

Available online at http://www.journalcra.com

International Journal of Current Research Vol. 9, Issue, 12, pp.62740-62743, December, 2017 INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF CURRENT RESEARCH

RESEARCH ARTICLE

EVALUATION OF EFFICACY OF DISINFECTING AGENTS FOR GUTTA PERCHA CONES: HERBAL VERSES CHEMICAL –AN IN VITRO STUDY

Ramesh Chandra, *Shailja Singh, Shazia Siddqui and Anubha Saxena

Career Post Graduate Institute of Dental Sciences and Hospital, Lucknow, U.P., India

ARTICLE INFO	ABSTRACT	
<i>Article History:</i> Received 11 th September, 2017 Received in revised form 16 th October, 2017 Accepted 20 th November, 2017 Published online 27 th December, 2017	The major goals of root canal therapy are the complete elimination of microorganisms from the root canal system and the prevention of subsequent reinfection. In clinical practice the dentist is occasionally come across with a problem of infection that occurs after obturation of root canal space. Guttapercha is the most common obturating material. Unlike most instruments used in endodontic treatment, guttapercha cones cannot be sterilized by conventional autoclaving or in a hot-air oven, therefore, they require rapid decontamination before use to maintain the aseptic condition required for	
Key words:	 successful endodontic therapy. Various chemical agents have been proposed as GP cones disinfectant, including sodium hypochlorite, glutaraldehyde, alcohol, iodine compounds and hydrogen peroxide. 	
GuttaPercha Decontamination, Amla, Aleo Vera, Neem.	The objective of this study is to evaluate the effecticacy of a herbal alternative, <i>Aloe vera</i> and Amla for rapid decontamination of guttapercha cones.	

Copyright © 2017, *Ramesh Chandra et al.* This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Citation: Ramesh Chandra, Shailja Singh, Shazia Siddqui and Anubha Saxena, 2017. "Evaluation of efficacy of disinfecting agents for gutta percha cones: Herbal verses chemical – An in vitro study", *International Journal of Current Research*, 9, (12), 62740-62743.

INTRODUCTION

A successful root canal therapy needs a considerable effort for removal of microorganisms from root canal system and a proper aseptic condition while performing the treatment to prevent any kind of external cross contamination from the instruments or filling material. Root canal obturation is the final and one of the most critical step in root canal therapy which determines the future success of the treatment. Gutta percha cones are the most widely used obturating material since many a years. Gutta-percha points are usually sterilized during the manufacturing process but once their packages are open they can be contaminated while handling them with gloves in hand or coming in contact with microorganisms of oral mucosa (Linke and Chohayeb, 1983; Peciuliene et al., 2001). They canalso be contaminated by aerosols and different physical sourcesduring the storage process. Gutta-percha cones cannot be sterilized by the conventional process because of their thermoplastic properties in which moist or dry heat is used because this maycause alteration to the gutta-percha structure. Therefore, rapid chairside disinfection is needed (Frank and Pelleu, 1983; Senia et al., 1975). However, it is suggested that as gutta percha is heat labile, hencechemical disinfectants like Ethyl alcohol, Paraformaldehyde, Formocresol Gluteraldehyde, Chlorhexidine and Sodium Hypochlorite are routinely used, which usuallytake 3-25 minutes for disinfection. Amongst all the various methods of rapid chair sidedisinfection, Sodium

Hypochlorite 5.25% is mosteffective in 1 minute (Retamozo et al., 2010; Senia et al., 1975). The appropriate disinfecting agent should be effective in killing different bacterial species. The objective of this study is to evaluate the effectiveness of a herbal alternative, Aloe vera, Neem and Amla for rapid decontamination of guttapercha cones. Aloe barbadensis Mill has strong Antibacterial, Antifungal and Antiviral properties (Ramasubramanian et al., 2010; Arunkumar and Muthuselvam, 2009). The antimicrobial effects have been attributed to its natural anthraquinones component (Prakash P Athiban et al., 2012). Neem has an established antibacterial, antifungal and antiviral effect (Fariha Natasha et al., 2015). Neem have proven anti-inflammatory, antiseptic, astringent and analgesic properties (Sheila et al.,). So, it can be very effective in decontaminating gutta percha cones. Amla has antibacterial and astringent properties that help to prevent infection which makes it a desired disinfecting agent for gutta-percha cones disinfection (Singh et al., 2011). Therefore the objective of the present study was to evaluate the antimicrobial properties of threecommonly used chemical agents namely 5% sodium hypochlorite, 2% chlorhexidine and 2% gluteraldehyde and three herbal agents namely Amla, Aloe vera and Neem, against the most commonly isolated micro-organism from the root canal i.e. E. faecalis.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

In the present study, sixty four new Gutta-percha (Dentsply Maillefer, USA) cones wererandomly chosen. These were broadly divided into 2 major groups of 32 gutta percha cones.

