

Available online at http://www.journalcra.com

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF CURRENT RESEARCH

International Journal of Current Research Vol. 11, Issue, 09, pp.6770-6779, September, 2019

DOI: https://doi.org/10.24941/ijcr.35822.09.2019

RESEARCH ARTICLE

BACTERIA AS BIOCONTROL AGENT AGAINST PLANT-PARASITIC NEMATODES

*Gitanjali Devi

Department of Nematology, Assam Agricultural University, Jorhat-13, Assam, India

Plant-parasitic nematodes cause serious yield loss of various agricultural crops. One strategy that has

attracted the interest of researchers is the use of biocontrol agent for the management of these

nematodes. As a group of biocontrol agent of plant-parasitic nematode, bacteria exhibit diverse modes

of action viz. parasitizing; producing toxins, antibiotics, or enzymes; competing for nutrients;

inducing systemic resistance of plants and promoting plant health. The aim of this review is to present

ARTICLE INFO

ABSTRACT

Article History: Received 10th June, 2019 Received in revised form 15th July, 2019 Accepted 19th August, 2019 Published online 30st September, 2019

Key Words:

Plant-parasitic nematodes, Biocontrol agent, Bacteria, Mode of action.

*Corresponding author: Gitanjali Devi

Copyright © 2019, Gitanjali Devi. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

some of the results of this work, indicating its potential and limitations.

Citation: Gitanjali Devi, 2019. "Bacteria as biocontrol agent against plant-parasitic nematodes", *International Journal of Current Research*, 11, (09), 6770-6779.

INTRODUCTION

Plant-parasitic nematodes cause substantial yield loss (20.6%) (Sasser, 1989) to various food and fibre crops worldwide (Sasser and Freckman, 1987). Among those plant-parasitic nematodes, Root-knot nematode, Meloidogyne spp. cause vield reduction of a wide range of crops (25% - 75%), mainly in tropical and sub-tropical agricultural areas (Sasser and Carter, 1985). Worldwide estimated monetary losses per year caused by plant-parasitic nematodes are over \$100 billion (Perry, 1996). Nematicide though efficient for the control of a range of nematode species, the application of nematicide is harmful to animals and humans, and may cause environmental pollution. Alternatives of chemical approaches for plantparasitic nematode management include host resistance, crop rotation and the use of biological control agents. Microbial control can be broadly described as the use of microbial inoculums or their derived metabolites to reduce the pest populations below threshold density or to combat its disease establishment on susceptible plants. Microbial pathogens, antagonists and endophytes play a crucial role in the regulation of plant parasitic nematodes in various agro ecosystems (Mankau, 1980; Stirling, 1991; Hallmann et al., 1998; Kerry, 1998; Akhtar and Malik, 2000). This microorganism has evolved many simple and complicated ways for affecting particular stages of life cycle of plant-parasitic nematodes. Till now, lots of microbial strains have been screened, and many have been found to be parasitic or antagonistic to plantparasitic nematodes. Bacteria constitute a major group of soil microorganisms that are capable of preventing infections from

a wide range of nematode species including free living and parasites of plants and animals. There is a large body of literature on plant associated bacteria that have shown the capabilities to infect nematodes: Actinomvcetes. Agrobacterium Arthrobacter, Alealigenes, Aureobacterium, Bacillus. Beiierinckia. Azotobacter. Chromobacterium. Clavibacter, Clostridium, Comamonas, Corvnebacterium, Curtobacterium, Desulforibtio, Enterobacter, Flavobacterium, Gluconobacter. Hydrogenophaga, Klebsiella. Methylobacterium, Pasteuria, Pseudomonas, Phyllobacterium, Phingobacterium, Rhizobium, Stenotrotrophomonas, and Variovorax. Additionally, several human bacterial pathogens, such as species of Burkholderia, Enterococcus, Staphylococcus, Serratia, Streptococcus have also been reported to have antagonistic effects against nematodes (Becker et al., 1988; Gokte and Swarup, 1988; Hallmann et al., 1997; Neipp and Becker, 1999; Sturz et al., 2000; Welbaum et al., 2004; Fravel, 2005; Compant et al., 2005, 2010; Rosenblueth and Martinez-Romero, 2006; Ryan et al., 2008; Saharan and Nehra, 2011; Maksimov et al., 2011; Bhattacharyya and Jha, 2012; Cleopas et al., 2017). Bacteria used in biocontrol of plant parasitic nematodes can be categorized into two groups, parasitic bacteria and nonparasitic bacteria (Siddiqui and Mahmood, 1999). These two groups differ in their mode of action. They act synergistically on nematodes by facilitating the rhizosphere colonization and activity of microbial antagonists.

Parasitic bacteria: *Pasteuria* spp., an obligate, endosporeforming, actinomycetes bacterium, can colonize more than 300

nematode species, including the majority of important PPNs and free-living nematodes (Mankau, 1980; Sayre and Starr, 1988; De Leij et al., 1992; Sikora, 1992; De-Channer, 1997; Cho et al., 2000; Preston et al., 2003). Parasites of the genus Pasteuria have a similar life cycle in different hosts, which begins with bacterial spores attaching to nematode juveniles as they move in the soil (Viaene et al., 2006). These spores later germinate, form germ tubes that penetrate the developing juvenile and the germ tubes form primary colonies in the pseudocoelom (Chen and Dickson, 1998). Many daughter colonies that are formed from vegetative micro colonies form sporangia from which endospores are latter formed. The parasitized nematode survives but its fecundity will be greatly reduced with female adults containing as much as two million spores that are released into the soil (Sayre and Wergin, 1977; Kerry, 1987; Tian et al., 2007). A single spore binding to the body wall of a J_2 may be enough to cause infection and propagation of the parasite (Preston et al., 2003). There are four described species of Pasteuria and several undescribed species, viz., P. ramose, P. penetrans, P. thornei, P. Nishizawae. The most common and widespread species, Pasteuria penetrans, is mainly parasitic on Meloidogyne spp., while Pasteuria thornei parasitise lesion nematodes, Pratylenchus spp., and Pasteuria nishizawae infects cyst nematodes, Heterodera spp. and Globodera spp.; Pasteuria usgae infects Belonolaimus spp. (Sayre and Starr, 1988; Viaene et al., 2006). Pasteuria penetrans significantly reduced galling caused by Meloidogyne arenaria in tomato (Cho et al., 2000). Pasteuria penetrans also reduced gall and egg mass in eggplant and soybean (Sharma and Vivaldi, 199). Generally, populations of this bacterium are only efficient parasites of the nematode species from which they originated. Similar studies on M. arenaria also resulted in reduction of root galling and overwintering juvenile populations over two subsequent seasons (Chen et al., 1996). Some of the key characteristics that make P. penetrans a successful biocontrol candidate are its ability to limit nematode reproduction, reduce infectivity of spore-bearing juveniles, and persist in soil for long periods and its resistance to desiccation and extreme temperatures (Siddiqui and Mahmood, 1999).

Following the successful development of mass propagation methods of some Pasteuria isolates, a biocontrol product has since been commercialized for the control of soybean cyst nematodes (Wilson and Jackson, 2013). However, challenges still remain on the management of the broader community of plant parasitic nematodes because Pasteuria spp. has narrow host ranges. Many other Pasteuria species are known to infect nematodes like Pasteuria thornei infects Pasteuria usgae infects Belonolaimus spp., and Pasteuria nishizawae parasitizes. Their capacity to act as biological control agents is influenced by soil physical properties. The number of Pasteuria endospores available to infect nematodes is markedly influenced by soil textural properties such as macroporosity, microporosity and aggregate stability, and this probably explains why the parasite is an effective control agent in some situations but not in others (Mateille et al., 2010). Although the use of P. penetrans to control RKN is promising, its inability to grow outside its hosts and its host specificity limits its commercial application as an effective biocontrol agent (Jatala, 1986; Kerry, 1987; Becker et al., 1988).

Non parasitic bacteria: Some of these bacteria inhabit the rhizosphere, while others establish endophytic populations in

specific ecological niches within the root (Hallmann *et al.*, 1997; Kloepper *et al.*, 1999; Compant *et al.*, 2010). However, regardless of their location, all are able to directly or indirectly promote plant growth. In many cases they suppress pathogens or other detrimental organisms by producing antibiotics, siderophores or lytic enzymes; by detoxifying or degrading pathogen virulence factors; or by inducing systemic resistance to pathogens (Compant *et al.*, 2005).

