start

to feel normal, even though it causes harm. Retaliation Cycles and Violence Escalation. Retaliation is one of the main ways teen conflict can grow into gun violence. One argument can lead to a threat. A threat can lead to a fight. A fight can lead to someone bringing a weapon. Then, if someone is hurt or embarrassed, friends or family members may feel pressure to respond. Loeffler and Flaxman (2016) explain that violence can spread from one event to another. This means that one violent act can lead to more violence. In some communities, retaliation becomes a cycle. Each side feels that it must answer the last incident. For teens, this cycle can be very dangerous because emotions are high, peer pressure is strong, and decisions may be made too quickly. When guns are present, the result can be deadly.

Theoretical Framework: Several sociology and criminology theories help explain teen gun violence. These theories show that violence is not caused by only one thing. It often comes from pressure, learned behavior, social labels, and neighborhood conditions. The main theories used in this paper are strain theory, social learning theory, labeling theory, and social disorganization theory.

Strain Theory: Strain theory argues that crime and violence can happen when people feel blocked from reaching important goals (Merton, 1938). These goals may include respect, safety, success, money, or social status. For teens, strain may come from many places. A young person may feel stuck because of poverty, unsafe schools, limited support, or few chances for success. They may also feel pressure to protect themselves or prove that they are not weak. Agnew's General Strain Theory adds that negative experiences, such as victimization, bullying, violence, or conflict with peers, can create anger and frustration (Agnew, 1992). If teens do not have healthy ways to manage this anger, they may be more likely to respond with aggression. In this way, violence can become a harmful way to deal with stress, fear, or pressure.

Social Learning Theory: Social learning theory explains that violence can be learned through contact with other people. Akers (1998) argues that people are more likely to commit crime when they spend time with others who support or reward that behavior. For teens, peer groups can have a strong effect. If a teen sees that aggression brings respect, attention, or protection, they may begin to see violence as useful. If friends praise revenge or carrying a gun, the teen may start to believe those actions are normal. This does not mean every teen in a violent environment will become violent. But repeated exposure to violence can change how young people think about conflict. Over time, they may learn that violence is an acceptable way to solve problems or defend themselves.

Labeling Theory: Labeling theory focuses on how people are affected by the labels placed on them. When a young person is called "violent," "delinquent," or "criminal," that label can change how others treat them. It can also affect how the teen sees themselves. Becker (1963) explains that labels can push people toward the behavior society expects from them. For example, if a teen is always treated as a troublemaker, they may lose support from teachers, employers, or community members. This can create a cycle. The teen may feel rejected by schools or other institutions and may turn toward peer groups that accept them. If those peer groups are involved in violence, the teen may become more connected to risky behavior. In communities with heavy policing and

surveillance, teens may be labeled early. This can increase distrust toward institutions and make young people rely more on peer based systems of protection and identity.

Social Disorganization Theory: Social disorganization theory explains violence by looking at the neighborhood. Sampson and Groves (1989), along with Sampson, Raudenbush, and Earls (1997), argue that poverty, residential instability, and weak community institutions can make violence more likely.

When a neighborhood does not have strong social support, it becomes harder to prevent conflict. There may be fewer trusted adults, fewer safe spaces, and fewer programs that help teens handle problems before they grow. In these settings, young people may be more exposed to violent peer groups and retaliation cycles. They may also feel that no one will protect them unless they protect themselves. This can make guns seem like a form of safety, even though they increase the danger.

Combined Sociology View: Together, these theories show that teen gun violence is not random. It is also not only about one bad decision. It is connected to stress, peer pressure, neighborhood conditions, and social responses. Strain theory explains how pressure, blocked chances, and anger can lead to aggression. Social learning theory explains how teens may learn violent behavior from peers and the environment around them. Labeling theory explains how negative labels can push young people away from positive support and into risky groups. Social disorganization theory explains how weak neighborhood support can allow violence to grow and continue. From this view, peer conflict, neighborhood violence, and retaliation are connected. A teen argument may be the immediate trigger, but the larger social environment helps explain why the conflict becomes so dangerous.

