



RESEARCH ARTICLE

DISTRIBUTION AND SEVERITY OF SORGHUM COVERED KERNEL SMUT IN NORTH  
WESTERN ETHIOPIA

\*Merkuz, A. and A. Getachew

Department of Plant Sciences, College of Agriculture and Environmental Sciences, Bahir Dar University,  
P.O.BOX 79, Bahirdar, Ethiopia

ARTICLE INFO

**Article History:**

Received 15<sup>th</sup> January, 2012  
Received in revised form  
24<sup>th</sup> February, 2012  
Accepted 17<sup>th</sup> March, 2012  
Published online 30<sup>th</sup> April, 2012

**Key words:**

Survey,  
Incidence,  
Covered kernel smut,  
Peasant administrations.

ABSTRACT

Field survey for sorghum covered kernel smut incidence and severity were done in two districts of North Gondar Administrative zone, Amhara National Regional State in North western Ethiopia, during the main crop season. In each district eleven representative Peasant Administrations (PAs) were selected randomly based mainly on sorghum area coverage. In each PA disease was assessed in ten randomly selected farmer fields. In Gondar Zuria district, the disease was highly distributed through out the surveyed areas and disease incidence ranged from 10.5 to 29.2%. The highest (29.2%) and the lowest disease incidence (10.5%) were recorded at Tach Teda and Sarwuha PAs respectively. The severity was the highest (54.66%) at Bahiriginb and the lowest (26.57%) at Sarwuha PAs. In Alefa Takussa district, the disease was found to be distributed with mean disease incidence of 12.25%. The higher disease incidence (17.43%) was at Goy while it was the lowest (5.5%) at Chima Lembez PAs. The Highest disease severity (48.35%) was encountered at Ayibiga and it was the lowest (31.05%) at Chima Lembez.

Copy Right, IJCR, 2012, Academic Journals. All rights reserved.

INTRODUCTION

Sorghum [*Sorghum bicolor* (L.) Moench] is one of the major foods and feed crops of the world and ranking fourth the world cereal crops wheat, rice and maize (FAO, 1998; Singh, 1983). Sorghum crop was first domesticated in Africa and all the cultivated and weedy races and varieties of the crop are found in the continent. Due to this, genetic diversity of sorghum is greatest in the African continent (Berhane, 1979; Ghani Zadeh *et al.*, 2011). Ethiopia is the largest sorghum producing country in Eastern and Southern Africa next to Sudan. Sorghum is one of the most important Ethiopian food crops, second to teff [*Eragrostis tef* (Zucc)], which is staple food of Ethiopia. This crop is grown in the highlands and intermediate elevation areas where rain fall is adequate and reliable as well as in the dry, hot low lands of the country (Abebe and Yilma, 1986; Berhane, 1979; Mengistu and Gebrekidan, 1980). It is also among the first four top cereal crops (teff, wheat, maize and sorghum) included in the Ethiopia Government Aggressive Extension program in an attempt to achieve food self sufficiency (Sasakawa Africa Association, 1996). Even though sorghum grows in different ecological zones throughout the country; the importance of the crop in order of priority varies from region n to Region (Abebe and Yilma, 1986). In dry areas, which constitute 46% of the arable land of the country and where moisture is a limiting factor, sorghum is the number one crop (Reddy and Kidane, 1993). Sorghum is grown in Amhara National Regional State all over North

Western Ethiopia as the second major crop exceeded only by teff [*Eragrostis tef* (Zucc)] (TANRS BOPED, 2000). The productivity of the crop is impeded by various production constraints, including diseases. Among the diseases covered kernel smut caused by the fungus *Sphacelotheca sorghi* (Link.) Clinton is the most important one (A.A.U.C.A., 1981; S.S.E.A.D., 1997; Ammar and El-Naggar, 2011). Covered kernel smut has been reported to be endemic in many sorghum fields from year to year and has side distribution in all sorghum growing areas of the country (Mengistu, 1982). In Ethiopia the extent of loss of grain sorghum due to smuts may reach up to 30% (IAR, 19983; S.S.E.A.D. 1997)