Rhizobacteria: Bacteria that colonize the rhizosphere are commonly referred to as rhizobacteria. Kloepper and Schroth coined the term 'Plant Growth Promoting (1978) Rhizobacteria' (PGPR) for rhizobacteria capable of enhancing plant growth. PGPR represent all beneficial rhizobacteria which are naturally occurring, free-living soil bacteria that are able to colonize roots and enhance plant growth when added to seeds and roots (O'Sullivan and O'Gara, 1992; Sikora and Hoffmann-Hergarten 1993; Ramazan et al., 2018). Plant health promoting Rhizobacteria (PHPR) are those bacteria that stimulate plant growth by limiting plant pathogens or parasites (Sikora, 1988; Weller and Thomashow, 1993; Sikora. and Hoffmann-Hergarten, 1993; Castaneda-Alvarez and Aballay, 2016). Pseudomonas is among the most effective rhizospheric bacteria which ameliorate plant growth by restricting the parasitic root pathogens (Oostendorp and Sikora, 1989) through the production of biologically active substances or the conversion of unavailable minerals and organic compounds into forms that are available to plants (Siddigui and Mahmood, 1999). They also play an important role in decomposition, biodegradation and the carbon and nitrogen cycles and improve seed germination, root development, mineral nutrition, water utilization (Weller, 1988). Bacillus spp. also have an important role in plant growth promotion by enhancing the biosynthesis of plant hormones, gibberellic acid (GA3) and indole-3-acetic acid (IAA) that have a close relation with plant nutrient availability. Higher level of plant growthpromoting hormones (GA3 and IAA) and defense-related enzymes such as peroxidase (PO), polyphenol oxidase (PPO) and superoxide dismutase were detected in B. subtilis OTPB1 treated plants compared with non-treated plants (Chowdappa et al., 2013; Cleopas et al., 2017).

Endophytic bacteria: Bacteria that reside in the internal tissues of living plants without causing any negative effects, have been found in every plant species and recognized as potential sources of novel natural products (Guo et al., 2008). Endophytic bacteria can form a range of different relationships including symbiotic, mutualistic, commensalistic and trophobiotic. Endophytic microorganisms have an important role in host protection as they are associated with beneficial effects such as plant growth promotion and bio control potential against plant parasitic nematodes (Hallmann et al., 1998; Sturz et al., 2000; Jonathan and Umamaheswari, 2006). Endophytes colonize the same root tissues as sedentary plantparasitic nematodes as (i) there is a continuum of root associated organisms from the rhizosphere to the rhizoplane or to the epidermis to the cortex (Kloepper et al., 1992); and (ii) endophytic bacteria in roots are mostly derived from the rhizosphere (Compant et al., 2010). Therefore, this association of endophytic bacteria with nematodes throughout the nematode life cycle makes these bacteria excellent candidates for biocontrol strategies. Most research on the interaction of endophytic bacteria with nematodes has been conducted on root knot nematode, Meloidogyne spp.(Mekete et al., 2009), but the association of cyst nematodes, Globodera rostochiensis

is also of great interest (Hallmann *et al.*, 2001, Siddiqui *et al.*, 2000; Ali *et al.*, 2002). Bacterial antagonists, *Rhizobium etli* G12 leads to a reduction in the number of juveniles that penetrate the root and ultimately the number of galls and egg-masses production in *M.incognita* (Martinuz *et al.*, 2013).Nine endophytic bacteria were listed and investigated for biological control of nematodes by Sikora *et al.*, (2007).Most endophytes appear to originate from the rhizosphere or phyllosphere; however, some may be transmitted through the seed. Endophytic bacteria have the potential to remove soil contaminants by enhancing phyto remediation and may play a role in soil fertility through phosphate solubilization and nitrogen fixation (Ryan *et al.*, 2008).

Mode of action of nonparasitic bacteria: Potential targets of nonparasitic bacteria are nematode eggs, juveniles in the soil and sedentary adults on the host roots. Thus the antagonists affect egg hatching, movement of the juveniles through the soil and their attraction and orientation to the host roots, recognition of host tissue and feeding sites, penetration of root tissues (Neipp and Becker 1999). The mechanism by which antagonistic bacteria inhibit plant-parasitic nematodes have been put forth by Sikora and Hoffmann-Hergarten, 1993; Hallmann 2001; Siddiqui *et al.*, 2001.

- Production of antibiotics (metabolic products), enzyme, toxins that kill, inhibited or repelled nematode.
- Degradation of the root exudates that the nematode relies on for host location and to stimulate egg hatch.
- Induction of systemic acquired resistance (SAR).

Production of antibiotics (metabolic products), enzyme, toxins that kill, inhibited or repelled nematode: Metabolites produced by some bacteria, especially Bacillus spp., Pseudomonas spp. and Burkholderia spp. interfere with nematode behaviour, feeding and reproduction, thereby reducing penetration and damage in plants (Sikora and Hoffmann-Hergarten, 1992; Meyer et al., 2000; Viaene et al., 2006). Exuded metabolites reduce hatch and attraction and/or degradation of specific root exudates which control nematode behavior and alter the nematode-plant recognition process or create a hostile environment for nematodes in the rhizosphere (Sikora and Hoffmann-Hergarten, 1993; Mankau, 1995; Siddiqui and Shahid 2003). Bacillus species synthesize various types of lipopeptides with specific activities against plant pathogens which give them a unique importance in agriculture. The metabolites produced by Bacillus spp. are amphiphilic and lipopeptides mainly bacitracin, surfactant circulins, polymyxins, tyrocidins and surfactin (Brandbury, 1986). Gong et al., (2015) reported those lipopeptides as bacillomycins, iturins and mycosubtilin. Most of the metabolites are produced at the onset of sporulation (Mankau, 1995). Those by-products of Bacillus spp. inhibit egg hatching, reduce juvenile survival and/or kill nematodes directly, reduce root penetration and migration (Oostendorp and Sikora, 1989;Racke and Sikora, 1992; Sikora and Hoffmann-Hergarten, 1992; Oka et al., 1993; Meyer, 2003; Padgham and Sikora, 2007; Tian et al., 2007; Lian et al., 2007; Zhang et al., 2012; Adam et al., 2014). The crude metabolites produced by strains of B. cereus, B. megaterium, B. pumilus, B. subtilis, B. thuringenisis, Enterobacter asburiae, E. cloacae and Paenibacillus macerans caused high mortality in J_2 of *Meloidogyne* spp.(Spiegel *et al.*, 1991; Devidas and Rehberger, 1992; Nagesh et al., 2005; Padgham and Sikora., 2007; Huang et al., 2009; Ying et al., 2010; Lee et al., 2016). Siddiqui et al., (2006) have reported production of metabolites, including HCN and 2, 4-diacetylphloroglucinol (DAPG) by Pseudomonas fluorescens strains CHA0. Production of antimicrobial compounds including Phl and Plt by P. fluorescens plays a crucial role in the suppression of root-knot nematode. The ability of P. fluorescens strain F113 to produce diacetylphloroglucinol (DAPG) was responsible for the increased hatching ability and the reduction in juvenile mobility of Globodera rostochiensis. Similar effects on egg hatchability and juvenile mobility of G. rostochiensis were obtained in vitro in the presence of synthetic DAPG (Cronin et al., 1997). Pseudomonas chlororaphis O6 induce mortality in J₂ of root-knot nematodes and inhibit egg hatch (Lee et al.2011;Nandi et al., 2015;Kang et al., 2018). B. cereus S2 can produce some secondary metabolites, sphinganine and phytosphingosine, that cause a robust of reactive oxygen (ROS) in the intestinal tract of nematode thereby induce oxidative injury, cell apoptosis and cell necrosis on the reproductive area of Meloidogyne incognita and Caenorhabditis elegans and destroy the internal structure of nematode, therefore make lethal effect on nematode as well as suppress nematode reproduction(Gao et al., 2016). Corynebacterium paurometabolu inhibits nematode egg hatching by producing hydrogen sulphide (Mena and Pimentel, 2002). Siddiqui and Ehteshamul-Haque, (2000), Insunza et al., (2002) and Siddiqui et al., (2003) showed that endophytic bacteria produced specific metabolites, which can inhibit hatch of eggs and the mobility of the second-stage juveniles of nematodes.