^{*}Corresponding author: Shailja Singh,

Career Post Graduate Institute of Dental Sciences and Hospital, Lucknow, U.P., India.

The Group I having 32 gutta percha were not contaminated or infected by E. Fecalis whereas the Group II of 32 gutta percha cones were contaminated by *E.fecalis* for 1 minute.

GROUP I (32 Gutta Percha cones which were not contaminated by *E.Faecalis*)

- GROUP I(A)- Amla
- GROUP I(B)- Aloe vera
- GROUP I(C)- Neem
- GROUP I(D)- Sodium Hypochlorite
- GROUP I(E)- Chlorhexidine
- GROUP I(F)- Gluteraldehyde
- GROUP I(G)- Control
- GROUP I(H)- Saline

GROUP II (Gutta Percha cones which were contaminated by *E.Faecalis*)

- GROUP II(A)- Amla
- GROUP II(B)- Aloe vera
- GROUPI I(C)- Neem
- GROUP II(D)- Sodium Hypochlorite
- GROUP II(E)- Chlorhexidine
- GROUP II(F)- Gluteraldehyde
- GROUP II(G)- Control
- GROUP II(H)- Saline

These 32 gutta percha were further subdivided into 8 subgroups comprising of 4 gutta percha each. In Group I, 8 test tubes were taken in which brain heart infusion broth was kept. For each test tube, 4 gutta percha were taken out from the sterile closed pack followed by decontamination by amla, aleovera, neem, 3% sodium hypochlorite, 2% chlorhexidine, 2% gluteraldehyde and saline respectively for 1 minute and then placed into the test tube containing brain heart infusion broth. Whereas in the control group, 4 gutta percha were directly taken out of pack and placed inside one of the test tube containing broth and the last one is not decontaminated by any of the agents. In Group II, remaining 32 gutta percha cones were further subdivided into 8 subgroups. For each test tube, 4 gutta percha were taken out from the sterile pack and firstly contaminated with E.fecalis for 1 minute, are taken and decontaminated by amla, aleovera, neem, 3% sodium hypochlorite, 2% chlorhexidine, 2% gluteraldehyde and saline respectively for 1 minute and then placed into the test tube containing brain heart infusion broth. In the control group, 4 contaminated guttapercha were placed inside one of the test tube containing broth without decontaminating. All these guttapercha cones placed inside the test tube are then incubated at 37^oC for 24 hours. After 24 hrs, these test tubes are taken out and the solution is checked by presence or absence of turbidity indicates proper disinfection.

RESULTS

The decontaminating efficacy was assessed by the occurrence of turbidity in the brain heart infusion broth. The GP cones which were not decontaminated and directly placed in the broth developed turbidity. The cones which were not contaminated by *E. Faecalis* and placed in the broth, in that only Control group and saline developed turbidity (Fig.1) whereas those disinfected with the chemical agents and herbal agents remained free of turbidity (Fig.2). When the guttapercha

cones were contaminated with *E.Faecalis*, in those; control group, saline, neem, *Aloe vera* developed turbidity whereas



Fig.1. After 24 hours of incubation turbidity is checked in the samples not contaminated with *E. faecalis*

 Table 1. After 24 hours of incubation turbidity is checked in the samples not contaminated with *E faecalis*