North Western Ethiopia comprises five Administrative zones, namely East Gojam, West Gojam, North Gondar, South Gondar and Awi zones, in which North Gondar exceeded in coverage and production. In all sorghum growing districts; of these zones, covered kernel smut have been reported to be severe and widely distributed (BPHC, 1994; S.S.A.E.D., 1997). In North Western Ethiopia, the incidence of covered kernel smut is currently increasing. Recently Bahirdar Plant Health Clinic, in the spot survey in two administrative zones of three districts has indicated the incidence of this disease from 0 to 50 percent. In spite of an increase in sorghum covered kernel smut in North Western Ethiopia, the distribution, incidence, and severity due to this disease has not been so far quantified. Therefore, the present study has been undertaken with the objective, To determine, incidence and severity of covered kernel smut of sorghum in some major growing areas in North Western Ethiopia.

\*Corresponding author: merkuzabera@yahoo.com

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

### Field Surveys

Survey for sorghum covered kernel incidence and severity was done in North Gondar administrative zone of the Amhara National Regional State (A.N.R.S) in North Western Ethiopia, during the main crop season “Meher” (an Amharic terminology denoting maturity and harvesting time for most of the field crops including sorghum in Ethiopia that normally starts in December). In the selected administrative zone two districts namely, Gondar zuria and Allefa Takusa (Fig. 1) were selected based on accessibility, available time and logistics. In each district eleven representative peasant administration (PAs) were selected at random based on sorghum area coverage. In each PA, ten randomly selected farmers’ fields were assessed.

### Disease assessment

In each field, disease incidence was scored on hundred randomly selected plants by diagonally moving in the field from one end to other in an “X” fashion. Plants were recorded as either infected or not infected (Cochran, 1972; Dennis and Geoffrey, 2011). Disease severity was determined on fifteen randomly selected plants in each field using the 1 to 5 disease scoring scale, where 1 = no damage/highly resistance/, 2 = 1-10% damage/resistance/, 3 = 11-25% damage/moderately resistance/, 4 = 36-40% damage/moderately susceptible/, 5 = more than 40% head damage/susceptible/ (House, 1985; Madhusudhan, *et al.*, 2011).

### Data Collection

1. Incidence and severity of covered kernel Smut
2. Varietal differences with respect to incidence and severity of the disease

### Data Analysis

The incidence and severity of covered Kernel smut, in a zone with in districts and localities in the survey area were expressed using descriptive statistics (Gomez, 1984).

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The field survey covered one administrative zone, two districts, twenty-two peasant administrations and 220 sorghum farmer fields (Table 1). The altitude of the surveyed area ranged from 1700 to 2200m above sea level. In all the surveyed peasant farms, only land races of sorghum were encountered with low to high levels of covered kernel smut infection (Table, 2). These local cultivars of sorghum might have contributed to the high level of infection in some fields as reported earlier in Somalia (Abadi *et al.*, 1988; Merkuz *et al.*, 2011a). Altitude and climate did not seem to be factors limiting distribution of covered kernel smut (Mengistu, 1982; S.S.E.A.D., 1997; Merkuz *et al.*, 2011b) as in the present study disease was found to be distributed in almost all the surveyed areas.

### Distribution, Incidence and Severity of Covered Kernel Smut in Surveyed Areas

#### Gondar Zuria district

In this district a total of 11 localities (PAs), 110 farmers fields and five land races were assessed. All assessed fields were