Bacillus spp. can synthesize various molecules that are toxic to nematodes. Bacillus thuringiensis (Bt) the ideal biopesticide, known to specifically kill caterpillars and beetles is also reported to target nematode populations. B. thuringiensis shows nematicidal activity towards M. incognita and Heterodera glycines by producing crystal inclusions (Cry proteins), a toxic proteins during sporulation (Noel, 1990; Zukerman et al., 1993; Leyns et al., 1995). Currently, three families of Cry proteins have been found to exhibit potent activities against the juveniles of nematodes (Cry5, Cry12, Cry13, Cry14, and Cry21 in the Cry5 family, Cry6 in the Cry6 family, and Cry55 in the Cry55 family) (Waele et al., 1995; Dhawan et al., 2004). Prasad et al., (1972) and Chen et al., (2000) reported that populations of Meloidogyne incognita and M.hapla were significantly reduced by treatment with B. thuringiensis var. thuringiensis. Bacillus nematocida B16, using a Torjan horse mechanism lures nematodes to their death. It is reported to be highly nematicidal against the nematode *Panagrellus redivivus*. This bacterium secrets benzaldehyde and 2-heptanone, as volatile organic compounds to attract nematodes. After the nematode has consumed it as food, it secretes a range of extracellular proteases which lyses the intestinal tissues, eventually killing it (Huang et al., 2005a). B. firmus is suggested the involvement of toxins which is effective against Meloidogyne spp., Ditylenchus dipsaci (Mendoza et al. 2008), Rodopholus similis (Mendoza et al., 2008), Heterodera spp., *Tylenchulus* semipenetrans, Xiphinema index (Keren-Zur et al., 2000). Pseudomonas aeruginosa and Bacillus subtilis are reported to be highly nematicidal against Meloidogyne javanica by producing toxin (Siddiqui,2002). Pseudomonas fluorescens Pf1 and Bacillus subtilis BSt are reported to be highly nematicidal against Rotylenchulus renifomis by producing toxin (Niknam and Dhawan, 2002a, 2002b). Qaiser et al., (2017) identified Cyclo (d-Pro-l-Leu) produced by Bacillus amyloliquefaciens Y1 as a nematicide for control of M. incognita. Franco et al., (2007)

and Qin et al., (2011) reported one bacterial group of actinobacteria, which are known to produce bioactive compounds, trigger induced systemic resistance, and also have the capacity to colonize roots. Bacteria that degrade soil amendments (degrades chitin) release nematicidal compounds (ammonia) to kill most nematodes in soil (Spiegel et al., 1991). Bacillus species are capable of producing enzymes like chitinase and β -1, 3-glucanase having a very strong lytic activity (Tian et al., 2000; Li et al., 2002; Ha et al., 2014; Castaneda-Alvarez and Aballay ,2016). Chitinase produced by Paenibacillus illinoisis KJA-424 caused the lysis of M. incognita eggshell and resulted in the inhibition of egg hatching in vitro (Jung et al., 2002; Khan et al., 2008). The wide distribution of cuticle-degrading proteases in Bacillus strains with nematicidal activity suggested that these enzymes likely play an important role in bacteria-nematode plant environment interactions and that they may serve as important nematicidal factors in balancing nematode populations in the soil. B. subtilis produce hydrolytic enzyme such as protease, lipases, b-gluconase and cellulase which are responsible for nematode mortality (Miller and Sands 1977; Chantawannakul et al., 2002; Qiuhong et al., 2006; Tian et al., 2007). Bacillus pumilus L1 produce both protease and chitinase, 2methylbutyric Acid besides promoted tomato plant growth when infested by M.arenaria (Wei et al., 2010; Lee and Kim, 2015; Lee et al., 2016). Huang et al., (2005b) reported that Brevibacillus laterosporus G4 without parasporal crystals having extracellular protease can infect nematodes. Pseudomonas fluorescens can synthesize enzymes that can monitor the level of plant hormones and limit the available iron via siderophores (Glick, 1995 ;VanLoon et al. 1998; Siddiqui et al., 2005). The use of ACC deaminase-producing plant growth promoting bacteria, Pseudomonas putida UW4 has been shown to be a useful strategy to reduce the damage due to Bursaphelenchus xylophilus (Nascimento et al., 2013).

Degradation of the root exudates that the nematode relies on for host location: Plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria may interfere with host identification through receptor blockage on the roots or by modifying root exudates of the host plant, thus hindering the attraction, hatching or penetration behaviour of the nematodes (Oostendorp and Sikora 1986; Becker et al., 1988; Oostendorp and Sikora 1990; Spiegel et al., 1991; Sikora, 1992; Sikora and Hoffmann-Hergarten, 1993; Siddiqui and Mahmood, 1999). Franken et al., (1990) demonstrated that attraction of the cyst nematode, Globodera pallida to sugar beet tubers was reduced following tuber treatment with Agrobacterium radiobacter. Host recognition was controlled by the interaction between root surface lectin and nematode cuticular carbohydrate. PHPR may induce biological control by binding lectins which are required in host recognition. B. subtilis was reported to promote plant growth by producing growth regulators, including root exudation and enhancing the availability of nutrients to plants besides control of soil-borne pathogens (Weller, 1988). These characteristics make these species good candidates for use as seed inoculants and root dips for biological control of soilborne plant pathogens. Bacillus sphaericus and Agrobacterium radiobacter have been shown to reduce hatching of two species of cyst nematodes (Oostendorp and Sikora, 1989; Racke and Sikora, 1992). Among plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria Pseudomonas putida, Pseudomonas aeruginosa, Bacillus subtilis caused greater inhibitory effect on the hatching and penetration of M. javanica (Siddiqui,2002; Siddiqui et al., 2007). Inhibition of Criconemella xenoplax egg hatch by Pseudomonas aureofaciens was observed by Wescott and Kluepfel (1993). P. fluorescens strain CHA0 and its GM derivative CHA0/pME3424 caused mortality of *M*. javanica juveniles in vitro and reduced nematode penetration in mung bean roots under glasshouse conditions(Siddiqui, 2005; Bakker et al., 1991; Gamliel and Katan, 1993) Bacillus spp., Pseudomonas spp. and Telluria chitinolytica have been shown to inhibit penetration of nematodes into the roots thereby reducing root galling (Becker et al., 1988; Oostendorp and Sikora, 1990). Root and soil populations of the rice root nematode, Hirschmanniella oryzae, were reduced following the application of P. fluorescens as a seed treatment (Swarnakumari et al., 1999). Application of P. chitinolytica reduced the penetration rate of juveniles of root-knot nematodes in tomato (Spiegel et al., 1991). Fewer galls and egg masses of *M. incognita* were observed following treatment of tomato roots with P. fluorescens strain PF1 (Santhi and Shivakumar, 1995).

Induction of systemic acquired resistance (SAR): Induced resistance (both ISR and SAR) has been documented for plantparasitic nematodes. When a pathogen attacks a plant, the noninfected plant tissues acquire an ability to resist the subsequent attack; this type of broad spectrum and long-term ability of plants is known as systemic acquired resistance (SAR). The ability of plants to develop ISR in response to root colonization by non-pathogenic bacteria depends on the interactions between the colonizing rhizobacterium and host plant (Van Loon et al., 1998; Pieterse et al., 2002). The onset of systemic acquired resistance (SAR) is characterized by expression of genes for pathogenesis-related proteins such as chitinase and peroxidase (Jonathan and Umamaheswari, 2006° Ramamamurthy et al. 2001; Jeunn et al., 2004; Siddiqui and Shaukat, 2002; Mohamed and Hammad, 2003). PPO, POD and PAL are important defense enzymes of plants, which are positively correlated with the plant systemic resistance against pathogens. Enzymes induced by systemic resistance cannot directly induce nematode mortality; rather, they cause abnormal females and as a result lower nematode fecundity. Treatment with P. fluorescens induced the activity of peroxidase, polyphenol oxidase, phenylalanine ammonia lyase, catalase and chitinase in tomato against M. incognita (Wei et al., 1996; Pieterse et al., 2002), Anita et al., 2004); Mohamed and Hassabo, 2005). Andress et al. (2008) found that POX increased in the roots of a resistant line of wheat H-93-8 compared with the susceptible line in response to cereal cyst nematode, Heterodera avenae. The Cre2 gene (resistance gene) in this line inhibited reproduction of this nematode. POX catalyzes the formation of lignin through polymerization of phenols. Siddiqui and Shaukat (2002) found that secondary metabolite 2-4 diacetylphloroglucinal producing P. fluorescens strain CHA0 induced systemic resistance against root-knot nematode in tomato. P. fluorescens induced ISR against M. javanica (Siddiqui and Shaukat, 2004) and Heterodera schachtii, and also reduced early root penetration (Oostendrop and Sikora, 1990). Sikora (1988) demonstrated that Bacillus subtilis induced systemic protection against M. incognita in cotton. B. subtilis GB03 originate volatile compounds that regulate ethylene biosynthesis enzymes, as well as ethylene biosynthesis related genes (ERF1, GST2, and CHIB). It also regulated jasminic acid- and SA-mediated defense mechanism (Ryu et al., 2004). Salicylic acid (SA) production by bacteria acts as an endogenous signal for the activation of certain plant defense responses after pathogen attack. Bacillus sphaericus B43 and Rhizobium etli G12 triggered ISR against potato cyst

