INTRODUCTION

Laparoscopic simple nephrectomy is often far from “simple” even for the most experienced laparoscopic surgeon because the conditions for which it is performed often result in significant perinephric scarring. Since the mid-1990s, there has been an evolution in surgical practice from traditional open approaches toward minimally invasive means of treating operative lesions. Although these changes have been made possible through advances in video technology and instrumentation design, the primary driver has been an increasingly educated patient population seeking less painful means of treatment. Over a century ago, gynecologic colleagues introduced laparoscopic surgery primarily as a diagnostic tool. Only recently has it become a practical and acceptable alternative to treat complex surgical diseases. The development of then laparoscopic pelvic lymphadenectomy for patients with prostate cancer inaugurated the role of laparoscopy in treating urologic lesions (Griffith et al., 1990). In June 1990, Clayman and coworkers at Washington University overcame the barriers to laparoscopic solid organ removal by performing the first laparoscopic nephrectomy (Clayman et al., 1991). In less than 7 hours, an elderly patient with a 3-cm solid renal mass underwent laparoscopic radical nephrectomy through five trocar sites. This accomplishment represents one of the milestones in minimally invasive surgery because it provided the solution for removing a large solid organ without the need for an incision (Clayman et al., 1991). Since this report, many institutions have verified the utility of laparoscopic approach to address the diseases of the kidney. Retroperitoneal laparoscopic nephrectomy was introduced by Gaur et al. in 1993. Laparoscopic nephrectomy has proven to be beneficial as compared to open surgery in terms of lesser postoperative pain, a shorter hospital stay and a more rapid return of full activity (Gaur et al., 1993; Rozenberg et al., 1999).

MATERIALS AND METHODS

This study was done in department of urology, Baroda Medical College & SSG Hospital, Vadodara. We performed a...
retrospective review of a maintained database of 219 consecutive laparoscopic simple nephrectomies done for pyonephrosis between July 2001 to February 2015.

**Inclusion Criteria**

- All patients who went for laparoscopic simple nephrectomy for pyonephrosis

**Exclusion Criteria**

Laparoscopic simple nephrectomy performed for other than pyonephrosis that include

- Obstructive or reflux nephropathy
- Renal tuberculosis
- Multicystic dysplastic kidney
- Renal vascular hypertension
- Acquired renal cystic disease
- Nephrosclerosis
- Symptomatic patients with autosomal dominant polycystic kidney disease.

**Patient Evaluation and Preparation**

All the patients were given light diet in the previous evening and polyethylene glycol preparation for bowel wash out. Informed consent obtained with discussion of possible complications.

**Operative procedure**

For laparoscopic nephrectomy, the patient is initially positioned supine for induction of general anesthesia. A bladder catheter and nasogastric tube is placed for decompression of the bladder and stomach prior to insufflation. The subsequent steps and positioning of the patient depends on the approach for the procedure.

**Retroperitoneoscopic Nephrectomy**

Patient is placed in the lateral flank position with elevation of the kidney bridge. Further, the table may be tilted anteriorly to allow the peritoneum and bowel to fall away from the proposed port site. The primary port is placed using a 1.5-cm incision, 2 cm below and posterior to the tip of the 12th rib in the posterior axillary line, deepened down to the thoracolumbar fascia. A balloon dilator was constructed as described by Gaur (1993). The balloon dilator was used to displace the adjacent fat and peritoneum. A 10 mm port was then placed in this opening and used as the camera port. The 2nd and 3rd ports were inserted under direct vision. An automatic insufflator was used to maintain the CO2 pressure at 14 mm Hg. The hilar vessels are dissected first and divided. The ureter is divided and the kidney is removed intact by extending a port or by joining two ports. A 18-F ryles tube drain is left behind in the retroperitoneal space through the 5-mm port site at the discretion of the surgeon. The patient positioned in a modified lateral decubitus position and the umbilicus is placed over the break in the operating table. An axillary roll is placed and padding used to support the buttocks and flank. The table is rolled toward the surgeon to assist with retraction of the bowel. The abdomen is insufflated using a Veress needle. Trocars are usually inserted near the umbilicus, midway between the iliac crest and umbilicus, just below the costal margin in the midclavicular line, and 4th port at the anterior axillary line midway between the twelfth rib and the iliac crest.

In general, 10/12 mm ports are used at the umbilicus and lower quadrant, whereas 5 mm ports are used at the costal and lateral margins.
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For a left nephrectomy, the white line of told is incised from the level of the iliac vessels to above the spleen including the lienocolic ligament. During a right-sided nephrectomy, the peritoneal incision is carried cephalad, above the hepatic flexure including the right triangular and right anterior coronary ligaments. Medial traction on the colon reveals colorenal attachments that must be divided to complete the colon dissection. Adequate mobilization of the colon reveals the psoas muscle followed by the gonadal vessels and the ureter. The ureter is elevated and followed proximally to the lower pole and hilum of the kidney. The ureter is not divided at this time because it can be used to help elevate the kidney and identify the hilar vessels which are clipped and divided individually after a meticulous hilar dissection. Once the hilar vessels have been divided, the dissection continues posteriorly and superiorly to the upper pole and the adrenal gland is preserved. The ureter is divided and the kidney is removed intact by extending a 10 mm port. The muscle layer of the 10 mm trocar sites is closed with 2-0 vicryl sutures.

**Post Operative Care**

The nasogastric tube is removed at the completion of the procedure. The patient can begin oral diet as tolerated after the bowel sounds return or next day morning. The foley catheter is removed within 24 hour the patient is ambulating and a drain be removed within 24 hour or when the output is less than 50 ml in 24 hrs. The patient is discharged when tolerating a diet.