positive for the occurrence of the disease, indicating that the disease was widely distributed in the district (Table 2). It is evident from Table 2 that all the five land races were susceptible to covered kernel smut with different level of infection. In Gondar Zuria district, Covered kernel smut was highly distributed through out the surveyed areas and the disease incidence ranged from 10.5 to 29.2%. Among the 11 PAs surveyed in this district, higher disease incidence (29.2%) was recorded at Tach Teda while the lowest incidence (10.5%) was recorded at Sarwuha (Table 2). Disease incidence in Lemba, Lay Teda and Tikara was 23.38, 19.2 and 17% respectively. In the rest of the localities, disease incidence was less than 17% (Table 2). In general, mean disease incidence in this district was found to be 17.13%. Comparing the present results with earlier report from some other African countries, one finds a similarity in disease incidence in peasant farms. For instance, Marley and Aba (1999) reported that covered kernel smut was predominant in farmer fields of major sorghum growing areas of Sudanian zone in the Nigerian Savannah regions and Northern Guinea Zone with incidence of 24.8 and 29.5% respectively. The mean disease severity, in Gondar Zuria district, was 44.5% with severity ranges of 26.57 to 54.66% considering the 11 surveyed PAs, the highest disease severity 54.66% was recorded at Bahir Ginib and the lowest (26.57%) was at Sarwuha. Differences in disease severities among localities could be due to the variation of the pathogen population (Table 2).

#### Allefa Takussa district

In this district as in Gondar Zuria district, covered kernel smut was found to be highly distributed through out the surveyed areas with mean disease incidence of 12.25% (Table 3). The disease incidence ranged from 7.50 to 17.43% was recorded at Goy while the lowest (7.5%) was at Chima Lembez locality (Table3). The second higher disease severities were recorded at Amchahwa and Endona Chiba with 16.20 and 16.0% respectively. In rest of the PAs, the disease incidence was less than 13% (Table 3). Average disease severity, in this district, was 38.38% with a range of 31.05 to 48.35%. The highest disease severity (48.35%) was encountered at Ayibiga and the lowest (31.05%) was at Chima-Lmbez (Table 3).

### Summary and Conclusion

The survey results showed covered kernel smut caused by *Sporisorium sorghi* to be an important disease and caused heavy reduction in the yield of sorghum in the surveyed areas (Merkuz *et al.*, 2011c). In addition to yield reduction, it also adversely affected the quality of grains, when the black masses of chlamydo spores contaminated the grain at the time of harvesting and threshing. The disease was found to be prevalent in all the cultivars grown. Surveyed area was found to have only local land race. In Gondar Zuria district, irrespective of the cultivars, the highest disease incidence (29.2%) of covered kernel smut was observed at Tach Teda and highest severity (54.66%) was recorded at Bahri Ginib. The lowest disease incidence (10.5%) and the lowest severity (26.57%) were recorded at Sarwuha. In Allefa-Takussa district, irrespective of cultivars, the highest (17.43%) and the lowest (7.5%) disease incidence and the highest (48.35%) and the lowest (31.05%) disease severity were recorded at Goy, Chima Lembez, Ayibiga and Chima-Lembez respectively.

**Table 1. Surveyed areas including localities and altitudes for covered kernel smut**

| Administrative Zone | Districts      | Sub-districts     | Localities (PAs)      | Altitude range in m |
|---------------------|----------------|-------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|
| North Gondar        | Gondar Zuria   | Enfiraz Area      | Mitrha-Abawarka       | 1750 - 1790         |
|                     |                |                   | Sarwuha               | 1800 - 1900         |
|                     |                |                   | Tikara                | 1790 - 1850         |
|                     |                | Macksegnit Area   | Bahiri-Ginib          | 1850 - 1950         |
|                     |                |                   | Chinchaye             | 1800 - 1900         |
|                     |                |                   | Lemba                 | 1800 - 1900         |
|                     |                |                   | Maniterino            | 1850 - 1950         |
|                     |                | Teda Area         | Seguaj-Tsion          | 1850 - 1950         |
|                     |                |                   | Lay-Teda              | 1890 - 1950         |
|                     |                |                   | Minziro Teklehaimanot | 1850 - 1950         |
|                     | Allefa Takussa | Atsede-Mariam     | Tach-Teda             | 1890 - 1950         |
|                     |                |                   | Atsede-Mariam         | 2000 - 2200         |
|                     |                |                   | Chima-Lembe           | 1750 - 1850         |
|                     |                | Delgi Area        | Endona-Chiba          | 1900 - 2050         |
|                     |                |                   | Kezen-Tara            | 2000 - 2200         |
|                     |                |                   | Ayibiga               | 2000 - 2050         |
|                     |                |                   | Chemera-Bambarwa      | 1800 - 1880         |
|                     |                | Goy Area          | Delgi-Mekonita        | 1800 - 1880         |
|                     |                |                   | Sebi-Serako           | 1700 - 1900         |
|                     |                |                   | Amchahwa              | 1900 - 2050         |
|                     |                | Dikularva-Kurabas | 1900 - 2050           |                     |
|                     |                | Goy               | 1900 - 2050           |                     |