nematode by reducing the juvenile penetration of the roots on the responder side when the bacteria were applied as an inducer to the other half of root system (Hasky- Gunther et al., 1998; Reitz et al., 2000). These two bacteria also caused ISR against *M. incognita* on tomato by reducing the J_2 penetration on the responder root side (Ongena and Jacques, 2008; Siahpoush et al., 2011; Adam et al., 2014).B. sphaericus strain B43 was investigated for the induced resistance against Globodera pallida in potato roots by split root system. It was observed that, both living and heat-killed bacterial cell effectively reduced the incidence of G. pallida in potato roots (Hasky-Gunther et al., 1998). Kloepper et al., (2004) and Xia et al., (2011) investigated the elicitation abilities of B. subtilis, B. cereus, B.amyloliquefaciens, B.pasteurii, R sphaericus, B. pumilus and B. mycoides for induced resistance in tomato, muskmelon, bell pepper, sugar beet, watermelon, tobacco, Arabidopsis sp., loblolly pine, cucumber, and green kuang futsoi and long cayenne pepper against various pathogenic diseases including root-knot nematodes under green house and field conditions. Gao et al., (2016) and Hu et al., (2017) also observed that B. cereus induce systemic resistance in tomato infested by M.incognita.

Mass-production, formulations and application methods: Inoculum quality, mass-production and formulation methods of antagonistic microorganisms have been crucial point for commercialization. Another major challenge is the low yield of the active desirable compound obtained from the cultures (Yu et al., 2010). Genetic engineering technology which identifies the regulatory gene/s in the biosynthesis pathway of the active compound can lead to increase production of the bioactive compounds (Radic and Strukelj, 2012). Stable growth and development of biological agent under field conditions is a major problem due to adverse environmental conditions. The formulation that can enhance the shelf-life of bacterial product during storage, transportation and also during field application is also important. Formulations that are compatible with the delivery of microbial agents through drip irrigation systems may also enable precise application and reductions in inoculums rates. Procedures have been defined for risk assessments of biological control agents released into the environment (Kiewnick et al., 2004) and some studies have been done on the impact of releases on the rhizosphere microbial community (O'Flaherty et al., 2003). The application of rhizosphere bacteria as seed treatments (Oostendorp and Sikora, 1989) and endophytic fungi as bare root dips (Pinochet et al., 1998) or in tissue cultured plantlets (Sikora, 2001) or in row treatments provide an opportunity for the large scale use of biological control.

Conclusion

Rigorous laboratory and field screening activities have discovered several microorganisms for the development of biopesticides. The main considerations for the practical adoption of biological control agents are the exposition of their mode of action, development of such formulation that can be used with other pesticides with synergetic effect, stability under field application, and perfect demonstration of cost benefit ratio. Increased understanding of the molecular basis of the various pathogenic/antagonistic mechanisms of the bacteria could potentially enhance their value as effective biological control agents. Understanding the ecological basis of the interactions among these co-applied biocontrol agents will greatly help in maximizing their performance. The primary obstacle in commercializing a biocontrol agent for usage by farmers is the inconsistent field performance. Since, biocontrol agents have to act in soil in presence of different factors like adaphic conditions, weather, and soil inhabiting microbes, which may have synergistic or antagonistic effect on their activity. Rational management decision can be made only by analyzing the interactions that occurring naturally among host plant, nematode target, soil microbial control agent and environment. Ultimately, lots of commercial products based on bacteria are likely to be developed, and they will be marketed on the basis that they promote plant growth or reduce nematode population and other soil borne pathogens.

REFERENCES

- Adam, M., Heuer, H. and Hallmann, J. 2014. Bacterial antagonists of fungal pathogens also control root-knotn by induced systemic resistance of tomato plants. PLoS ONE 9(2): e90402.https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0090402
- Akhtar, M., and Malik, A. 2000. Roles of organic soil amendments and soil organisms in the biological control of plant-parasitic nematodes: A review. *Bioresource Technology.*, 74:35-47
- Ali, N.I., Siddiqui, I.A., Shahid Shaukat, S.and Zaki, M.J. 2002. Nematicidal activity of some strains of *Pseudomonas* spp. *Soil Biol Biochem.*, 34: 1051-1058.
- Andress, M.F., Mellilo, M.T., Delibes, A., Romero, M.D. and Bleve-Zacheo, T. 2008. Changes in wheat root enzymes correlated with resistance to cereal cyst nematode. *New Phytologist*, 152: 343-354.
- Anita, B., Rajendran, G. and Samiyappan, R. 2004. Induction of systemic resistance in tomato against root-knot nematode, *Meloidogyne incognita*. *Nematol. Mediterr.*, 32: 159-162.
- Bakker, P.A.H.M., van Peer, R., Schippers, B. 1991. Suppression of soil borne plant pathogens by fluorescent Pseudomonads: Mechanisms and Prospects. In: Beemster ABR, Bollen GJ, Gerlagh M, *et al.*, editors. Biotic Interactions and Soil-Borne Diseases. Amsterdam: Elsevier; pp. 217-230.
- Becker, J.O., Majia, E. Z., Colbert, S.F., Schroth, M.N., Weinhold, A.R., Hancock, J.G. and Van Gundy, S.D. 1988. Effects of rhizobacteria on root-knot nematodes and gall formation. *Phytopathology*, 78: 1466-1469.
- Bhattacharyya, P.N. and Jha, D.K. 2012. Plant growthpromoting rhizobacteria (PGPR): emergence in agriculture *World J Microbiol Biotechnol.*, 28:1327-1350
- Bradbury, J.F., 1986, Guide to plant pathogenic bacteria. CAB International Mycological Institute. New York, USA. ISBN-13: 978000000972, Pages: 332.
- Castaneda Alvarez, C and Aballay, E 2016. Rhizobacteria with nematicide aptitude: enzymes and compounds associated. *World J Microbiol Biotechnol*. 32(12):203.
- Chantawannakul P, Oncharoen A, Klanbut K, Chukeatirote E., Lumyong S. 2002.Characterization of proteases of Bacillus subtilis strain 38 isolated from traditionally fermented soybean in Northern Thailand. *Sci Asia.*, 28:241-245
- Chen, J., Abawi, G.S. and Zuckerman, B.M. 2000. Efficacy of Bacillus thuringiensis, Paecilomyces marquandii and Streptomyces costaricanus with and without organic amendments against Meloidogyne hapla infecting lettuce. *J Nematol.*, 32: 70-77
- Chen, Z. X., and D. W. Dickson. 1998. Review of *Pasteuria* penetrans: Biology, ecology, and biological control potential. J Nematol., 30:313-340.