Group1a - amla-Group1b - Aloe vera-Group1c - neem-Group1d - sodium hypochlorite-Group1e - chlorhexidine-	Groups	Presence or absence of turbidity
Group1b - Aloe vera - Group1c - neem - Group1d - sodium hypochlorite - Group1e - chlorhexidine -	Group1a - amla	-
Group l c - neem - Group l d – sodium hypochlorite - Group l e - chlorhexidine -	Group1b – Aloe vera	-
Group1d – sodium hypochlorite - Group1e - chlorhexidine -	Group1c - neem	-
Group1e - chlorhexidine -	Group1d – sodium hypochlorite	-
1	Group1e - chlorhexidine	-
Group1f - glutaraldehyde -	Group1f - glutaraldehyde	-
Group1g - saline +	Group1g - saline	+
Group1h - control +	Group1h - control	+

+ Indicates presence of turbidity

- Indicates absence of turbidity



Fig.2. A fter 24 hours of incubation turbidity is checked in the samples contaminated with *E.faecalis*

 Table 2. After 24 hours of incubation turbidity is checked in the samples contaminated with *E.faecalis*

Groups	Presence or absence of turbidity
Group2a - amla	-
Group2b – Aloe vera	+
Group2c - neem	+
Group2d – sodium hypochlorite	-
Group2e - chlorhexidine	-
Group2f - glutaraldehyde	-
Group2g - saline	+
Group2h - control	+

+ Indicates presence of turbidity

- indicates absence of turbidity

amla, chlorhexidine, glutaraldehyde and sodium hypochlorite were free from turbidity. The result of the study showed that Chlorhexidine was effective in disinfection of gutta-percha cones for 1 minute followed by glutaraldehyde and sodium hypochlorite. Whereas Amla was the most effective herbal extract in disinfectingguttapercha followed by *Aloe vera* and neem.

DISCUSSION

The disinfection of gutta percha cones prior to its use as obturating material is important for a successful endodontic treatment. Although gutta-percha cones are produced under aseptic conditions, but they may get contaminated by aerosols, improper storage and physical handling.Guttaperchacones can be easily contaminated by the different microorganisms by physical contact or aerosol and needs disinfection, despite the fact that some authors have said that there is no need to use disinfectant solutions on cones. Cones contaminated with welldefined microbial populations were sterilized in different antimicrobial solutions for comparison. The thermoplastic characteristic of gutta-percha cones prohibits sterilization by standard autoclave, which may cause deformation of cones. Therefore, the cones were sterilized with ethylene oxide. Several other chemical solutions have been proposed for a rapid decontamination of gutta-percha cones. The time window to apply these solutions for disinfection ranges from a few seconds to several minutes. Sodium hypochlorite has antibacterial and sporicidal activities related to the liberation of active chlorine (Bloomfield and Miler, 1979; Dychdala, 1991). Sodium hypochlorite is being used in several different concentrations for gutta percha disinfection. Gomes et al. (2005) found that the time required for sodium hypochlorite to eliminate the microorganisms was inversely proportional to its concentration. The sodium hypochlorite solution at 5.25%, for example, eliminated C. albicans and E. faecalis after 45 seconds of contact, while at 2.5%, ten minutes of contact was needed to eliminate these microorganisms. Therefore NaOCl can be used effectively for the disinfection of gutta-percha cones. Several studies recommend the use of NaOCl for disinfecting GP cones (Cardoso et al., 1999; Motta et al., 2001). However, at very high concentrations (5.25%), NaOCl produces a large quantity of chloride crystals on the GP cone surface and might causes the deterioration and loss of elasticity of GP points, which could impede the obturation and impair the hermetic seal (Valois et al., 2005). But lower concentrations will take more time to inhibit bacterial growth than higher concentrations (Stepanovic et al., 2003). Chlorhexidine is a cationic bisbiguanide with broad antibacterial activity. The CHX molecule reacts with negatively charged groups on the bacterial cell surface, causing an irreversible loss of cytoplasmic constituents, membrane damage, and enzyme inhibition. Gomes et al, who stated that 2% Chlorhexidine liquid took less than 30 seconds to completely eliminate E. faecalis from contaminated GP cones. A 2% CHX solution was found effective at 15 seconds to 2 hours in direct contact with infected gutta-percha cones (Murray et al., 1994). Glutaraldehyde has been effectively used as chemosterilizer or high-level disinfectant (Borick, 1968). Aqueous 2% glutaraldehyde solutions display a broad spectrum of activity and rapid destruction rate against the majority of microorganisms. They are capable of killing vegetative bacteria in less than 1 min of exposure. Spores may be destroyed in 3 h or less (Lawrence et al., 2009).