**Table 2. Incidence and severity of covered kernel smut in Gondar Zuria district, North Gondar Administrative zone.**

| No | Localities            | Varieties         | Incidence % | Mean Incidence % | Severity % | Mean Severity % |
|----|-----------------------|-------------------|-------------|------------------|------------|-----------------|
| 1  | Bahri Ginib           | Zengada           | 12.5        | 15.27            | 63.67**    | 54.66**         |
|    |                       | Bulie             | 16.0        |                  | 43.48      |                 |
|    |                       | Amedo             | 17.3        |                  | 56.84      |                 |
| 2  | Chinchaye             | Zengada           | 11.5        | 13.25            | 42.8       | 42.4            |
|    |                       | Bulie             | 16.5        |                  | 38.49      |                 |
|    |                       | Amedo             | 7.0         |                  | 30.3       |                 |
|    |                       | Aysham-Demozie    | 18.0        |                  | 58.0       |                 |
| 3  | Lay Teda              | Zengada           | 9.0         | 19.2             | 31.0       | 51.7            |
|    |                       | Bulie             | 28.0        |                  | 60.0       |                 |
|    |                       | Amedo             | 22.0        |                  | 76.8       |                 |
|    |                       | Aysham-Demozie    | 19.0        |                  | 56.4       |                 |
| 4  | Lemba                 | Kuche/Tabir       | 18.0        | 23.38            | 34.3       | 49.0            |
|    |                       | Zengada           | 29.0        |                  | 52.84      |                 |
|    |                       | Bulie             | 18.5        |                  | 60.8       |                 |
|    |                       | Amedo             | 20.0        |                  | 39.5       |                 |
| 5  | Materino              | Aysham-Demozie    | 26.0        | 12.33            | 42.8       | 33.76           |
|    |                       | Zengada           | 10.0        |                  | 36.67      |                 |
|    |                       | Bulie             | 13.0        |                  | 28.3       |                 |
|    |                       | Amedo             | 14.0        |                  | 36.3       |                 |
| 6  | Minziro Teklehaimanot | Zengada           | 15.0        | 15.54            | 52.0       | 43.13           |
|    |                       | Bulie             | 19.67       |                  | 50.5       |                 |
|    |                       | Amedo             | 13.5        |                  | 40.0       |                 |
|    |                       | Kuche/Tabir       | 14.0        |                  | 30.0       |                 |
| 7  | Mitrha Abawarka       | Zengada           | 10.0        | 16.6             | 45.15      | 53.0            |
|    |                       | Bulie             | 24.0        |                  | 48.49      |                 |
|    |                       | Amedo             | 16.0        |                  | 48.59      |                 |
|    |                       | Aysham-Demozie    | 16.0        |                  | 64.8       |                 |
| 8  | Sarwuha               | Kuche/Tabir       | 27.0        | 10.5*            | 58.0       | 26.57*          |
|    |                       | Zengada           | 6.5*        |                  | 24.1*      |                 |
|    |                       | Bulie             | 11.0        |                  | 35.3       |                 |
|    |                       | Kuche/Tabir       | 14.0        |                  | 20.27      |                 |
| 9  | Seguaj Tsion          | Zengada           | 15.5        | 16.13            | 39.65      | 41.7            |
|    |                       | Bulie             | 15.6        |                  | 39.29      |                 |
|    |                       | Amedo             | 17.3        |                  | 46.2       |                 |
|    |                       | Zengada           | 19.0        |                  | 46.8       |                 |
| 10 | Tach Teda             | Bulie             | 25.5        | 29.2**           | 62.15      | 53.3            |
|    |                       | Amedo             | 42.0**      |                  | 46.67      |                 |
|    |                       | Aysham-Demozie    | 40.5        |                  | 53.15      |                 |
|    |                       | Kuche/Tabir       | 19.0        |                  | 58.8       |                 |
| 11 | Tikara                | Zengada           | 11.0        | 17.0             | 39.19      | 40.31           |
|    |                       | Kuche/Tabir       | 23.0        |                  | 41.42      |                 |
|    |                       | Average Incidence | 17.13*      |                  |            |                 |
|    |                       | Average Severity  |             |                  | 44.5*      |                 |