- Chen, Z.X., Dickson, D.W. and Hewlett, T.E.1996. Quantification of endospore concentrations of *Pasteuria penetrans* in tomato root material. *J Nematol.*, 28(1):50-55
- Cho, M.R., Na, S.Y., Yiem, M.S.2000. Biological Control of Meloidogyne arenaria by Pasteuria penetrans *Journal of Asia-Pacific Entomology*. 3(2):71-76.
- Chowdappa, P., Kumar, S.M., Lakshmi, M.J. 2013. Growth stimulation and induction of systemic resistance in tomato against early and late blight by *Bacillus subtilis* OTPB1 or *Trichoderma harzianum* OTPB3. *Biol Control*. 65(1):109-117.
- Cleopas, C., Chinheya, Yobo, K.S. and Laing, M. D. 2017. Biological control of the root-knot nematode, *Meloidogyne javanica* (Chitwood) using *Bacillus* isolates, on soybean, *Biological Control*, 109: 37-41
- Compant, S., Duffy, B., Nowak, J., Clement, C., Barka, E.A.2005. Use of plant growth-promoting bacteria for biocontrol of plant diseases: Principles, Mechanisms of Action, and Future Prospects. *Appl Environ Microbiol.*, 71(9):4951-4959.
- Compant, S., Clement, C., Sessitsch, A., Crickmore, 2010.Plant growth-promoting bacteria in the rhizo and endosphere of plants: Their role, colonization, mechanisms involved and prospects for utilization *Soil Biol Biochem.*, 42:669-678
- Cronin, D., Loccoz, Y. M., Fehton, A., Dunne, C., Dowling, D. N. and Gara, F. O. 1997. Role of 2, 4diacetylphloroglucinol in the interactions of the biocontrol *Pseudomonas* strain F113 with potato cyst nematode, *Globodera rostochiensis. Appl Environ Microbiol.*, 6: 1357-1361.
- De Channer, A. G. R. 1997. Studies on the potential use of Pasteuria penetrans as a biocontrol agent of root-knot nematodes (*Meloidogyne* spp.). Plant Pathology, 46: 44-55.
- De Leij, F. A. A. M., Davies, K. G. and Kerry, B. R. 1992. The use of *Verticillium chlamydosporium* Goddard and *Pasteuria penetrans* Thome Sayre and Starr alone and in combination to control *Meloidogyne incognita* on tomato plants. *Fun Appl Nematol.*, 5:235-242.
- Devidas P. and Rehberger L.A, 1992. The effects of exotoxin (thuringiensin) from Bacillus thuringiensis on *Meloidogyne incognita* and *Caenorhabditis elegans*. *Plant Soil*, 145: 115-120.
- Dhawan, S. C.; Sarvjeet, K. and Aqbal, S. 2004. Effect of Bacillus thuringiensis on the mortality of root-knot nematode, Meloidogyne incognita. Indian J. Nematology, 34(1), 98-99.
- Franco, C., Michelsen, P., Percy N., Conn, V., Listiana, E., Moll, S., Loria R.and Coombs J.2007. Actinobacterial endophytes for improved crop performance. *Austral Pl.Pathol.*, 36(6):524-531
- Fravel, DR.2005.Commercialization and implementation of Biocontrol. *Ann Rev Phytopathol*.43:337-359.
- Gao, H., Qi. G., Rong, Y., Zhang, H., Li, C. and Zhao, X. 2016. *Bacillus cereus* strain S2 shows high nematicidal activity against *Meloidogyne incognita* by producing sphingosine. *Sci Rep.*, 6: 28756
- Glick, B.R., 1995. The enhancement of plant growth by freeliving bacteria. *Canadian J Microbiol.*, 41: 109-117.
- Gokte, N., and Swarup, G. 1988. On the potential of some bacterial biocides against root-knot and cyst nematodes. *Ind J Nematol.* 18: 152-153.
- Gong, A.D., Li, H.P. and Yuan, Q.S. 2015. Antagonistic Mechanism of Iturin A and Plipastatin A from *Bacillus* amyloliquefaciens S76-3 from wheat spikes

against *Fusarium graminearum*. *PLoS One*. 10(2): e0116871

- Guo, B., Wang, Y., Sun, X., Tang, K.2008. Bioactive natural products from endophytes: a review. *Prikl Biokhim Mikrobiol.* 44(2):153-8.
- Ha, W.J., Kim, Y.C., Jung, H., Park, S.K. 2014. Control of the root-knot nematode (*Meloidogyne* spp.) on cucumber by a liquid bio-formulation containing chitinolytic bacteria, chitin and their products. *Res Plant Dis.*, 20:112-118.
- Hallmann, J., 2001. Plant interactions with endophytic bacteria. In: Jeger, M.J., Spence, N.J. (Eds.), Biotic Interactions in Plant- Pathogen Associations. CABI Publishing, Wallingford, United Kingdom, pp. 87-119
- Hallmann, J., Hallmannn, Q., Mahafee, W. F. and Kloepper, J.W. 1997. Bacterial endophytes in agriculture crops. *Canadian J Microbiol.*, 43: 895-914.
- Hallmann, J., Hallmann, Q., Rodríguez-Kabana, R. A., and Kloepper, J.W. (1998).Interactions between Meloidogyne incognita and endophytic bacteria in cotton and cucumber. *Soil Biol Biochem*, 30: 925- 937
- Hasky Gunther, K., Hoffmann-Hergarten, S. and Sikora R.A. 1998. Resistance against the potato cyst nematode Globodera *pallida* systemically induced by the rhizobacteria *Agrobacterium radiobacter* (G12) and *Bacillus sphaericus* (B43). *Fundam Appl Nematol.* 21(5):511-517.
- Hu, H.J., Chen, Y.L., Wang, Y.F., Tang, Y.Y., Chen, S.L., Yan, S.Z.2017.Endophytic *Bacillus cereus* effectively controls *Meloidogyne incognita* on tomato plants through rapid rhizosphere occupation and repellent action.*Plant Disease*, 101:448-455.
- Huang, X.W., Niu, Q.H., Zhou, W., and Zhang, K.Q. 2005a. Bacillus nematocida sp. nov., a novel bacterial strain with nematotoxic activity isolated from soil in Yunnan, China. Syst. Appl. Microbiol., 28:323-27
- Huang, X.W., Tian, B.Y., Niu, Q.H., Yang, J.K., Zhang, L.M. 2005b. An extracellular protease from *Brevibacillus laterosporus* G4 without parasporal crystals can serve as a pathogenic factor in infection of nematodes. *Res Microbiol*, 156: 719-727.
- Huang, Y.; Xu, C.; Ma, L.; Zhang, K.; Duan, C. and Mo, M. 2009. Characterization of volatiles produced from *Bacillus megaterium* YFM3.25 and their nematicidal activity against *Meloidogyne incognita*. *European J. Plant Pathology*, 26(3), 417-422.
- Insunza, V., Alstrom, S. and Eriksson, K. B. 2002. Root bacteria from nematicidal plants and their biocontrol potential against trichodorid nematodes in potato. *Plant Soil.*, 241, 271-278
- Jatala, P. 1986. Biological control of plant parasitic nematode. *Ann. Rev. Phytopatholol.* 24:453-489.
- Jeun, Y.C., K.S. Park, C.H. Kim, W.D. Fowler and J.W. Kloepper, 2004. Cytological observations of cucumber plants during induced resistance elicited by rhizobacteria. *Biol. Cont*, 29: 34-42.
- Jonathan, E. I. and Umamaheswari, R. 2006. Biomanagement of nematodes infesting banana by bacterial endophytes (*Bacillus subtilis*). *Indian J Nematol.*, 36: 30-233.
- Jung, W.J., Jung, S.J., An, K.N., 2002.Effect of chitinase producing *Paenibacillus illinoisis* KJA-424 on egg hatching of root-knot nematode (*Meloidogyne incognita*). J *Microbiol Biotechnol.* 12:865-871.
- Kang, B.R., Anderson, A.J.and Kim, Y.C. 2018. Hydrogen cyanide produced by *Pseudomonas chlororaphis* O6

exhibits nematicidal activity against *Meloidogyne hapla*. *Plant Pathol*. 34:35-43.

- Keren-Zur M, Antonov J, Bercovitz A, Feldman A, Keram G, Morov, Rebhum N. 2000. Baccillus firmus formulation for the safe control of root knot nematodes. Brighton: The BCPC Conference. *Pests and Disease;* 307-311
- Kerry, B. R. 1987. Biological control. Pages 233-263. In R.A. Brown and B.R. Kerry eds, Principle and practice of nematode control in crops. Academic Press London.
- Kerry, B. R. 1997. Biological control of nematodes: Prospects and opportunities. FAO Corporate Document Repository. Plant nematode problems and their control in the Near East Region. FAO Plant Production and Protection Paper, 144. URL: http://www.fao.docrep/ V9978E00.htm
- Kerry, B. R. 1998. Progress towards biological control strategies for plant-parasitic nematodes. The 1998 Brighton Crop Protection Conference: *Pests and Diseases*, 3:739-746.
- Khan, Z., Kim, S., Jeon, Y., Khan, H., Son, S.and Kim, Y. 2008. A plant growth promoting rhizobacterium. *Paenibacillus polymyxa* straingbr-1, suppresses root-knot nematode. *Bioresour Technol.*, 99(8):3016-3023.
- Kloepper, J and Schroth, M.N. 1978 Plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria on radishes. In: Proceedings of the 4th International Conference on Plant Pathogenic Bacteria, vol.
 2. Station de Pathologie Végétale et de Phytobactériologie, INRA, Angers, France, pp. 879–882.
- Kloepper, J.W., Rodriguez Kabana, R., McInroy, J.A. and Young, R.W. 1992. Rhizosphere bacteria antagonistic to soybean cyst (*Heterodera glycines*) and root-knot (*Meloidogyne incognita*) nematodes: identification by fatty acid analysis and frequency of biocontrol activity. *Plant Soil*, 139: 75-84.
- Kloepper, J.W., Rodriguez-Kabana, R., Zehnde, G.W., Murphy, J., Sikora E.and Fernandez, C. 1999. Plant rootbacterial interactions in biological control of soilborne diseases and potential extension to systemic and foliar diseases. *Aust J Plant Pathol.*, 28: 27-33
- Kloepper, J.W., Ryu, C.M. and Zhang, S. 2004. Induced systemic resistance and promotion of plant growth by *Bacillus spp. Phytopathol.* 94(11):1259-1266
- Lee, J.H., Ma, K.C., Ko, S.J., Kang, B.R., Kim, I.S. and Kim, Y.C. 2011. Nematicidal activity of a nonpathogenic biocontrol bacterium, *Pseudomonas chlororaphis* O6. *Curr Microbiol*.62:746-751.
- Lee, Y. S., and Kim, K. Y. 2015. Antagonistic potential of Bacillus pumilus L1 Against rootknot nematode, *Meloidogyne arenaria*. J Phytopathol., 164(1): 29-39.
- Lee, Y.S., Jeong, Y. C., Jae Hak, M and Kim, K.Y. 2016. Identification of 2-methylbutyric Acid as a nematicidal metabolite, and biocontrol and biofertilization potentials of *Bacillus pumilus* L1, *Korean J.Soil Sci. Fert.* 49(4): 401-408.
- Leyns, F., Borgonie, G., Arnaut, G. and Waele, D. D. 1995. Nematicidal activity of *Bacillus thuringiensis* isolates. *Fundam. Appl. Nematol.*, 18: 211-218
- Li, B., Xie, G.L., Soad, A.and Coosemans, J. 2005. Suppression of *Meloidogyne javanica* by antagonistic and plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria. *J Zhejiang Univ Sci* B 6: 496-501.
- Li, Y., Darley, C.P., Ongaro, V., Fleming, A., Schipper, O., Baldauf, S.L., McQueen-Mason, S.J. 2002. Plant expansins are a complex multigene family with an ancient evolutionary origin. *Plant Physiol.*, 128(3):854-864

