



International Journal of Current Research Vol. 8, Issue, 09, pp.37938-37940, September, 2016

RESEARCH ARTICLE

SOME EQUIVALENT CONDITIONS ON k-ORTHOGONAL MATRICES

*Bhuvaneswari, G.

Department of Mathamatics, Ramanujan Research Centre, Govt Arts College (A), Kumbakonam-612002, Tamilnadu, India

ARTICLE INFO

Article History:

Received 23rd June, 2016 Received in revised form 17th July, 2016 Accepted 12th August, 2016 Published online 20th September, 2016

ABSTRACT

Some equivalent conditions on k-Orthogonal matrices are given.

AMS subject classification: 15A09,15A57.

Key words:

k-Orthogonal, k-Symmetric, Skew, k-Symmetric.

Copyright©2016, Bhuvaneswari. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Citation: Bhuvaneswari, G. 2016. "Some equivalent conditions on k-orthogonal matrices", International Journal of Current Research, 8, (09), 37938-37940

INTRODUCTION

Let C_{nxn} be the space of nxn complex matrices. For a matrix A $\not\in C_{nxn}$, A^T , A^* and A^{-1} denote transpose, conjugate transpose and inverse of the matrix A respectively. Let k be a fixed product of disjoint transpositions in S_n the set of all permutations on $\{1,2,3,.....n\}$ hence, involutary and let K be the permutation matrix associated with k. The concept of k-Orthogonal matrices is introduced as a generalization of k-real and k-hermitian (Hill and Water, 1992) and orthogonal matrices clearly K satisfies the following properties K^2 =I and K= K^T = K^* .

2.Definitions

Defn 2.1

A Matrix $A \not\in C_{nxn}$ is said to be k-orthogonal if $AKA^TK = KA^TKA = I$ Ie; $KA^TK = A^{-1}$

Example

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$
 is k-orthogonal

*Corresponding author: Bhuvaneswari, G.

Department of Mathamatics, Ramanujan Research Centre, Govt Arts College (A), Kumbakonam-612002, Tamilnadu, India.

Definition 2.2

A matrix $A \in C_{nxn}$ is said to be k-Symmetric if $A = KA^TK$.

Definition 2.3

A matrix $A \in C_{nxn}$ is said to be involutary if $A^2=I$.

3. Some equivalent conditions on k-Orthogonal matrices.

Therom:3.1

If A is k-Orthogonal then both AA^T and A^TA are k-Orthogonal.

$$\begin{split} &(AA^T)^{\text{-}1} &= (A^T)^{\text{-}1} A^{\text{-}1} \\ &= \left(A^{-1}\right)^T A^{-1} \quad (\because KA^TK = A^{\text{-}1}) \\ &= (KA^TK)^T (KA^TK) \\ &= KAKKA^TK \\ &= KAA^TK \\ &(AA^T)^{\text{-}1} = K(AA^T)^T K. \\ &\therefore AA^T \text{ is k-orthogonal.} \end{split}$$

A similar proof may be given for $A^{T}A$.

Therom:3.2

Any two of the following imply the other

- (i)A is k-orthogonal
- (ii)A is symmetric
- (iii)KA or AK is involutary.

Proof: (i) and (ii) \Rightarrow (iii)

 $KA^{T}K=A^{-1}$

 $KAK=A^{-1} \rightarrow (1): A=A^{T}$

Post multiplying (1) by A we get

KAKA=A⁻¹A

 $(KA)^2 = I$

Pre multiplying (1) by A we get

 $AK AK = AA^{-}$

 $(AK)^2 = I$

(ii) and (iii) \Rightarrow (i)

 $(KA)^2 = I$

KAKA=I

 $KAK=A^{-1}$

 $KA^{T}K=A^{-1}$

: A is k-orthogonal (since A is symmetric)

A similar proof may be given when we assume $(AK)^2=I$.

(iii) and (i) \Rightarrow (ii)

 $(KA)^2=I$

KAKA=I

 $KAK=A^{-1}$

 $KAK=KA^{T}K$

1 T

 $A = A^{I}$

A is symmetric.

Therom:3.3

Any two of the following imply the other.

- (i)Å is k-orthogonal.
- (ii) A is k-Symmetric.
- (iii) A is involutary.

Proof: (i) and (ii) \Rightarrow (iii)

 $KA^{T}K=A^{-1}$

 $A=A^{-1}(:: A \text{ is k-Symmetric})$

 $A^2=I$... A is involutary.

(iii) and (i) \rightarrow (ii)

 $A^2=I \implies AA=I$

 $A=A^{-1}$

 $A=KA^TK$

∴ A is k- Symmetric.

Remark:3.4 For any matrix A ,A commutes with K iff A^T commutes with K.

$$KA=AK \Leftrightarrow (KA)^T = (AK)^T$$

 $\Leftrightarrow A^TK = KA^T$

Therom:3.5

Any two the following imply the other

- (i)A is orthogonal
- (ii)A is k-orthogonal
- (iii)AK=KA

Proof: (i) and (ii) \Rightarrow (iii)

$$KA^{T}K=A^{-1}$$
 $KA^{-1}K=A^{-1}$
 $(KA^{-1}K)^{-1}=(A^{-1})^{-1}$
 $KAK=A \Rightarrow AK=KA$
(ii) and (iii) \Rightarrow (i)
 $KA^{T}K=A^{-1}$
 $A^{T}=A^{-1}$ (by remark 3.4)
(iii) and (i) \Rightarrow (ii)
 $A^{T}=A^{-1}\Rightarrow KKA^{T}=A^{-1}$
 $\Rightarrow KA^{T}K=A^{-1}$. (by remark 3.4)

Remark 3.6:

If a matrix A is non singular then by Cayley-Hamilton therom we call find a polynomial P(t) such that $A^{-1}=P(A)$.