Remark: - \*\* = Highest,

\* = Lowest

In Gondar Zuria district irrespective of localities, the highest disease incidence (42%) on cultivar Amedo, and the lowest incidence (6.5%) were on cultivar Zengada. The highest

disease severity (76.8%) was observed on Amedo, while lowest severity (20.27%) was on Kuche (Tabir). Similarly in Allefa Takussa district, irrespective of localities, the highest

**Table 3. Incidence and severity of covered kernel smut in Allefa-Takussa district North Gondar Administrative Zone**

| No | Localities        | Varieties                 | Incidence % | Mean Incidence % | Severity % | Mean Severity % |
|----|-------------------|---------------------------|-------------|------------------|------------|-----------------|
| 1  | Amchahwa          | Aysham-Demozie (Tembelta) | 21.5        | 16.20            | 40.0       | 36.38           |
|    |                   | Bulie                     | 10.0        |                  | 38.0       |                 |
|    |                   | Gumbrit                   | 11.0        |                  | 36.9       |                 |
|    |                   | Kuche/Tabir               | 27.5*       |                  | 40.0       |                 |
|    |                   | Zengada/saha              | 11.0        |                  | 27.0       |                 |
| 2  | Atsede-Mariam     | Aysham-Demozie (Tembelta) | 16.3        | 12.76            | 45.5       | 36.41           |
|    |                   | Bulie                     | 13.0        |                  | 17.0       |                 |
|    |                   | Gumbrit                   | 16.5        |                  | 15.5*      |                 |
|    |                   | Kuche                     | 11.0        |                  | 68.9       |                 |
|    |                   | Zengada/saha              | 7.0         |                  | 35.3       |                 |
| 3  | Ayibiga           | Bulie                     | 20.0        | 11.50            | 56.5       | 48.35**         |
|    |                   | Gumbrit                   | 12.0        |                  | 58.9       |                 |
|    |                   | Jihola                    | 8.0         |                  | 53.0       |                 |
|    |                   | Zengada                   | 6.0         |                  | 25.0       |                 |
|    |                   | Bulie                     | 12.0        | 11.0             | 42.99      | 35.63           |
| 4  | Chemera-Bambaruha | Gumbrit                   | 15.0        |                  | 40.00      |                 |
|    |                   | Zengada/saha              | 6.0         |                  | 21.90      |                 |
|    |                   | Jihola                    | 11.0        | 7.50*            | 37.8       | 31.05*          |
| 5  | Chima-Lembez      | Tetron                    | 4.0         |                  | 24.3       |                 |
|    |                   | Bulie                     | 10.5        | 7.75             | 33.9       | 33.85           |
|    |                   | Gumbrit                   | 13.0        |                  | 39.1       |                 |
| 6  | Delgi-Mokonita    | Zengada/Dinkosh           | 1.5         |                  | 23.3       |                 |
|    |                   | Zengada/saha              | 6.0         |                  | 39.1       |                 |
|    |                   | Bulie                     | 14.5        | 11.82            | 38.4       | 38.1            |
|    |                   | Gumbrit                   | 8.0         |                  | 40.0       |                 |
|    |                   | Jihola                    | 9.3         |                  | 39.1       |                 |
| 7  | Dikularva-Kurabas | Kuche                     | 18.3        |                  | 46.1       |                 |
|    |                   | Zengada/saha              | 9.0         |                  | 26.9       |                 |
|    |                   | Bulie                     | 15.0        | 16.00            | 32.7       | 38.30           |
|    |                   | Gumbrit                   | 15.0        |                  | 25.00      |                 |
|    |                   | Jihola                    | 21.0        |                  | 47.80      |                 |
| 8  | Endona-Chiba      | Zengada/saha              | 13.0        |                  | 47.70      |                 |
|    |                   | Bulie                     | 15.0        | 17.43*           | 30.00      | 33.78           |
|    |                   | Gumbrit                   | 14.7        |                  | 45.10      |                 |
|    |                   | Kuche                     | 27.0        |                  | 40.00      |                 |
|    |                   | Zengada/saha              | 13.0        |                  | 20.00      |                 |
| 9  | Goy               | Bulie                     | 14.0        | 12.10            | 35.0       | 47.54           |
|    |                   | Gumbrit                   | 16.5        |                  | 35.00      |                 |
|    |                   | Kuche                     | 14.0        |                  | 49.00      |                 |
|    |                   | Zengada/Dinkosh           | 7.0         |                  | 45.90      |                 |
|    |                   | Zengada/saha              | 9.0         |                  | 72.80*     |                 |
| 10 | Kezen-Tara        | Aysham-Demozie (Tembelta) | 17.5        | 10.7             | 48.00      | 42.73           |
|    |                   | Bulie                     | 14.0        |                  | 46.67      |                 |
|    |                   | Gumbrit                   | 10.0        |                  | 48.00      |                 |
|    |                   | Tetron                    | 2.0*        |                  | 21.00      |                 |
|    |                   | Zengada/saha              | 10.0        |                  | 50.00      |                 |
| 11 | Sebi-Serako       | Average Incidence         | 12.25*      |                  |            |                 |
|    |                   | Average Severity          |             |                  | 38.38*     |                 |

