



RESEARCH ARTICLE

PATIENTS PREFERENCE ON DENTAL PROSTHESIS

*Nauma Hafeez, C. and Dr. Dhanraj

Department of Prosthodontics, Saveetha Dental College, Chennai, Tamilnadu, India

ARTICLE INFO

Article History:

Received 24th January, 2017
Received in revised form
10th February, 2017
Accepted 16th March, 2017
Published online 20th April, 2017

Key words:

Prosthodontic treatment,
Dental prosthesis,
Patients preference.

ABSTRACT

Aim: To analyse the perception of Patients towards the preferred kind of prosthesis in Chennai
Background: Implants are stand-alone replacement teeth that use a prosthetic root that is set directly into the jaw. This prosthetic root is extremely strong and will last for entire lifetime. Dental implants can be expensive and require a surgical procedure, which can make it an undesirable choice for some patients. All the above reasons make implants a secondary option to FPD and RPD despite their disadvantages to function. This survey analysis the qualities of a preferred prostheses in dentistry. The above data can help innovate a relevant prosthesis for modern India.

Materials and Methods: The sample size of this study is 100 patients. The patients undergoing prosthodontic treatment were asked to fill out the questionnaire containing 10 questions regarding their interest in this treatment, preferred prosthesis, factors influencing their choice were asked. The data were collected from the questions and analysed.

Results: From this study we found that FPDs were the most preferred due to affordable cost and time.
Conclusion: having understood the patients perception of dental procedures gives us an insight on improving dental care as a whole.

Copyright©2017, Nauma Hafeez, et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Citation: Nauma Hafeez, C. and Dr. Dhanraj. 2017. "Patients preference on dental prosthesis", *International Journal of Current Research*, 9, (04), 48763-48765.

INTRODUCTION

The only option for a patient with partial or complete edentulism is a visit to the prosthodontist. Edentulism is becoming increasingly widespread in developing countries (Peltzer *et al.*, 2014) despite the efforts preventive dentistry has put in over the last few years (Elam Emami *et al.*, 2013). Loss of teeth has a functional and psychological factor to it making the person extremely self conscious and have decreased confidence. India's elderly population (60 +) has increased from 5.6 percent in 1961 to 8.6 percent in 2011 according to the Ministry of Statistics and Program Implementation (Jagriti Gangopadhyay, 2016). This could mean an increase in the number of edentulism case in India which according to world health organisation is a physical impairment. As prosthodontist the main aim is then to treat patients efficiently at a good pace to keep up with the growing number of prosthodontic cases. This study therefore aims at evaluating patients perception in dental prosthesis in the hope of understanding treatment options from the patients point of view. Patient satisfaction plays a huge role in success of treatment (Awad and Feine, 1998) as opposed to traditional treatment planning (Feine *et al.*, 1998) Where patients have little influence on choice (Grembowski *et al.*, 1988; Kronström *et al.*, 2000). Over the years the field of prosthodontics has greatly advanced with

high success rates, it stands next to orthodontics and oral surgery in patient in flow and financial status. FPD and RPD are commonly used treatment option, implants has also increasingly become popular due to its low maintenance effort. An RPD is essentially a partial denture that can be removed by the wearer and usually lack of abutment teeth or financial disability is the motive for choice here. Fixed partial dentures (FPD) are "dental prosthesis that are lured, screwed or mechanically attached or otherwise securely retained to natural teeth, tooth roots and dental implant abutments. Implants are titanium screws that are placed in the jaw acting as the abutment on which a crown is placed. Implants prove more advantageous due to improved function, phonetics, and esthetics (Kaurani and Kaurani, 2010; Hekholm *et al.*, 2006) but its high cost and treatment. Time puts it off Inappropriate treatment of edentulism may lead to not only impaired buccal function and increased alveolar bone loss, but also increased patient self-consciousness (Brånemark *et al.*, 1977; Adell *et al.*, 1981) Therefore the choice of treatment is an important factor in the success of the treatment and having the patients directly involved in the planning process not only increases his satisfaction but also the duration of success as the patient feels more responsible and involved.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The sample size of this study was a 100 prosthodontic patients. In this study we used a questionnaire In order to find out


*Corresponding author: Nauma Hafeez, C.
Department of Prosthodontics, Saveetha Dental College, Chennai, Tamilnadu, India.

exactly what goes on in the patients minds during a typical prosthodontic treatment and use this information to our advantage and hopefully lead to the advancement of the dental field as a whole. The patients undergoing prosthodontic treatment were asked to fill out the survey containing questions regarding their interest in current treatment, preferred prosthesis and factors that prevent them from using dental prosthesis. Patients were randomly chosen and around equal ratio of male to female was maintained therefore no bias was made in choosing the volunteers. The data was collected and analysed.