Implications for Prevention: A sociology based view of teen gun violence shows that prevention must go beyond punishing individual teens. Communities need support systems that reduce conflict, build trust, and give young people safer choices.

Helpful prevention strategies may include:

- Conflict mediation programs in schools and neighborhoods
- Restorative justice programs that help teens repair harm
- Violence interruption programs that stop retaliation before it grows
- More investment in safe schools, community centers, and youth programs
- Mental health support for teens exposed to trauma and violence
- Mentorship programs that connect young people with trusted adults
- Positive peer groups that encourage safety, respect, and responsibility

Programs that interrupt retaliation have shown promise because they focus on the social patterns that keep violence going (Avram et al., 2024). These programs work best when they are connected to the community and led by people young people trust.

CONCLUSION

Teen arguments can turn into gun violence when social conditions make conflict harder to control. Peer pressure, fear, neighborhood disadvantage, easy access to guns, and retaliation cycles can all increase the risk of violence. Sociology shows that teen gun violence is not only an individual problem. It is also connected to larger social conditions. Young people are influenced by their families, friends, schools, neighborhoods, and the opportunities available to them. To reduce teen gun violence, communities must address both the immediate conflicts and the conditions behind them. This means creating safer neighborhoods, stronger schools, better youth support, and trusted programs that help teens solve conflict without violence.

REFERENCES

- Agnew, R. (1992). Foundation for a general strain theory of crime and delinquency. *Criminology*, 30(1), 47–87. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1745-9125.1992.tb01093.x>
- Akers, R. L. (1998). *Social learning and social structure: A general theory of crime and deviance*. Northeastern University Press.
- Avram, R., Koepcke, E. J., Moussawi, A., & Nuñez, M. (2024). *Do Cure Violence programs reduce gun violence? Evidence from New York City*. arXiv. <https://arxiv.org/abs/2406.02459>
- Becker, H. S. (1963). *Outsiders: Studies in the sociology of deviance*. Free Press.
- Dishion, T. J., Véronneau, M.-H., & Myers, M. W. (2010). Cascading peer dynamics underlying adolescent violence. *Development and Psychopathology*, 22(3), 603–619. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0954579410000272>
- Haynie, D. L., Silver, E., & Teasdale, B. (2006). Neighborhood characteristics, peer networks, and adolescent violence. *Journal of Quantitative Criminology*, 22, 147–168. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10940-006-9006-y>
- Loeffler, C. E., & Flaxman, S. (2016). *Is gun violence contagious?* arXiv. <https://arxiv.org/abs/1611.06713>
- Merton, R. K. (1938). Social structure and anomie. *American Sociological Review*, 3(5), 672–682.
- Sampson, R. J., & Groves, W. B. (1989). Community structure and crime: Testing social disorganization theory. *American Journal of Sociology*, 94(4), 774–802.
- Sampson, R. J., Raudenbush, S. W., & Earls, F. (1997). Neighborhoods and violent crime: A multilevel study of collective efficacy. *Science*, 277(5328), 918–924. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.277.5328.918>
- Thomas, K. J., O'Neill, J., & Vogel, M. (2024). Neighborhood disadvantage and adolescent violence. *Justice Quarterly*. <https://nij.ojp.gov/library/publications/neighborhood-disadvantage-social-groups-and-adolescent-violence-assessing>
- Wilkinson, D. L., McBryde, S. M., Williams, B., Bloom, S., & Bell, K. (2009). Peers and gun use among urban adolescent males. *Journal of Contemporary Criminal Justice*, 25(1), 20–44. <https://nij.ojp.gov/library/publications/peers-and-gun-use-among-urban-adolescent-males-examination-social-embeddedness>
- Wright, E. M., & Fagan, A. A. (2013). Cycle of violence in context: Exploring the moderating roles of neighborhood disadvantage and cultural norms. *Criminology*, 51(2), 217–249. <https://nij.ojp.gov/library/publications/cycle-violence-context-exploring-moderating-roles-neighborhood-disadvantage>