(27.5%) and the lowest disease incidence (1.5%) were observed on cultivar Kuche (Tabir) and Zengada (Dinkosh), where as the highest (72.8%) and the lowest (15.5%) disease severity were observed on Zengada (Saha) and Gumbrit cultivars, respectively. Disease incidence and severity in the two districts varied from cultivar to cultivar and from locality to locality. The preference of the farmers was for yield, drought tolerance, maturity, bird tolerance, food quality, storability character rather than susceptibility. The kind of cultural practices may also be influencing the incidence and severity of the disease (Merkuz *et al.*, 2011d). The wide distribution of the disease as recorded in the present study in the Amhara National Regional State, particularly North Western part might partly account for the poor yield often recorded (Merkuz *et al.*, 2011d). Finally from the field survey result Tetron/land race was observed to be highly resistant for the disease.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors thank Spanish PCID Project-Strengthening the Centre for Research and Community Service in Disaster Risk Management to Improve Food Security and Agricultural Productivity in the Amhara Regional State, Ethiopia sincerely for its support to publish this important research article.

## REFERENCES

- A.A.U.C.A (Addis Abeba University College of Agriculture). (1981) Research on plant diseases. Part II. Field trials on diseases of sorghum, compiled by Mengistu Hulluka, College of Agriculture, A.A.U Alemaya, 1980-81 PP. 13 – 15

