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ABSTRACT

Aim: The aim of this investigation was to conduct a survey among private dental practitioners in Srinagar city concerning their knowledge, attitude and practice of pulp therapy in primary teeth.

Methodology: This is a questionnaire based study formulated to determine the knowledge, attitude and practice of general dentists towards endodontic therapy in primary teeth. A total of 100 general dental practitioners were selected from various private dental clinics in Srinagar city and were questioned using a 10 item questionnaire. After explaining the purpose of the study questionnaire was distributed among them and results were obtained. Statistical analysis was done using IBM SPSS software version 11.0 and results were obtained.

Results: In our study 35% prescribed medication only, as the first line of treatment. Reason given by the general dentists for performing endodontic treatment in primary teeth was Pain elimination (65%). When asked about the materials used for pulp fixation during a pulpotomy procedure, 48% of the respondents preferred Buckley’s formocresol and 60% of them do not use apex locator for working length determination. The most common material used for obturation of deciduous teeth was Zinc oxide eugenol 53% due to the availability of the same material (46%).

54% of the dentists used Hand held reamers as obturation technique of deciduous canals, 23% used Slow-speed lentulospirals and the final restoration preferred for endodontically treated primary tooth was GIC(35%). When asked about the barriers faced by the general dentists in treating children, majority 32% reported difficulty in managing children during treatment, 27% reported time consumption and financial loss as a major barrier in treating children. Majority (87%) of the general dentists wanted to have additional information regarding pulp therapy in deciduous teeth.

Conclusion: Limited pediatric dental education and experience in treating children may contribute to negligence towards child’s teeth. Dentists need to update themselves regarding various treatment modalities in primary teeth.

INTRODUCTION

The pulps of primary teeth are proportionately larger and correspondingly closer to the outer surface than permanent teeth. Therefore, dental caries in primary teeth can have a more rapid penetration (John I Ingle Endodontics). Root canal therapy in deciduous teeth was advocated as early as 1932 as a method for retaining those primary teeth which would otherwise be lost (Martazavi, 2004). The primary objective of pulp therapy is to maintain the integrity and health of the teeth and their supporting tissues. It is a treatment objective to maintain the vitality of the pulp of a tooth affected by caries, traumatic injury, or other causes (Guidelines on pulp therapy for primary and immature permanent teeth, 2009).

The approach regarding endodontic treatments on deciduous teeth are developed from clinical and histological studies. Interpretation must be focussed on determining whether the primary tooth pulp is normal, reversibly inflamed, irreversibly inflamed or necrotic. If it is determined to be vital or reversibly inflamed, the vital pulp therapy techniques of pulpotomy or indirect pulp treatment are indicated. If the pulp is determined to be irreversibly inflamed or necrotic, either a pulpotomy or extraction would be appropriate (Vidya et al., 2015). The clinical management of a primary or permanent tooth with pulp or periapical disease is quite different mainly on the differences between the two types of teeth, with primary tooth longevity, coronal structural integrity, root canal morphology, and root anatomy (Hibbard and Ireland,1957) being important features to be taken into account when planning the treatment (Hedge, 2011). Marsh and Largent (Marsh, 1967) indicated that the goal of the pulpectomy procedure in primary teeth should be to eliminate the bacteria and the contaminated pulp tissue...
from the canal. In the primary teeth, more emphasis is placed on chemical means in conjunction with limited mechanical debridement to disinfect and remove necrotic pulp remnants from inaccessible canals rather than conventional shaping of the canals. Obturating materials with antibacterial and resorbable properties such as ZOE and iodoform containing pastes have been recommended as canal obturants. Non-resorbable materials such as gutta percha and silver points are contraindicated as they will not enhance the primary root physiologic resorptive process. Studies have shown that the general dentists and pedodontists differ in their treatment recommendations (Schorer-Jensma, 2010; Kuin, 2012). Compared with general dentists, pediatric dentists have shown to have a more extensive treatment approach when treating primary teeth (Hanes et al., 1991). Many a times the treatment done remains incomplete either due to the lack of knowledge of the general dentist or due to non-cooperation on the part of the child. This has given rise to increased number of unnecessary extractions of deciduous teeth. It is therefore important that general dental practitioners familiarize themselves with the differences in pulpal treatment and medicaments to be used in primary teeth.

**METHODOLOGY**

This is a questionnaire based study formulated to determine the knowledge, attitude and practice of general dentists towards endodontic therapy in primary teeth. Pilot study was done among 10 general dentists to check the appropriateness of the questionnaire. The Modified Tagoo et al. (2012) questionnaire was used after prior permission. A total of 100 general dental practitioners were selected from various private dental clinics in Srinagar city and were questioned using a 10 item questionnaire. After explaining the purpose of the study questionnaire was distributed among them. And were requested to complete it and submit the next day. Statistical analysis was done using IBM SPSS software version 11.0 and results were obtained.