Recently herbal alternatives are also being explored as disinfectants for gutta percha cones. In this study, Amla, Aloe vera and Neemhave been used as a herbal alternative for guttapercha cones disifection. Aloe vera has been used from past many years for the treatment of a multiple ailments ranging from peptic ulcers to its use in cosmetics. It has a wellestablished antimicrobial activity ascribed to compounds that are now specifically identified as p-coumaric acid, ascorbic acid, pyrocatecholand cinnamic acid (Athiban et al., 2012). Another major advantage is that Aloe vera gel has been found to be effective in decontaminating GP cones within one minute. Rajshekharan et al. concluded that the leaf extracts of Neem exhibited significant antibacterial activity against all the test microorganisms. However, the inhibitory activities of the leaf extracts were both organism- and solvent-dependent. The leaf extracts limited the growth of both Gram-positive and Gramnegative bacterial species (Rajasekaran et al., 2008). It has antiadherence activity by altering bacterial adhesion and has been helpful in the prevention of colonization by microorganisms inside the root canals (Botelho et al., 2008). For the evaluation of the efficacy of these disinfecting solutions, 32 Gutta Percha cones were taken (25 No. ISO standardized) 4 Gutta Percha cones were placed inside the freshly prepared brain heart infusion broth and incubated for 24 hours. Then four new gutta percha cones were decontaminated for 1 minute with the different disinfecting solutions. These cones were incubated for 24 hours in brain heart infusion broth. 32gutta Percha cones were submerged in test organism (E.Faecalis) for ten minute these cones were then kept in different herbal and chemical agents for 1 minute and furtherplaced inside the brain heart infusion broth and incubated at 37°C for 24 hours. As a control, Gutta Percha cones immersed in test organism were directly incubated in brain heart infusion broth. The brothwere then checked for turbidity in both the cases. The result of the study showed that Chemical agents were effective in disinfection of gutta-percha cones for 1 minute as the samples were free from turbidity for 1 minute. Whereas Amla was the most effective herbal extract in disinfecting gutta percha cones.

Conclusion

Within the limits of this study, it can be concluded that although Chemical Agents (Chlorhexidine and Glutaraldehyde, sodium hypochlorite) are efficient chemical disinfectants but Herbal agents also hold a promising future as a medium for disinfection, in which amla gave the best results within a short duration of time.

REFERENCES

- Arunkumar, S. and M. Muthuselvam, 2009. Analysis of phytochemical constituents and antimicrobial activities of *Aloe vera* L. against clinical pathogens. *World J Agric Sci.*, 5(5): 572-6.
- Athiban PP, Borthakur BJ, Ganesan S. and Swathika B. 2012. Evaluation of antimicrobial efficacy of *Aloe vera* and its effectiveness in decontaminating Gutta-percha cones. *J Conserv Dent.*, 15:246–8.
- Bloomfield SF. and Miler GA. 1979. The antibacterial properties of sodium dichloroisocyanurate and sodium hypochlorite formulations. *J ApplBacteriol.*, 46:65-73.
- Borick, PM. 1968. Chemical sterilizers (chemosterilizers). *AdvApplMicrobiol.*, 1029 1-3 12.

