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ABSTRACT

Arundhati Roy, a Booker Prize winner for her first novel The God of Small Things, has occupied a place among the contemporary literary prominent figures like Salman Rushdie, Bhatti Mukherjee, V.S.Naipaul, Amitav Ghosh, Vikram Seth and Rohinton Mistry. Roy as a social activist and reformer depicts our degenerated present – a reflection of our political corruption, Dalit subjugation and complexity of women’s situation, hollow promises and fractured vision. Roy has profound social concerns and she depicts this crude reality with extraordinary linguistic inventiveness. This paper examines Roy as a novelist who analyses the most problematic contemporary issues of Indian middle class life sex, exploitation, violence, caste-politics, power-politics, hollow conventions and rituals. She shows how the patriarchal system of marriage unleashes a reign of terror, misery and violence palpable in Pappachi’s wrath beating Mammachi with a vase. Mammachi, Baby Kochamma, Ammu are oppressed by patriarchy. There is Chacko, a self-proclaimed Marxist, who flits with the women working in the factory. Estha and Rahel are unwelcomed guests at Ayemenem. Rahel grows up to be a disillusioned adult.

INTRODUCTION

The God of Small Things is a complex novel with myriad characters touching political, social, cultural and personal issues. The novel deals with the inhuman effects of the villainous factors of gender, caste and politics. Conditions of women as a subaltern in society has always been closely analysed in Indian literature. Mahashweta Devi, Mukhtar Mai, Amrita Pritam, Anita Desai are the women writers who deal with feminist themes. Arundhati Roy deals not only with the oppression of women but also with the sexual and social exploitation of the children prevalent at various levels. She succeeds in giving a voice to the concern of subalterns through her language inventiveness under the fictional backdrop of Ayemenem changing from colonial antecedents to the modern times. The story of novel moves around Ammu, her son Estha and daughter, Rahel. Mammachi, Ammu, Rahel and Baby Kochamma represents the three generations of women who are victims of patriarchal society. Ammu, a prostitute who loves “…….not wisely but too well”, falls a victim to the patriarchal society. The first generation of women, Mammachi and baby Kochamma, are content with their subordinate existence and silently approve of patriarchy. They shows how the patriarchal system of marriage unleashes a reign of terror, misery and violence upon Mammachi and Ammu.
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of a touchable with an untouchable subaltern. The obscene and lustful behavior of inspector terrifies Rahel. She feels unprotected and utterly humiliated like her mother. The twins got love and affection from one person who is now killed. Ammu dies. Rahel and Estha are totally disillusioned without love, shelter and protection. Even church refuses to bury Ammu because of her breaking the norms laid down by a caste-centred, repressive patriarchal society. Ammu had observed rightly “Thanks to our wonderful male-chauvinistic society”. (p.57).

The hostile and extremely desensitized environment of Ayemenem traumatized her childhood as well as that of her children. Baby kochamma calls the twins fatherless waifs”. Worse still, they were Half-Hindu Hybrids whom no self-respecting Syrian Christian would ever marry.” (45) She takes sadistic pleasure in their humiliation. She does not tolerate their having comfort in each other’s company. She shatters the twins psychologically by emotionally blackmailing them. She is also instrumental in parting of the twins at the age of eight. Chacko also rebukes them that they have no right to be there. Even family servant rebukes them. “Tell your mother to take you to your father’s house. There you can break as many beds as you like. These aren’t your beds. This isn’t your house.”(p.83). ‘The two egg twins’ have unbounded love and affection for each other. At an early age of seven, Estha feels an outcast at Ayemenem house. Arundhati Roy lays bare a child’s vision of the adult world in the novel as she herself was an “unprotected child in some ways”. Her technical inventiveness lends a unique charm to the novel. She explains the psychological torture of Estha in a very vivid way. Then comes the most shameful incident of child abuse which plays havoc for the poor child. Estha gets completely bewildered after the experience with the Orangedrink Lemondrink Man at Abhilash Talkies.

The terrible experience keeps haunting the boy like a nightmare. He feels unprotected “the Orangedrink Lemondrink Man could walk in any minute. Catch a Cochin-kottayam bus and be there” (p.194). The ugly face of humanity imprints a long lasting influence on his mind. He remains afraid that “the Orangedrink Lemondrink Man could just walk in through the gauze door” (197) of the pickle factory. His confrontation with the harsh realities of life distorts Estha’s sensitive and innocent vision towards life. His bitter experiences haunt him to have these two thoughts: “(a) Anything can happen to anyone, And (b) It’s best to be prepared” (p.194). He makes himself fully prepare to face the worst if that happens. We see later that all his apprehensions become true. He starts losing his sense of belongingness. He loves his mother and sister only. But his mother’s stern words hurt his tender mind and compel him to take extreme steps. She extorts: “I should have dumped you in an orphanage the day you were born. You’re the mile stones round my neck just go away why can’t you just go away and leave me alone” (p.253)”. This results in Estha’s flight from home. Estha and Rahel eventually decide to live in the history house, an old abandoned building.

The twins want their mother to apologize. “What if Ammu finds us and begs us to come back”, asks Rahel. “Then we will. But only if she begs ”,replies Estha (p.292). Further his bewilderment finds an expression in his rubbing out his surname from his exercise book. “ on the front page of book Estha had rubbed out his surname with spit, and taken half the paper with it. Over the whole mass he had written in pencil, Unknown. Esthappen Unknown” (p.156). This incident indicates his inner self-erasing fury which leads to his self-effacement and self-fragmentation. He is separated from Rahel, his voice. The inseparable twins are separated for 23 years. Estha loses the power to express. Roy talks about the matter of bringing up children which throws light on the early life of the novelist. Ms. Roy is bold enough to show things in realistic way. She frankly talks about the issues which are socio-cultural taboos in south Indian rural milieu.

Conclusion
Thus Arundhati Roy in the line of De and Deshpande unhesitatingly delineates the women characters against the patriarchal structures which is one of insensitivity and control. Like the seekers, in quest of autonomy and freedom, the different women characters in God of Small Things, are placed at different levels in their march towards emancipation. At one end is Mammachi who is passive and at the other extreme is Ammu and Rahel who operate from their conscious levels. They take the bold step to transgress the defined boundaries of the traditional societies. Roy’s women are the seekers longing for freedom and allocating spaces for them. In their struggle lie their victory and self-pride. Like the ‘new women’ they are in search of their self-identity and liberation.
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