**RESULTS**

In Our Study 22% of the general dentists recommended pulpotomy as the first line of treatment, 26% recommended pulpectomy, 15% referred such cases to Pedodontists, 2% respondents recommended extraction as the first line of treatment, while the majority, 35% prescribed medication only (Table 1). Reason given by the general dentists for performing endodontic treatment in primary teeth was Pain elimination (63%), Space management, (23%) and to Prevent progress of the disease (14%) (Table 2). When asked about the materials used for pulp fixation during a pulpotomy procedure, 48% of the respondents preffered Buckley’s formocresol, 28% preffered Gluteraldehyde, 20% used Ferric sulfate and only 4% chose Sodium hypochlorite. Majority (60%) of the participants do not use apex locator for working length determination (Table 3). The most common material used for obturation of deciduous teeth was Zinc oxide eugenol 53%, followed by Calcium hydroxide – iodoform based pastes 31%. Reason given for selecting obturating material after pulpectomy was, availability of the same material (46%), ease of use 39% and other reasons 15% (Table 4). 54% of the dentists used Hand held reamers as obturation technique of deciduous canals, 23% used Slow-speed lentulospirals, 15% used Obturation paste syringes and 8% used other techniques like applying pressure with cotton pellets.
The final restoration preferred for endodontically treated primary tooth was GIC (35%), Silver amalgam (15%), Stainless steel crown 25% and Composites 25%. (Table 5) When asked about the barriers faced by the general dentists in treating children, majority 32% reported difficulty in managing children during treatment, 27% reported time consumption and financial loss as a major barrier in treating children whereas 17% reported lack of specialized training in pediatric dentistry and 2% reported lack of interest. Majority (87%) of the general dentists wanted to have additional information regarding pulp therapy in deciduous teeth (Table 6).

**DISCUSSION**

Whenever a young patient comes to a dentist with one or more extensively carious primary teeth, it is important to try to provisionally diagnose the likely pulpal status of the tooth concerned, in order to determine the most appropriate treatment. Any treatment plan should be based on a thorough history, examination and appropriate investigations (Woo, 2005). In our study 35% of the participants prescribed medication only and not selecting the right treatment plan. The reason could be the barriers faced by them like difficulty in treating the children. 22% recommended pulpotomy as the first line of treatment, 26% recommended pulpectomy, 15% referred such cases to Pedodontists. This shows that less proportion of the practitioners are aware of the treatment modalities in primary teeth probably due to the reason that treating children is more time consuming and needs patience and hence some of them refer children to pedodontists. 2% respondents recommended extraction as the first line of treatment. Our results were not in agreement with study by Togoo et al. (2012). In a study by Woo D et al many general dentists failed to recognize the presence or significance of sinus tracts (Woo, 2005).

Reason given by the general dentists in our study for performing endodontic treatment in primary teeth was Pain elimination (63%), Space management, (23%) and to Prevent progress of the disease (14%). Majority of the subjects perform endodontic treatment only for elimination of pain. Early loss of the primary tooth may lead to multiple problems such as loss of space for the permanent to erupt, crowding, ectopic eruption, cross bite formation etc (Keskis-Nisula et al., 2008). Inexperience of the dentists as shown by this study, regarding importance of primary teeth may result in a failure to identify the consequence of some conditions. The era of vital-pulp therapy has been greatly enhanced with the introduction of various pulp capping materials. A wide range of materials and techniques, such as formocresol (Alaçam et al., 2009), glutaraldehyde (Adlakha, 2009), ferric sulfate (Huth et al., 2012), zinc oxide–eugenol (Gonzalez, 2016), Ledermix (Seow, 1993), calcium hydroxide (Doyle, 1962), mineral trioxide aggregate (Godhi, 2011), growth factors (Kalaskar, 2004), electrosurgery (Gisoure, 2011), sodium hypochlorite (Vostatek, 2011) and lasers (Gupta et al., 2015), have been used as pulpotomy agents over the years. Majority (48%) of the subjects in this study have been using Buckley’s formocresol, 20% use Ferric sulfate 28% use, Glutaraldehyde and 4% use Sodium hypochlorite. Many dental studies have shown some concern regarding using formocresol as a pulp capping agent (Zarzar, 2003; Chandrashekhara, 2014). The International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC), evaluating the available literature, concluded that there is sufficient evidence, in animal and human experimentation, to classify formaldehyde as carcinogenic (IARC, 2006). Use of apex locator (40%) for working length was considered less. Sivadas G et al conducted a study to verify accuracy of apex locator for root canal length determination of deciduous molars compared to conventional radiograph. Authors found that both apex locator and conventional radiograph to be accurate in working length determination in primary molars (Sivadas, 2013).