- Botelho M, Santos A. *et al.* 2008. Efficacy of mouthrinse based on neem in treatment of patient with chronic gingivitis. *J Med Plants Res.*, 2: 341-6.
- Cardoso CL, Kotaka CR, Redmerski R, Guilhermetti M. and Queiroz AF. 1999. "Rapid descontamination of guttapercha cones with sodium hypochlorite." *J Endod.*, 25; 498–501.
- Da Motta PG, de Figueiredo CBO, Maltos SMM. *et al.* 2001. Efficacy of chemical sterilization and storage conditions of gutta-percha cones. *IntEndod J.*, 34:435-9.
- Dychdala GR. 1991. Chlorine and chlorine compounds. In: Block SS, editor. Disinfection, sterilization, and preservation. 4th ed. Philadelphia: Lea &Febiger; p. 133-5.
- Fariha Natasha, KuheliDutta and AkmMoniruzzamanMollah, 2015. Antimicrobial and Decontamination efficacy of Neem, *Aloe vera* and Neem + *Aloe vera* in Gutta Percha cones Using Escherichia Coli and Staphylococcus Aureus as Contaminants. *Asian J of Micro, Bio and EnviSci.*, 17:(4):915-917.
- Frank RJ. and Pelleu GB. 1983. Gluraraldehyde decontamination of gutta percha cones. *J Endod.*, 9:368-70.
- Gomes BPFA, Vianna ME, Matsumoto CU, Rossi VPS, Zaia AA, Ferraz CCR. *et al.* 2005. Disinfection of gutta-percha cones with chlorhexidine and sodium hypochlorite. *Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral RadiolEndod.*, 100(4):512-7.
- Lawrence R, Tripathi P. and Jayakumar, E. 2009. Isolation Purification and Evaluation of antibacterial agents from *Aloe vera*. *Braz J Microbiol.*, 40:906–15.
- Linke HA. and Chohayeb AA. 1983. Effective surface sterilization of gutta-perchapoints. Oral SurgOral Med Oral Pathol., 55:73-7.
- Motta PG, Figueiredo CBO, Maltos SMM *et al.* 2001. "Efficacy of chemical sterilization and storage conditions of gutta percha cones." *IntEndod J.*, 34:435–9.
- Murray PR, Kobayashi GS, Pfaller MA. and Rosenthal KS. 1994. Medical microbiology. 2nd ed. St Louis: Mosby.
- Peciuliene V, Reynaud AH, Balciuniene I. and Haapasalo M. 2001. Isolation of yeasts and enteric bacteria in root-filled teeth with chronic apicalperiodontitis. *IntEndod J.*, 34:429-34.

- Prakash P. Athiban *et al.* 2012. Evaluation of antimicrobial efficacy of *Aloe vera* and its effectiveness in decontaminating gutta percha cones J cons. *Dent.*, 15(3): 246-248.
- Rajasekaran C, Meignanam E, Vijayakumar V, Kalaivani T, Ramya S, Premkumar N. *et al.* 2008. Investigations on antibacterial activity of leaf extracts of Azadirachtaindica A. Juss (Meliaceae): A traditional medicinal plant of India. Ethnobot Leaflets, 12:1213-7.
- Ramasubramanian TS, Sivakumar VT. and Thirumalai AV, 2010. Antimicrobial activity of *Aloe vera* (L) Burm. f. against pathogenic microorganisms. *JBioSciRes.*, 4:251-8.
- Retamozo B, Shabahang S, Johnson N, Aprecio RM. and Torabinejad, M. 2010. Minimum contact time and concentration of sodium hypochlorite required to eliminate *Enterococcus faecalis. J Endod.*, 36:5203.
- Senia ES, Macarro RV, Mitchell JL, Lewis AG. and Thomas L. 1975. Rapid sterilization of gutta-percha cones with 5.25% sodium hypochlorite. *J Endod.*, 1:136-40.
- Senia ES, Marraro RV, Mitchell JL, Lewis AG. and Thomas L. 1975. Rapid sterilization of gutta-percha cones with 5.25% sodium hypochlorite. *J Endod.*, 1:13640.
- Sheila R.B. Polaquini, Terezinha I.E. Svidzinski, Carlos Kemmelmeier and Andre' Gasparetto. Effect of aqueous extract from Neem (Azadirachtaindica A. Juss) on hydrophobicity, biofilm formation and adhesion in composite resin by Candida albicans. Arch of Oral Bio., 51: 482—490.
- Singh E, Sharma S, Pareek A, Dwivedi J, Yadav S. and Sharma S. 2011. Phytochemistry, traditional uses and cancer chemopreventive activity of Amla (*Phyllanthusemblica*): The Sustainer. Journal of Applied Pharmaceutical Science, 2(1):176–83.
- Stepanovic S, Antic N, Dakic I. and Svabic-Vlahovic M. 2003. "In vitro antimicrobial activity of propolis and synergism between propolis and antimicrobial drugs." *Microbiological Research*, 158.4: 353-7.
- Valois CRA, Silva LP. and Azevedo RB. 2005. "Effects of 2% Chlorhexidine and 5.25% sodium hypochlorite on guttapercha cones studied by atomic force microscopy." *IntEndod J.*, 38:425–9.