- Lian, L.H., Tian, B.Y., Xiong, R., Zhu, M.Z., Xu, J. and Zhang, K.Q. 2007. Proteases from *Bacillus*: a new insight into the mechanism of action for rhizobacterial suppression of nematode populations. *Lett Appl Microbiol.*, 45(3):262.
- Maksimov, I.V., Abizgil'dina, R.R. and Pusenkova, L.I.2011. Plant growth promoting rhizobacteria as alternative to chemical crop protectors from pathogens *.Appl Biochem Microbiol.*, 47:333-345;
- Mankau, R. 1980 Biological control of *Meloidogyne* population by *Bacillus penetrans* in West Africa. *J.Nematology*.12:230.
- Mankau, R. 1995. Bacteria antagonist of nematodes. *Biocontrol*, 1(3):15-28
- Mankau, R. 1981. Microbial control of nematodes.pp.475-494. In: B.M. Zuckerman, W.F.Maiand R.A.Rohde (Eds.) Plant parasitic nematodes. Vol.III. Academic Press. NY.
- Martinuz, A., Schouten, A. and Sikora, R.A. 2013.Post infection development of *Meloidogyne incognita* on tomato treated with the endophytes *Fusarium oxysporum* strain Fo162 and *Rhizobium etli* strain G12. *BioControl.*, 58:95-104.
- Mateille, T., Dabire, R.K., Fould, S. and Diop, M.T.2010. Host-parasite soil communities and environmental constraints: Modelling of soil functions involved in interactions between plant-parasitic nematodes and *Pasteuria penetrans. Soil Biol. Biochem.* 42(8):1193-1199.
- Mekete, T., Hallman, J., Kiewnick, S. and Sikora, R. 2009. Endophytic bacteria from Ethiopian coffee plants and their potential to antagonize *Meloidogyne incognita*. *Nematology*, 11 (1):117-127.
- Mena, J. and Pimentel, E. 2002. Mechanism of action of Corynebacterium pauronetabolum strain C-924 on nematodes. Nematology, 4:287.
- Mendoza, A.R., Kiewnick, S., and Sikora, R.A. 2008. *In vitro* activity of *Bacillus firmus* against the burrowing nematode *Radopholus similis*, the root-knot nematode *Meloidogyne incognita* and the stem nematode *Ditylenchus dipsaci*. *Biocontrol Sci Techn.*, 18: 377-389.
- Meyer, S. L. F., Massoud, S. I., Chitwood, D. J. and Roberts, D. P. 2000. Evaluation of *Trichoderma virens* and *Burkholderia cepacia* for antagonistic activity against rootknot nematode, *Meloidogyne incognita*. J Nematol., 2: 871-879.
- Meyer, S.L.F. 2003.United States Department of Agriculture -Agricultural Research Service research programs on microbes for management of plant-parasitic nematodes. *Pest Manag Sci.*, 59:665-670.
- Miller, P. M., and D. C. Sands. 1977. Effects of hydrolytic enzymes on plant-parasitic nematodes. *J Nematol.*, 9:192-197
- Mohamed, M.A.and Hassabo, S.A. 2005. Biochemical attentions induced by *Meloidogyne incognita* infection in cotton. *International J Nematol.*, 15:145-154.
- Mohamed, M.A. and Hammad, S.A.2003.Key enzymes of phenylpropanoid pathway and oxidative enzymes: possible markers for *Meloidogyne javanica* resistance in tomato. *International J Nematol.*, 13:72-78.
- Nagesh, M., Asokan, R. and Mohan, K. S.2005. Partial characterization of novel nematicidal toxins from *Bacillus cereus* Frankland 1887 and their effect on root-knot nematode, *Meloidogyne incognita* (Kofoid & White) Chitwood. *J.Bio Control.*, 19 (1):65-69.
- Nandi, M., Selin, C., Brassinga, A.K.C, Belmonte, M.F., Fernando, W.D., Loewen, P.C., De Kievit, T.R. 2015. Pyrrolnitrin and hydrogen cyanide production by

Pseudomonas chlororaphis strain PA23 exhibits nematicidal and repellent activity against *Caenorhabditis elegans. PLoS One.;* 10:e0123184. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0123184.

- Nascimento FX, Vicente CSL, Barbosa P, Espada M, Glick BR, Oliveira S, Mota M (2013) The use of the ACC deaminase producing bacterium *Pseudomonas putida* UW4 as a biocontrol agent for pine wilt disease. *Biocontrol.*, 58: 427-433.
- Neipp, P.W. and Becker, J.O. 1999. Evaluation of biocontrol activity of rhizobacteria from *Beta vulgaris* against *Heterodera schachtii. J Nematol.*, 31: 54-61.
- Niknam, G. R. and Dhawan, S. C., 2002a. *In vitro* study on the efficacy of *Bacillus subtilis* strain BSt cell concentrations and cell-free culture filtrates on hatching and mobility of *Rotylenchulus renifomis. Indian J. Nematol.*, 32: 9-15.
- Niknam, G. R. and Dhawan, S. C., 2002b. Effect of cell concentration and cell free filtrates of *Pseudomonas fluorescens* (isolate Pf1) on hatching and mobility of *Rotylenchulus reniformis. Indian J. Nematol.*, 32: 37-43.
- Noel, G. R. 1990. Evaluation of thuringiensin for conrrol of Helerodera glycines on soybean. *Suppl. J. Nemawl.* 22(4S): 763-766
- O'Sullivan, D.J.and O'Gara, F., 1992. Traits of fluorescent Pseudomonas sp. involved in suppression of plant root pathogens. *Microbiological Review.*, 56, 662-667.
- Oka, Y.J., Chet, I.and Spiegel, Y. 1993. Control of the rootknot nematode *Meloidogyne javanica* by *Bacillus cereus*. *Biocontrol Sci Techn.*, 3: 115-126.
- Ongena, M. and Jacques, P.2008.Bacillus lipopeptides: versatile weapons for plaant disease biocontrol.Trends Microbiol., 16:115-125.
- Oostendorp, M. and Sikora, R. 1990. *In vitro* interrelationship between rhizosphere bacteria and *Heterodera schachtii*. *Rev. Nematol.*, 13: 269-274.
- Oostendorp, M. and Sikora, R. A. 1986. Seed treatment with antagonistic rhizobacteria for the suppression of *Heterodera schachtii early root infection* of sugarbeets. *Rev. Nematol.*, 9: 304.
- Oostendorp, M.and Sikora, R.A.1989. Seed treatment with antagonistic rhizobacteria for the suppression of Heterodera schachtii early root infection of sugar beet. *Rev.Nematol.*, 12:77-83.
- Padgham, J. and Sikora, R.A.2007. Biological control potential and modes of action of *Bacillus megaterium* against *Meloidogyne graminicola* on rice. *Crop Protection*, 26(7):971-977
- Perry, R.N. 1996. Chemoreception in plant parasitic nematodes. *Ann Rev Phytopathol.*, 34:181-199.
- Pieterse, C.M., Van Wees, S.C.M., Ton, J., Van Pelt, J.A.and Van Loon, L.C. 2002. Signaling in rhizobacteria induced systemic resistance in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *Plant Biol.*, 4:535-54
- Prasad, S. S. V., K. V. B. R. Tilak, and K. G. Gollakota, 1972. Role of *Bacillus thuringiensis* var. thuringiensis on the larval survivability and egg hatching of *Meloidogyne* spp., the causative agent of root-knot disease. *J Inverte Pathol.*, 20:377-378
- Preston, J., Dickson, D., Maruniak, J., Nong, G., and Brito, J. 2003. *Pasteuria* spp.: systematics and phylogeny of these bacterial parasites of phytopathogenic nematodes. *J. Nematol.*, 35:198
- Qaiser, J., JeongYong, Cho., Jae-Hak, M., Shahzad, M., Muhammad, A. and Kil Yong, K. 2017. Identification for the first time of Cyclo (d-Pro-1-Leu) produced by *Bacillus*