The most frequent obturation material used by the dental practitioners in pulpotomy was ZOE 54%, followed by calcium hydroxide paste 31%, remaining 16% used commercially available obturation pastes. Zinc oxide eugenol paste was the first root canal filling material to be recommended for primary teeth, as described by Sweet in 1930 (Sweet, 1930). Success rates were reported by various authors after obturating with ZOE (Barr, 1991; Gould, 1972; Coll, 1985). However, it has certain disadvantages like slow resorption, irritation to the periapical tissues, necrosis of bone and cementum. It has tendency to be retained even after tooth exfoliation. In some cases unresorbed material has been found to cause deflection of the succedaneous tooth (Erasquin, 1967; Spedding, 1985; Ramar, 2010). Many authors suggested, combining calcium hydroxide with iodoform such as vitapex and metapex giving excellent clinical, radiographic and histological results (Gupta, 2011; Nakornchai et al., 2010). Majority of the dentists chose availability (46%) of the material as the reason for selecting the material of choice for obturation. The most frequent technique used for obturation of deciduous canals was Hand held reamers 54% followed by Slow-speed lentulospirals 23%. Very less proportion was found using paste syringes (15%). Bawazir And Salama reported 96% clinical success rate in a group after obturating with slow speed lentulospiral than hand held lentinospiral (Bawazir, 2006). 8% of the general dentists used other techniques like use of wet cotton pellet for pushing the

**Table 5:** Response to Q 8 & Q9.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment Type</th>
<th>% of Respondents</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Preparers</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>54%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 6:** Response to Q 9 & Q10.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Barriers</th>
<th>% of Respondents</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Difficult to manage children</td>
<td>22%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No specialized training in pediatric dentistry</td>
<td>32%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time consuming lack of interest in primary teeth</td>
<td>17%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>27%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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obturating material into the canals, as was recommended by Hartman and Pruhs (Jha, 2011). Stainless steel crowns have shown a significant clinical success and are considered a favorable final restoration for endodontically treated deciduous tooth (Seale, 2002). Majority of the subjects use GIC (33%) as a final restoration for pulpally treated primary teeth. 25% use composite. Probably due to ease of use and easy availability. 15% still use silver amalgam and 25% use Stainless steel crown. Analysis of the literature, by Randall et al. (Randall, 2000) demonstrated evidence of a more favorable outcome for stainless steel crowns than for amalgam restorations in primary molars requiring multisurface restorations.

Among the general dentists who treated child patients, 32% reported difficulty in managing children, 27% reported time consumption and financial loss as a major barrier in treating children whereas 17% reported lack of specialized training in pediatric dentistry and 22% reported lack of tolerance as a barrier in treating child patients. In addition, 2% of the respondents reported lack of interest as barriers in treating children. Table 6 shows the barriers faced by dentists in treating children. Limited pediatric dental education and experience in treating children may have contributed to this result. This is problematic because general dentists see the majority of children. Inexperience in pediatric dentistry may result in conditions going unrecognized or untreated. However, the over all attitude of the subjects in having additional information about pulp therapy in deciduous teeth was positive. 87% of the subjects wanted to have more information regarding pulp therapy in primary teeth.
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**QUESTIONNAIRE**

1. First line of treatment for a deciduous tooth with pulp exposure:
   - Pulpotomy
   - Pulpectomy
   - Refer to pedodontist
   - Medication
   - Extraction

2. Reason for performing endodontic treatment in deciduous tooth
   - Pain elimination
   - Space management
   - Prevent progress of disease
   - Other reasons

3. Materials used for pulp fixation during a pulpotomy procedure
   - Buckley’s formocresol
   - Ferric sulfate
   - Glutaraldehyde
   - Sodium hypochlorite

4. Is apex locator used for working length determination
   - Yes
   - No

5. Material used for obturation of deciduous teeth after pulpectomy
   - Zinc oxide eugenol
   - Calcium hydroxide – iodoform based pastes
   - Other materials

6. Reason for selecting your material of choice for obturation
   - Availability
   - Ease of use
   - Other reasons

7. Technique used for obturation of deciduous canals
   - Hand held reamers
   - Slow-speed lentulospirals
   - Obturation paste syringes
   - Other techniques

8. Final restoration for endodontically treated deciduous tooth
   - GIC
   - Silver amalgam
   - Stainless steel crown
   - Composites

9. Barriers faced by the dentists in treating children
   - Do not have enough tolerance
   - Difficult to manage children
   - No specialized training in pediatric dentistry
   - Time consuming and leads to financial loss
   - Lack of interest

10. Would you like to have additional information about pulp therapy in deciduous teeth?
    - Yes
    - No

*******