RESULTS

The following main points were observed after data analysis. The results show that the dentist make 76% of patients source of information and other sources like books, ads and people make up only 24% together. FPD is most preferred at 64% followed by implants at 24% and lastly the RPD at 12%. The factors making FPD most preferred are time and money contributing to 46% and 30 % respectively to factors influencing choice. Other factors are comfort, ease and low invasiveness. Lastly patients when asked about their interest in this treatment responded with little enthusiasm, 65% of them stating they were referred to this treatment by their dentist and had no self interest what so ever.

majority of our population were labourers. Sedentary workers make up a minority of our population but have shown steady increases in number over the years. This has therefore gradually changed our lifestyle (Jinu Merlin Koshy *et al.*, 2013) to working round the clock to maintain a stable lifestyle especially in a developing country like India. This could be the major factor that has made people to prefer lesser treatment-time over treatment -cost. India is home to a third of its population living in extreme poverty where food and shelter is hardly available, health is therefore secondary to them in the constant state of survival and there for dental diseases is concentrated in these population. Proving health care to these underprivileged in large scale can greatly reduce time and cost (Lipscomb *et al.*, 1986) making it affordable for them. 74% say their main source of information are the dentists and thus dentist have a huge responsibility in influencing patients choice. This is not very evident, we would think that ads play an important role in providing knowledge (besides political and entertainment) of various treatment options available. 65% of the patients turn up to dentists forcefully (usually when extraction is the only option left), lacking enthusiasm and the right mindset that would set up an overall positive effect in the body. Therefore motivating patients by providing incentives and being positive and charismatic as dentist can help patients show up more often.



DISCUSSION

64% volunteers prefer FPD as the ideal prosthesis. Major factors influencing the choice of prosthesis is time and money which combines up to 76% of factors that influence choice. These results signify change in the thinking of our population and therefore the class of people living in India. A vast

Conclusion: This study examined patients point of view during a prosthodontic treatment. Having understood the patients concern and factors that help motivate them we can conclude in general that providing incentives by reducing cost and time of the dental procedure will greatly help get increased patient inflow and success rates and encourage both the patient and the dentist to a happier treatment.

REFERENCES

- Adell R, Lekholm U, Rockler B, Branemark PI 1981. A 15-year study of osseointegrated implants in the treatment of the edentulous jaw. *Int J Oral Surg.*, 10, 387-416.
- Awad MA. and Feine JS 1998. Measuring patient satisfaction with mandibular prostheses. *Community Dent Oral Epidemiol.*, 26, 400-405.
- Brånemark PI, HanssonBO, Adell R, Breine U, Lindström J, Hallén O, Ohman A. 1977. Osseointegrated implants in the treatment of the edentulous jaw. Experience from a 10-year period. *Scand J PlastReconstrSurg.*, Suppl 16, 1-132.
- Elam Emami, Raphael Freitas de Souza and Jouelyne S.feve. 2013. The impact of edentulism on patient and general health. *International Journal of Dentistry*, 498305
- Feine JS, Awad MA. and Lund JP 1998. The impact of patient preference on the design and interpretation of clinical trials. *Community Dent Oral Epidemiol.*, 26, 70-74.
- Grembowski D, Milgrom P. and Fiset L. 1988. Factors influencing dental decision making. *J Publ Health Dent*, 48:159-67.
- Hekholm U. Grondhal K. and Jemt T. 2006. Outcome of oral implant treatment in partially edentulous jaws followed 20 years in clinical function. *Clin Implant Dent Relat Res.*, 8:178-186.
- Jagriti Gangopadhyay. The trials of indiasaging population. 4 October 2016
- Jinu Merlin Koshy *et al.* 2013. Sedentary Work-A Risk Factor for Diabetes with a Positive Family History. *International Journal of Science and Research*, 6.14
- Kaurani P. and Kaurani M. 2010. Awareness of dental implants as a treatment modality amongst people residing in Jaipur. *J ClinDiagnres*, 4:3622-3626.
- Kronström M, Palmqvist S, Söderfeldt B, Carlsson G. 2000. Dentist-related factors influencing the amount of prosthodontic treatment provided. *Community Dent Oral Epidemiol.*, 28:185-94.
- Lipscomb, J. *et al.* 1986. Are larger dental practices more efficient? An analysis of dental services production. *Health Service Research*, Dec; 21(5): 635-661.
- Peltzer K, Hewlett S, Yawson AE, Moynihan P, Preet R, Wu F, Guo G, Arokiasamy P, Snodgrass SJ, Chatterji S, Engelstad MW, Kowal P. 2014. Prevalence of loss of all teeth (edentulism) and associated factors in older adults in China, Ghana, India, Mexico, Russia and South Africa. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*, 11:11308-24.
