



International Journal of Current Research Vol. 9, Issue, 02, pp.46296-46298, February, 2017

# RESEARCH ARTICLE

# POST OPERATIVE NAUSEA VOMITING (PONV): EFFECT OF ONDANSETRON AND PALONOSETRON

\*,1Dr. Bimal Krushna Panda and 2Dr. Sujata Panda

<sup>1</sup>Dept of Anaesthesiology and Critical Care, VIMSAR, Burla, India <sup>2</sup>Dept of E.N.T., VIMSAR, Burla, India

## ARTICLE INFO

#### Article History:

Received 03<sup>rd</sup> November, 2016 Received in revised form 25<sup>th</sup> December, 2016 Accepted 06<sup>th</sup> January, 2017 Published online 28<sup>th</sup> February, 2017

# Key words:

Palonosetron, Ondansetron, Ponv.

# **ABSTRACT**

The most common and distressing symptom following general anaesthesia are nausea and vomitting which occurs in post operative period of 20% to 30% of patients. The aim of this study is to compare the anti emetic efficacy of ondansetron and palonosetron by comparing the incidence of post operative nausea and vomitting using each drug. It was seen in the study that with palonosetron the incidence of post operative nausea and vomitting was significantly lower as compared to the group that received ondansetron.

Copyright©2017, Dr. Bimal Krushna Panda and Dr. Sujata Panda. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Citation: Dr. Bimal Krushna Panda and Dr. Sujata Panda, 2017. "Post operative nausea vomiting (*PONV*): effect of ondansetron and palonosetron", *International Journal of Current Research*, 09, (02), 46296-46298.

## INTRODUCTION

Post operative nausea and vomiting (PONV), (Andrews, 1992), has been associated with general anaesthesia since the introduction of chloroform and the first description was made by John Snow in 1884. During ether era the incidence was as high as 60% -70%. But inspite of advances in antiemetic therapy and changes in anaesthesia procedure including use of non-opioids or supplemented opioids to lighter non ether anaesthesia and improved pre and post operartive medication, refinement of operative technique, still post operative nausea and vomiting (PONV) occurs with unacceptable frequency and has been described as a "big little problem". With change in focus from inpatients to ambulatory anaesthesia, there has been an increase in this big little problem of nausea and vomiting (Patricia, 1991 and Gigilo, 2000). In 1956, halothane was introduced for induction which played an important role in reducing post operative nausea and vomitting (PONV), (Lerman, 1992). The introduction of propofol further declined the incidence of PONV to as low as 19% (Raftery, 1992 and Schulman, 1995). Avoidance of nitrous oxide reduced the incidence by 12 %. The approach for management is both pharmacological and non-pharmacological. The first and second line pharmacological antiemetic for PONV in adults

\*Corresponding author: Dr. Bimal Krushna Panda
Dept of Anaesthesiology and Critical Care, VIMSAR, Burla, India.

include 5HT3 receptor antagonist (ondansetron, granisetron, palonosetron, tropisetron) (Dua, 2004; Myles, 2000; Helmer, 1993; Rojas, 2008 and Basu, 2011), steroids like dexamethasones. phenothiazines (promethazine), buterophenone (haloperidol, droperidol), antihistaminic like diphenhydramine, dimen hydrinate and anticholinergics like scopolamine (Liu, 1999 and Lee, 2004). Among these the 5HT3 antagonists are the most effective in prophylaxis of PONV (Helmer, 1993). For effective control of PONV the knowledge of identification of high risk patients is necessary. Apfel and colleagues have developed a risk scoring system predictive for PONV (Apfel, 1999 and Apfel, 2014). Among ENT surgeries middle ear surgery (due to activation of vestibular afferent and auricular branch of vagus) and tonsillectomy (due to activation of glossopharyngeal afferent to brainstem) are associated with high incidence of PONV. The incidence of PONV can be as high as 70% during the first 24 hr after tonsillectomy (Apfel, 1999).

# MATERIALS AND METHODS

The study was under taken at VSS Medical College Hospital Burla, a tertiary referal center in western Odisha. Patients of ASA grade I & II of age group 20 to 50 yrs of either sex scheduled for middle ear surgeries and tonsillectomy under general anaesthesia were selected as study cases.

## **Exclusion Criteria**

Patients belonging to ASA grade III & IV, Obese patients, Pregnant women and lactating mother, Known allergy to test drugs, Any known systemic, metabolic disorder, Patients on chronic steroid therapy or have received antiemetic within 24 hrs of surgery

**Study Type:** A prospective randomised single blinded controlled study. The patients were randomly divided into two groups of 50 patients each.

**Group A-** ondan setron 8 mg I.V **Group B-** palonosetron 0.75 mg I.V

The drugs diluted to 4 ml with normal saline and is given 5 minutes prior to induction.