amyloliquefaciens Y1 as a nematocide for control of *Meloidogyne incognita*, *Molecules*, 22(11): 1839 doi: 10.3390 / molecules 22111839

- Qin S., Xing K., Jiang J. H., Xu L. H., Li W. J. (2011). Biodiversity, bioactive natural products and biotechnological potential of plant-associated endophytic actinobacteria. Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol. 89 457-473
- Qiuhong, N., Xiaowei, H., Baoyu, T., Jinkui, Y., Jiang, L. 2006. *Bacillus* sp. B16 kills nematodes with a serine protease identified as a pathogenic factor. *Appl Microbiol Biotechnol*, 69: 722-730.
- Racke, J. and Sikora, R.A.1992. Influence of the plant healthpromoting rhizobacteria Agrobacterium radiobacter and Bacillus sphaericus on Globodera pallida root infection of potato and subsequent plant growth. Journal of Phytopathology, 134: 198-208.
- Radic, N. and Strukelj, B. 2012. Review: Endophytic fungi-The treasure chest of antibacterial substances. *Phytomedicine*, 19:1270-1284.
- Ramamoorthy, V., Viswanathan, R., Raguchander, T., Prakasam, V. and Samayapan, R. 2001. Induction of systemic resistance by plant growth promoting rhizobacteria in crop plants against pest and diseases. *Crop Protection.*, 20:1-11.
- Ramazan, C., Mustafa, K., and Shirkoo, A. F., 2018. Effect of some plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria strains on rootknot nematode, *Meloidogyne incognita*, on tomatoes. *Egyptian Journal of Biological Pest Control*, 28: 7, DOI 10.1186/s41938-017-0008-x
- Reitz, M., Rudolph, K., Schroder, I., Hoffmann, S.H., Halimann, I. and Sikora, R. A. 2000. Lipopolysaccharides of *Rhizobium etli* strain G12 act in roots as an Inducing agent of systemicresistance to infection by the cyst nematode *Globodera pallida*. Applied and *Environ Microbiol.*, 66(8):3515-3518

Richard A. Sikora

- Richard A. Sikora
- Rosenblueth, M. and Martinez Romero, E.2006. Bacterial endophytes and their interactions with hosts. *Mol Plant Microbe Interact.*, 19(8):827-37.
- Ryan, R.P., Germaine, K., Franks, A., Ryan, D.J. and Dowling, D.N.2008. Bacterial endophytes: recent developments and applications. *FEMS Microbiol Lett.*, 278(1):1-9.
- Ryu, C.M, Farag, M.A., Hu, C.H.2004. Bacterial volatiles induce systemic resistance in *Arabidopsis*. *Plant Physiol.*, 134(3):1017-1026
- Saharan, B.S., and V. Nehra. 2011. Plant growth promoting rhizobacteria: A critical review. *Life Science and Medicine Research*, 21:1-30.
- Santhi, A. and Sivakumar, C. V. 1995. Biocontrol potential of *Pseudomonas fluorescens* (Migula) against root knot nematode, *Meloidogyne incognita* (Kofoid and White, 1919, Chitwood, 1949) on tomato. *J.Bio Control*, 9: 113-115.
- Sasser, J. N. and Freckman, D. W. 1987. A world perspective on nematology: the role of the society, In: Veech, J, A, and Dickson, D. W. (Eds), Vistas on Nematology: A Commemoration of the Twenty-Fifth Anniversary of the Society of Nematologists, pp. 7-14.
- Sasser, J.N. and Carter, C.C (eds).1985. In: An Advance Treatise on Meloidogyne.Vol.1.Biology and Control.North Carolina State University Graphics.pp.422.
- Sasser, J.N. 1989. *Plant-parasitic nematodes: the farmer's hidden enemy*. Raleigh, NC, USA, North Carolina State University Graphics. 115 pp.

- Sayre, R. M. and Starr, M. P. 1988. Bacteria disease and antagonists of nematode pp.69-101. In G. O. Poinor and H. S. Janson eds. Diseases of Nematode Vol. 1 CRC Press Boca Raton Florida.
- Sayre, R. M. and Wergin, W. P. 1977. Bacterial parasites of plant nematodes: morphology and ultrastructure. J. Bact, . 129:1091-1101.
- Schisler, D.A., Slininger, P.J., Behle, R.W. and Jackson, M.A.2004.Formulation of *Bacillus* spp. for biological control of plant diseases. *Phytopathology.*, 94:1267-1271.
- Sharma, R.D. and L.J. Vivaldi. 1999. Control of Meloidogyne javanica by Pasteuria penetrans. Pesquisa Agropecuaria Brasileira, 34(11): 2065-2069.
- Siahpoush, S., Sahebani, N. & Aminian, H. 2011. Change of some defense compounds of cucumber treated with Bacillus cereus and salicylic acid against Meloidogyne javanica. *African J. Plant Sci*, 5: 829-834.
- Siddiqui, I. A. 2002. Suppression of *Meloidogyne javanica* by *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* and *Bacillus subtilis* in tomato. *Nematol. Mediterr*, 30: 125-130.
- Siddiqui, I. A., Haas, D. and Heeb, S., 2005. Extracellular protease of *Pseudomonas fluorescens* CHA0, a biocontrol factor with activity against the root-knot nematode *Meloidogyne incognita. Appl. Envi. Microbiol.*, 71(9):5646-5649.
- Siddiqui, I. A., Shamim, A. Q., Sultana, V., Ehteshamul Haque, E. and Ghaffar, A. 2000. Biological control of root rot and root knot disease complex of tomato. *Pl. Soil.* 227.
- Siddiqui, I. A., Shaukat S. S. and Hamid, M. 2003. Suppression of *Meliodogyne incognita* by *Pseudomonas fluorescens* strain HAO and its genetically modified derivatives the influence of oxygen. *Nematologia Mediterranea*, 31: 105-109
- Siddiqui, I.A. and Shahid, S.S. 2003. Suppression of root-knot disease by *Pseudomonas fluorescens* CHA0 in tomato: importance of bacterial secondary metabolite, 2, 4-diacetylpholoroglucinol. *Soil Biol Biochem.*, 35.
- Siddiqui, I.A. and Shaukat, S.S. 2002. Rhizobacteria-mediated induction of systemic resistance (ISR) in tomato against *Meloidogyne javanica*. J. Phytopathol., 150:469-473
- Siddiqui, I.A. and Shaukat, S.S. 2005.Phenylacetic acid producing *Rhizoctonia solani* represses the biosynthesis of nematicidal compounds in vitro and influences biocontrol of *Meloidogyne incognita* in tomato by *Pseudomonas fluorescens* strain CHA0 and its GM devivatives. J Appl Microbiol., 98:43-55.
- Siddiqui, I.A. and Shaukat, S.S. 2004. Systemic resistance in tomato induced by biocontrol bacteria against the root-knot nematode, *Meloidogyne javanica* is independent of salicylic acid production. J. Phytopathol., 152 (1):48-54.
- Siddiqui, I.A., Zareen, A., Shauket, S.S. and Zaki, M.J.2001. Evaluation of Rhizobia for control of *Meloidogyne javanica* in *Vigna mungo*. *Pakistan J. Biological Sci.*, 4 (9): 1124-1125.
- Siddiqui, L.A. and Ehteshamul-Haque, S., 2000.Use of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* for the control of root rot-root knot disease complex in tomato. *Nematol. Mediterr.*, 28: 189-192.
- Siddiqui, Z. A. and Mahmood, I. 2006. Role of bacteria in the management of plant parasitic nematodes, *A review*. *Bioresour Technol.*, 69: 167-179.
- Siddiqui, Z.. Baghel A., G and Akhtar, M. S. 2007. Biocontrol of *Meliodogyne javanica* by *Rhizobium* and plant growthpromoting rhizobacteria on lentil. *Phytoparasitica*, 23: 435-441