**Statistical Methods:** Statistical analysis was done by SPSS software. The p-value for significance level was set at 0.05.
RESULTS

Number of Patients

In our study there were 219 simple nephrectomies of which transperitoneal route was used in 165 (75.3%) while retroperitoneal access was used in 54 (24.6%) patients.

Demographics

In our study there was male predominance. Nephrectomy was performed in 120 male patients and 99 female patients, out of 120 male 85 underwent lap transperitoneal nephrectomy and 35 underwent lap retro peritoneal nephrectomy and in female 89, and 19 respectively. In present study right side simple nephrectomy was done in 128 and left side in 91 cases. The mean age at surgery was 55 years (rang 3-77 years).

Etiology

Etiology was Renal stone/Pelviuretric junction stone in 98 (44.75%), Uretic stone 87 (39.72%), Pelviureteric junction obstruction in 23 (10.5%), Uretic stricture in 9 (4.1%), vesicooureteric reflux in 2 (0.9%).

DISCUSSION

The rate of conversion to open surgery in laparoscopic tranceperitoneal simple nephrectomy is range from (5-11.1 %) and (6-16 %) in laparoscopic retroperitoneal simple nephrectomy in most of the published series. In some series reports higher conversion rate in pyonephrosis up to 80%.

No differences were observed regarding age, body mass index (BMI) or gender distribution between the conversion and no conversion groups and right-sided nephrectomy were associated with higher chances of conversion into an open procedure 8.6% (19/219) as compare to left-sided nephrectomy 3.6%(8/219). High conversion rate in rt nephrectomy are generally associated with difficulty in progressing due to severe perirenal adhesions and fibrosis around short renal vein and inferior vena cava. As, many simple nephrectomies are far from simple owing to the scarring associated with the pathologic process. Indeed the underlying renal pathology has been shown to have a direct correlation to the incidence of conversion with renal tuberculosis, post-traumatic renal atrophy, infarcted kidneys, and xanthogranulomatous pyelonephritis having an open conversion rate of 89% in one large multi-institutional german study (McDougall et al., 1994).

Total 27 patients required conversion to open surgery (12.3%) with 18% (18) of these conversions occurring during the first 100 cases only 9 patient required conversion to open in next 129 patients (6.9%). Our rate of conversion is comparable to other series despite that we are performing in difficult pyonephrotic patients.
Indication for Conversion to open

In present study 27(12.3%) patients requiring conversion were having adhesion 13(5.9%) and bleeding 9(4.1%) were the main factors for conversion, while 2 (0.9%) patients required conversion due to bowel injury and limited space in 3(1.3%) patients. Conversion rate was 12.1% (20/165) for transperitoneal procedures while 12.9% (7/54) for retroperitoneal approach.

Table. Indication for conversion to open

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indication for conversion to open</th>
<th>Adhesion</th>
<th>Bleeding</th>
<th>Bowel Injury</th>
<th>Lack of Space</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lap transperitoneal nephrectomy</td>
<td>11 (6.6%)</td>
<td>7 (4.2%)</td>
<td>2 (1.2%)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lap retroperitoneal nephrectomy</td>
<td>2 (3.7%)</td>
<td>2 (3.7%)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3 (5.5%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The role of laparoscopic surgery in patients with pyonephrosis has been controversial in earlier laparoscopic series, with higher open conversion and complication rates (Keeley et al., 1991; Rassweiler et al., 1998 and Bercowsky et al., 1999). Reported open conversion rate is 16-33% and complication rate is 20-50% in a contemporary series (Kapoor et al., 2006; Vanderbrink et al., 2007; Rosoff et al., 2006; Manohar et al., 2007 and Khaira et al., 2005). With increase in advanced laparoscopic experience and skills, LN can be offered in selected patients with acceptable morbidity, decreased blood loss and shorter convalescence (Guzzo et al., 2009). Katz r. e et al reported an overall conversion rate of 5% in a series of 185 laparoscopic nephrectomies with 7% and 15% major and minor Complications rate (Katz et al., 2004). Ricardo j et al reported an overall conversion rate of 28% of 50 laparoscopic nephrectomies cases with 9.0% and 12% major and minor Complications rate (Ricardo et al., 2008). Lee et al reported an overall conversion rate of 17% in a series of 31 laparoscopic nephrectomies with 8% and 16% major and minor Complications rate. Zaidi et al reported an overall conversion rate of 11.6% in a series of 60 laparoscopic nephrectomies with 3% and 16% major and minor Complications rate (Zaidi et al., 2007). Wayland hsiao et al reported an overall conversion rate of 6.6% in a series of 100 laparoscopic nephrectomies with 20% and 11% major and minor Complications (Wayland Hsiao and John, 2008). Hemal ak et al reported an overall conversion rate of 9.8% in a series of 185 laparoscopic nephrectomies with 9.8% and 3.8% major and minor Complication. M. tobias-machado et al reported an overall conversion rate of 11.7% in a series of 17 laparoscopic nephrectomies with 6% and 13% major and minor Complications (Tobias-Machado et al., 2005). Present study- Our data suggest that overall conversion rate of 12.1% in a series of 219 laparoscopic nephrectomies with 6.8 % and 12.7 % major and minor Complications. Our overall rate of conversion and complications was comparable with other series performing lap nephrectomies for nonfunctioning pyonephrotic kidney.

Conclusion

In our study, retroperitoneal laparoscopic nephrectomy has to be considered equal to transperitoneal laparoscopic nephrectomy in terms of conversion to open surgery. In retroperitoneal group lack of space is major concern while in transperitoneal group poor control of bleeding and injury to bowel is main problem. Adhesions are handled better by retroperitoneal laposcopic surgery.
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