## Observation

Clinical observation of all 100 cases were done, and all admitted and under went surgery in the dept of otolaryngology, VSS Medical College, Burla. Observation regarding patients demography, duration of surgery, intra operative and post operative heart rate, blood pressure, incidence of PONV were recorded.

**Table 1. Sex Distribution** 

Gender	Gro	up-O	Group-P		P value	Significance
	n	%	n	%	•	
Male	26	52	27	54	>0.05	NS
Female	24	48	23	46	>0.05	NS

Table 2. Age distribution

Age in years	Gro	up-O	-O Group-P		P value	Significance
	n	%	n	%	ı	
20-30	24	48	27	54	>0.05	NS
30-40	18	36	16	32	>0.05	NS
40-50	8	16	7	14	>0.05	NS

**Table 3. Weight Distribution** 

Weight in kg	Group-O		Group-P		P value	Significance
	n	%	n	%	•	
40-45	14	28	14	28	>0.05	NS
46-50	20	40	24	48	>0.05	NS
51 -55	14	28	10	20	>0.05	NS
56-60	2	4	2	4	>0.05	NS

Table 4. Comparision of demographic profile

Variable (Mean ± SD)	Group-O	Group-P	P value	Significance
Age(Mean $\pm$ SD) yrs	28.2 ±5,72	29.8 ±4.49	>0.05	NS
weight(Mean ± SD) kg Duration of surgery	50.4 ±3.9 93 ±21.3	49.5 ±4.1 95.2	>0.05 >0.05	NS NS
(Mean ± SD) mins Duration of anaesthesia	119	±19.8	>0.05	NS
(Mean $\pm$ SD) mins	±20.04	±21.3 NS	0.05	115

Table 5. Patients on anaesthesia exposure during surgery of varied duration

Duration of	Grou	р-О	Gro	up-P	P value	Significance
surgery (min)	N	%	N	%	ı	
30-60	6	12	6	12	>0.05	NS
61-90	10	20	12	24	>0.05	NS
91-120	345	68	32	64	>0.05	NS

Table 6. Incidence of nausea

Duration	Grou	ір-О	Gro	up-P	P value	Significance
(hour)	N	%	N	%		
0-2	15	30	5	10	< 0.05	S
2-6	10	20	5	10	< 0.05	S
6-12	10	20	4	8	< 0.05	S
12-24	9	18	2	4	< 0.05	S
0-24	44	88	15	30	< 0.05	S

**Table 7. Incidence of Vomitting** 

Duration	Gro	up-O	Gro	up-P	P value	Significance
(hour)	N	%	N	%	_	
0-2	0	0	3	6	>0.05	NS
2-6	3	6	2	4	>0.05	NS
6-12	2	4	1	2	>0.05	NS
12-24	4	8	1	2	>0.05	NS
0-24	9	18	7	14	>0.05	NS

Table 8. Incidence of side effect

Adverse Event	Ondansetron	Palonosetron
Headache	5	5
Dizziness	6	7
Constipation	2	3
Myalgia	0	1
Rescue Medication	9	6

# **DISCUSSION**

PONV is the common complication with incidence ranging from 20 to 25 % with modern anaesthetic technique. In adition to patients dissatisfaction, PONV may have other adverse consequences such as dehydration, electrolyte imbalance, delayed recovery, extended hospital stay, delayede return to work (Myles, 2000). Catastropic complication like rupture of oesophagus can occur rarely. Etiological factor for PONV include female gender, age, obesity, non smoker, history of motion sickness, duration and type of surgery, intra operative use of opioids and nitrous oxide postoperative factor like pain, dizziness and early ambulation also contributes to PONV. If patients are given prophylactic antiemetic drug only 20 to 25 % benefit towards complete response and this has proved the importance of prophylaxis of PONV. Aproximately 20 drugs show efficacy out of which 5HT3 antagonists are more efficacious in preventing PONV74. From our study we found that the over all incidence of nausea in 0 to 24 hr time interval in the palonosetron & ondansetron group are 88 % & 30 % respectively. But difference in incidence of vomitting was not significant (14% against 18% of palonosetron).60% patients in palonosetron group had complete response i.e; no emesis and no resque antiemetic as compared to 26 % in ondansetron group for 0 to 24hr time period. This study has confirmed the findings of previous study by S.K Park eta116 who showed incidence of PONV was significantly lower in palonosetron group than ondansetron group (42.2% against 66.7%).