- Siddiqui, Z.A. and Mahmood, I.1999. Role of bacteria in the management of plant parasitic nematodes: A review. *Bioresour Technol.*, 69(2):167-179
- Siddiqui, Z.A., 2005. PGPR: Prospective Biocontrol Agents of Plant Pathogens. In: PGPR: Biocontrol and Biofertilization, Siddiqui, Z.A. (Ed.). Springer, Dordrecht, The Netherlands, pp: 111-142.
- Siddiqui, I.A., Shaukat, S., Sheikh, I.H., and Khan, A. 2006.Role of cyanide production by Pseudomonas fluorescens CHA0 in the suppression of root-knot nematode, Meloidogyne javanica in tomato. World Journal of Microbiology and Biotechnology 22 (6): 641-650.
- Sikora, R. A. 2001. Use of mutualistic fungal endophytes for biological enhancement of tissue culture derived planting material for the control of fungal wilt and plant parasitic nematodes on banana. Joint Meeting of the American Phytopathological Society, the Mycological Society of America and the Society of Nematologists, Salt Lake City, Utah, USA, Phytopathology, S82
- Sikora, R. A. and Hoffmann-Hergarten, S. 1993. Biological control of plant parasitic nematodes with plant-health promoting rhizobacteria. Pest management: biologically based technologies: Proceedings of Beltsville Symposium XVIII[C]. Agricultural Research service US Department of Ariculture, Beltsville, Maryland. 166-172
- Sikora, R. A. and Hoftmann-Hergarten, S. 1992. Importance of plant health promoting rhizobacteria for the control of soilborne fungal diseases and plant parasitic nematode. *Arab J. Pl. Prot.*, 10:48-53.
- Sikora, R.A. 1988. Interrelationship between plant health promoting bacteria, plant parasitic nematodes and soil microorganisms. Med Fac Landbouww Rijks University Gent 53: 867-878.
- Sikora, R.A. 1992. Management of the antagonistic potential in agriculture ecosystems for the biological control of plant parasitic nematodes. *Annu Rev Phytopathol.*, 30: 245-270
- Sikora, R.A., Schafer, K., Dababat, A.A. 2007. Modes of action associated with microbially induced in plant a suppression of plant-parasitic nematodes. *Aust Plant Pathol*, 36(2):20-134
- Spiegel Y, Cohn E, Galper S, Sharon E & Chet I .1991. Evaluation of a newly isolated bacterium, *Pseudomonas chitinolytica* sp. nov., for controlling the root-knot nematode *Meloidogyne javanica*. *Biocontrol Sci Technol*, 1: 115-125.
- Stirling, G. R. 1991. Biological control of plant parasitic nematodes. Wallingford, Oxfordshire, UK: CAB International.
- Sturz, A. V., Christie, H. R. and Nowak, J. 2000. Bacterial endophytes: Potential role in developing sustainable systems of crop production. *Critical Reviews in Plant Sciences*, 19: 1-30.
- Swarnakumari, N., Lakshmanan, P.L., Samiyappan, R. 1999. Screening of different isolates of *Pseudomonas fluorescens* against rice-root nematode, *Hirschmanniella oryzae*. Proceedings of the International Seminar on Integrated Pest Management. held at Hyderabad, India, Pp. 102.
- Tian B, Yang J.and Zhang, K.Q. 2007 Bacteria used in the biological control of plant-parasitic nematodes: populations, mechanisms of action, and future prospects. *FEMS Microbiol Ecol.*, 61(2):197-213.
- Tian, H., Riggs, R.D. and Crippen, D.L. 2000. Control of soybean cyst nematode by chitinolytic bacteria with chitin substrate. *J Nematol*, 32: 370-376.

- Van Loon, L.C., Bakker, P.A.H.M.and Pieterse, C.M.J. 1998. Systemic resistance induced by rhizosphere bacteria. *Ann Rev Phytopathol.*, 36:453-483.
- Viaene, N., Coyne, D.L. and Kerry, B.R.2006. Biological and cultural management. In: Perry RN, Moens M (Eds.) Plant Nematology.CABI, Wallingford, UK, PP.347-369.
- Waele, D., Leyns, D., Arnaut, F. and Borgonie, G. 1995.Current status of the research on the nematicidal activity of *Bacillus thuringiensis* isolates. 3rd Annual General Meeting of the EC-AIR Concerted Action & quot; Resistance Mechanisms against Plant-Parasitic Nematodes and quot.
- Wei G, Kloepper JW, Tuzan S. 1996. Induced systemic resistance to cucumber diseases and increased plant growth by plant growth promoting rhizobacteria under field conditions. *Phytopathology*, 86(2):221-224;
- Wei, J..Z., Hale, K., Carta, L., Platzer, E. and Wong, C. 2003. Bacillus thuringiensis crystal proteins that target nematodes. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA, 100:2760-65.
- Wei, L.H., Xu, Q.Y., Wei, B.Q., Wang, Y.M.and Li, S.M. 2010. Screening of antagonistic bacterial strains against *Meloidogyne incognita* using protease activity. *Biocontrol Sci Techn.*, 20: 739-750.
- Welbaum, G. E., Sturz, A.V., Dong, Z. and Nowak, J. 2004.Managing soil microorganisms to improve productivity of Agro ecosystems. *Critical Reviews in Plant Sciences*, 23(2):175-193
- Weller, D. M., 1988. Biological control of soil borne pathogens in the rhizosphere with bacteria. Ann. Rev. of Phytopathol., 26:379-407.
- Weller, D. M., and Thomashow, L. S.1993. Use of rhizobacteria for biocontrol. *Current Opinions in Biotechnology*, 4:306-311.
- Wescott, S. W., and Kluepfel, D. A.1993.Inhibition of Criconemella xenoplax egg hatch by Pseudomonas aureofaciens. Phytopathology, 83:1245-1249.

- Wilson, M and Jackson, T.A. 2013. Progress in the commercialisation of bionematicides. *BioControl*, 58(6): 715-722
- Xia, Y.F., Xie, S.S., Ma, X., Wu, H.J.and Wang, X. 2011. The *purL* gene of *Bacillus subtilis* is associated with nematicidal activity. *FEMS Microbiol Lett.*, 322: 99-107.
- Yile, Z., Zongze, S., Minmin, C., Longyu, Z., Guangyu, L., Dian, H., Wanli, C., Linda, S., ThomA, David, M. W., Ziniu, Y., Jibin, Z.2018. Multiple modes of nematode control by volatiles of *Pseudomonas putida* 1A00316 from Antarctic soil against *Meloidogyne incognita*. Front. Microbiol., 23 February 2018 | https:// doi.org/ 10.3389/ fmicb. 2018. 00253
- Ying, H., Chuankun, X., Li, M., Keqin, Z., Chang, Q. D. and Ming, M., 2010, Characterisation of volatiles produced from *Bacillus megaterium* YFM325 and their nematicidal activity against *Meloidogyne incognita*. *Europian J. Pl.Pathol.*, 126:417-422.
- Youssef MMA, Eissa MFM, 2014. Biofertilizers and their role in management of plant parasitic nematodes. A review. *E3 J. Biotechnol. Pharm.*, *Res.*, 5: 1:001-006.;
- Yu, H., L. Zhang, L. Li, C. Zheng and L. Guo 2010. Recent developments and future prospects of antimicrobial metabolites produced by endophytes. *Microbiol. Res.*, 165: 437-449.
- Zhang, X., Huang, Y., Harvey, P.R., Ren, Y., Zhang, G., Zhou, H. and Yang, H. 2012. Enhancing plant disease suppression by *Burkholderia vietnamiensis* through chromosomal integration of *Bacillus subtilis* chitinase gene *chil13 Biotechnol. Lett.*, 34 (2): 287-293
- Zukerman, B. M., Dicklow, M.B. and Acosta, N.1993. A strain of *Bacillus thuringiensis* for the control of plant-parasitic nematodes. *Biocontrol Sci. Technol.*, 3 (1), 41-46.