Therom:3.7

Any two of the following imply the other

- (i)A is k-orthogonal
- (ii) $A^{-1}=P(A)$ where P(A) is a polynomial in A
- $(iii)A^*=P(KAK)$

Proof: (i) and (ii) \Rightarrow (iii)

Since A is k-Orthogonal and non singular by remark (3.6), it is possible to find a polynomial P(t) such that $A^{-1}=P(A)$

Let
$$P(A) = \alpha_0 A^n + \alpha_1 A^{n-1} + \dots + \alpha_n I$$
, $\alpha_0 \neq 0$
But $KA^TK = A^{-1} = P(A)$

$$A^T = K(\alpha_0 A^n + \alpha_1 A^{n-1} + \dots + \alpha_n I)K$$

$$= \alpha_0 KA^nK + \alpha_1 KA^{n-1}K + \dots + \alpha_n KIK$$

$$= \alpha_0 (KAK)^n + \alpha_1 (KAK)^{n-1} + \dots + \alpha_n I$$

=
$$\alpha_0 \text{ KA}^n\text{K} + \alpha_1 \text{ KA}^{n-1}\text{K} + \dots + \alpha_n \text{ KIK}$$

= $\alpha_0 (\text{ KAK})^n + \alpha_1 (\text{KAK})^{n-1} + \dots + \alpha_n \text{I}$
 $A^T = P(\text{KAK})$

(ii) and (iii)
$$\Rightarrow$$
 (i)
 $A^{T} = P(KAK)$
 $KA^{T}K = K(\alpha_{0}(KAK)^{n} + \alpha_{1}(KAK)^{n-1} + \dots + \alpha_{n}I)K$
 $= K(\alpha_{0}KA^{n}K + \alpha_{1}KA^{n-1}K + \dots + \alpha_{n}KIK)K$
 $= \alpha_{0}A^{n} + \alpha_{1}A^{n-1} + \dots + \alpha_{n}I = P(A)$

∴ A is k-orthogonal

(iii) and (i) \Rightarrow (ii)

 $KA^{T}K = A^{-1}$

since A is k-Orthogonal and non singular by remark (3.6), it is possible to find a polynomial q(t) such that $A^{-1}=q(A)$

Let
$$q(A) = \beta_0 A^m + \beta_1 A^{m-1} + \dots + \beta_m I$$
, $\beta_0 \neq 0$

 $KA^{T}K=A^{-1}$

But $A^T = P(KAK) \implies KP(KAK)K = A^{-1} = q(A)$

$$K(\alpha_0(KAK)^n + \alpha_1(KAK)^{n-1} + \dots + \alpha_n I)K = \beta_0 A^m + \alpha_1(KAK)^n +$$

$$\beta_1 A^{m-1} + \dots + \beta_m I$$

$$K(\alpha_{0}KA^{n}K + \alpha_{1}KA^{n-1}K + \dots + \alpha_{n}I) K = \beta_{0}A^{m} + \beta_{1}A^{m-1} + \dots + \beta_{m}I$$

$$\therefore \alpha_0 A^n + \alpha_1 A^{n-1} + \dots + \alpha_n I = \beta_0 A^m + \beta_1 A^{m-1} + \dots + \beta_m I$$

Since two polynomials in A are equal . we must have m = n and $\alpha_i = \beta_i$ for all i.

$$\therefore$$
 P(A) =q(A)

Hence $A^{-1} = P(A)$

Definition 3.8: (Peter Lanncaster, 2009)

The matrices A and B from C_{nxn} are said to be similar if there exists a nonsingular matrix $T \in C_{nxn}$ such that $A = T^{-1}BT$

Therom 3.9:

Let A be k-orthogonal. Let B is similar to A such that $B=C^{-1}AC$. If a matrix C is

k-orthogonal then B is k-orthogonal.

Proof:

$$\begin{split} KB^TK &= K(C^{-1}AC)^T K \\ &= K C^T A^T (C^{-1})^T K \\ &= K C^T A^T (K C^T K)^T K \\ &= K C^T A^T K C^T K^T K \\ &= K C^T A^T K C \end{split}$$

=
$$K(K C^{-1}K) (K A^{-1}K) K C$$

= $C^{-1} A^{-1} C$
= $(C^{-1} A C)^{-1}$
= B^{-1}

REFERENCES

Anna Lee, 1976. Secondary symmetric, secondary skew symmetric secondary orthogonal matrices, period. *Math. Hungary*, 7, 63-70

Hill R.D. and Water S.R. 1992. On k-real and k-hermitian matrices, *Linear Alg. Appl.*,169(1992),17-29.

Krishnamoorthy, S, K. Gunasekaran and Bhuvaneswari, G. 2011. On k-orthogonal matrices, *International Journal of Mathematical sciences and Engineering Applications*, ISSN 0973-9424, Vol.5. No.V (Sep.) PP 235-243

Peter Lanncaster, 2009. Theory of matrices, Academic Press, New york and London.