- Abebe M. and Yilma K. (1986) Progress Report 14, Institute of Agricultural Research Sorghum Improvement Program January 1-December 31.
- Ammar M.I. and El-Naggar M.A. (2011) Date Palm (*Phoenix dactylifera* L.) Fungal diseases in Najran, Saudi Arabia. *International Journal of Plant Pathology* 2 (3): 126 – 135.
- Brhane G. (1979b) Sorghum genetic resources in Africa, *Ethiopian Journal of Agricultural science*, 1:108 – 115.
- Cochran W.G. (1977) Sampling Techniques, third edition, John Wiley and sons. New York. PP 428.
- Dennis O. and Geoffrey T. (2011) Survey on incidences and severity of rice yellow mottle virus disease in Eastern Uganda. *International Journal of Plant Pathology* 2 (1): 15 – 25.
- F.A.O. (1998) The state of world plant genetic resources for food and agriculture.
- Ghani Zadeh H. Lorzadeh S. and Aryyannia N. (2011) Evaluating weeds competitive ability in a corn field in Southern west of Iran. *Asian J. Crop Science* 3 (4): 179 – 187.
- Gomez A.K. and Gomez A.A. (1984) Statistical procedure, for agricultural research, second ed. Wilney Int. Sci-Pub New York.
- House L.R. (1985) A guide to sorghum breeding, second edition, ICRISAT, Patancheru India, India PP. 206.
- IAR (Institute of Agricultural Research). (1983) Crop Protection department, Progress report for the period 1977 – 78 PP. 5 – 7.
- Madhusudhan K.N. Vinayarani G. Deepak S.A. Niranjana S.R. Prakash H.S. Singh G.P. Sinha A.K. and Prasad B.C. (2011) Antiviral activity of plant extracts and other inducers against tobamoviruses infection in bell pepper and tomato plants. *International Journal of Plant Pathology* 2 (1): 35 – 42.
- Marley P.S. and Aba D.A. (1999) Current status of sorghum smuts in Nigeria, *Journal of sustainable Agriculture*, 15 (2-3): 119 – 132.
- Mengistu H. (1982) Disease of sorghum of some locations in Ethiopia, *Ethiopia Journal of Agricultural Sciences*, 4 PP. 45 – 54.
- Mengistu H. and Gebrekidan B. (1980) Diseases of sorghum in Ethiopia, In proceedings of the international workshop on sorghum Diseases, ICRISAT, Hyderabad, India, 11 – 15 December 1978. PP. 36 – 39.
- Merkuz A. Seid A. Chemed F. Sakhuja P.K. and Getachew A. 2011a. Effect of mustard green manure and dried plant residue on chickpea wilt (*Fusarium oxysporum* f.sp.ciceris). *Archives of Phytopathology and Plant Protection* 44 (9): 821 – 831
- Merkuz A. Seid A. Chemed F. Sakhuja P.K. and Getachew A. (2011b). Effect of *Brassica carinata* (L.) biofumigants (seed meal) on chickpea wilt (*Fusarium oxysporum* f.sp.ciceris), growth, yield and yield component in Ethiopia. *Archives of Phytopathology and Plant Protection* 44 (18): 1785 – 1795.
- Merkuz A. Sakhuja P.K. Chemed F. and Seid A. (2011c) Status of chickpea fusarium wilt (*Fusarium oxysporum* f.sp.ciceris) in northwestern Ethiopia. *Archives of Phytopathology and Plant Protection* 44 (13): 1261 – 1272
- Merkuz A. and Getachew A. (2011d) Evaluation of improved and local/landrace/sorghum varieties for covered kernel smut. *Archives of Phytopathology and Plant Protection* 1: 1 – 7.
- Reddy M.S. and Kidane G. (1993) Climate, Soils and crop of the main dry land areas. In dry land farming in Ethiopia, Review of the post and thrust in the nineties, IAR, Ethiopia PP.1
- Sasakawa Africa Association. (1996) SG 2000 Country profiles PP. 11 In feeding the future issue 9,1996
- Singh J.P. (1983) Crop protection in the Tropics, Vicks publishing house, India
- SSEAD. (Soil Survey and Evaluation for Agricultural Development). (1997) Crop disease survey report SSEAD consultancy for Amhara Regional State Bureau of Agriculture 2:45. Addis Ababa.
- TANRS BOPED (The Amhara National Regional State Bureau of Planning and Economic Development 1998/99 annual Statistical Bulletin), 2000 Bahir Dar

\*\*\*\*\*