Bajwa *et al*, (Bajwa, 2011), found palonosetron a comparatively better drug to prevent PONV in day care surgeries as compared to ondansetron due to its prolonged duration of action. Y.E.Moon *et al* compared the effect of i.v. ondansetron & palonosetron at the end of surgery in high risk patients and found palonosetron group having lower incidence of PONV than ondansetron (42% vs 62%). In the study palonosetron 0.75 mg was more effective at reducing PONV than ondansetron 8 mg. This reflects the high receptor affinity of palonosetron for 5HT3 and longer duration of action. The

incidence of vomiting had very little difference than that of ondansetron. This is because palonosetron has less afinity for other receptors like 5HT1b, 5HT1c,  $\alpha 2$  adrenergic,  $\mu$  opioid and GABA which are involved in the initiation and coordination of vomiting reflex. Palonosetron 0 .75 mg i.v. improves the control of nausea and vomiting through the 2nd and 3<sup>rd</sup> day as it under goes slow elimination phase (half life approximately 40hrs) than ondansetron (half life aproximately 3 to 5 hrs).

# Conclusion

Palonosetron is superior to the established 1<sup>st</sup> generation 5HT3 receptor antagonists in respect of pharmacokinetic data such as a high receptor binding affinity and a prolonged mean elimination half life after i.v. administration. Although our study found that the side effects associated with palonosetron were slightly higher than ondansetron & vomiting profile was similar in both the group which were not significant, hence we conclude that Palonosetron 0 .75mg is stastistically superior to ondansetron 8 mg in preventing Nausea component of PONV.

# REFERENCE

- Andrews, P. L. R. 1992. Physiology of nausea and vomiting. *Br. J. Anaesth*, 69 (S):2-19.)
- Apfel, C.C., Kranke, P., Eberhart, L.H. 2004. Comparison of surgical site and patient's history with a simplified risk score for the prediction of postoperative nausea and vomiting. *Anaesthesia*, 59:1078-1082
- Apfel, C.C., Laara, E., Koivuranta, M. 1999. A simplified risk score for predicting post operative nausea vomiting: *Anaesthesiology*, 91:693-700
- Bajwa, S.S., Bajwa, S.K. Kaur, J. *et al.* 2011. Palonosetron: A novel approach to control postoperative nausea and vomitting in day care surgery. *Saudi J anaesth*; 5(1)19-24
- Basu, A., Saha, D., Hembram, B.P. *et al.* 2011. Comparision of palonosetron granisetron and ondansetron as antiemetic for prevention of post operative nausea and vomitting in patients undergoing middle ear surgery. *journal of Ind Med Asso*, 109 (5) ;327-9
- Dua, N., Bhatnagar, S., Mishra, S., Singhal, A.K. 2004. granisetron and ondansetron for prevention of nausea and

- vomiting in patients undergoing modified radical mastoidectomy. Anaesthesia and intensive care dec; 32(6):761-4
- Gigilo, C.A., Soares, H.F., Castro, C.P. et al: 2000. Granisetron is equivalent to ondansetron for prophylaxis of chemotherapy induced nausea vomiting. Cancer, 89:2301-2308
- Helmer, J.H., Briggs, L., *et al.* 1993. A single i.v dose of ondansetron 8 mg prior to induction of anaesthesia reduces post operative nausea and vomitting in gynaecological patients. *Can J anesth.*, 40(12):1155
- Lee, Y., Lai, H.Y., Lin, P.C. *et al.* 2004. A dose ranging study of dexmethasone for preventing patient controlled analgesia related nausea and vomitting: a comparision of droperidol with saline. *Anaes Analg.*, 98 (4) 1066-71
- Lerman, J. 1992. Surgical and patient factors involved in post operative nausea and vomiting *Br. J. Anaesth.*, 69;248-325.
- Liu, K., Hsu, C.C., Chia, Y.Y. 1999. The effect of dose of dexamethasone for anti emesis after major gynaecological surgery. Anesth analg, 89 (5):1316-8
- Moon, Y.E., Kim, E., Lee, Y. *et al.* 2012. Anti-emetic effect of ondansetron and palonosetron in thyroidectomy: a prospective randomised double blind study. BJA 2012; 108 (3) 417-22
- Myles, P.S. William, D.S. Hendrata, m. *et al.* 2000. Patients satisfaction after anaesthesia and surgery: result of a prospective survey of 10811 patients BJA, 84(1)6-10
- Park, S.K., cho, E.J. 2011. A randomised double blind trial of palonosetron compared with ondansetron in preventing PONV after gynaecological laparoscopic surgery; JIMR; 39:399-407
- Patricia, A. Kapur, 1991. Editorial: The big "little problem". Anesth Analg; 73:(243-245)
- Raftery, S., Sherry, E. 1992. Total intravenous anaesthesia with propofol and alfentanyl protects against post operative nausea and vomiting, *Can J Anaesthg*, 39.37-40
- Rojas, C., Stathis, M., Thomas, A.G. *et al.* 2008. Palonosetron exhibits unique molecular interaction with the 5ht3 receptor. Anesthj Analg, 107 (2) 469-78
- Schulman, S.T., Rocket, C.B., Glass, P.S. 1995. Long term propofol infusion for refractory post operative nausea. Anasth Analg, 80:636

\*\*\*\*\*