



International Journal of Current Research Vol. 13, Issue, 01, pp. 15842-15845, January, 2021

DOI: https://doi.org/10.24941/ijcr.40513.01.2021

RESEARCH ARTICLE

NEUROFIBROMATOSIS TYPE 1: FAMILIAL CASE SERIES AND REVIEW

¹Dr. Shilpa Parikh, ²Dr. Sheetal Sharma, ³Dr. Mahalaxmi Panda and ⁴Dr. Jigna. S. Shah

¹Professor in Dept of OMR-GDC Ahmedabad; ²Senior Resident in Dept of OMR-AIIMS-New Delhi; ³Resident in Dept of OMR-GDC Ahmedabad; ⁴Professor and Head in Dept of OMR-GDC Ahmedabad

ARTICLE INFO

Article History: Received 20th October, 2020 Received in revised form 12th November, 2020 Accepted 18th December, 2020 Published online 30th January, 2021

Key Words:

Neurofibromatosis, Nf1, Von Recklinghausen.

ABSTRACT

The neurofibromatosis type 1 (NF1) is inherited as an autosomal dominant trait, therefore, is a hereditary condition. At least eight forms of neurofibromatosis have been recognized, but the most common is the (NF1), with a prevalence of 1:2200 to 3000 births. The signs and symptoms of this condition vary widely among affected people. The most common sign on the skin is multiple neurofibromas. Bone lesions, cardiovascular and neurological abnormalities are other manifestations of this disease. Oral manifestations may occur in this disease as high as 72% to 92% of all cases. The most commonly affected sites are the tongue and buccal mucous. Oral radiographic findings include an enlarged mandibular canal, mandibular foramen, and mental foramen. NF1 is diagnosed in an individual with two or more of the following signs: cafe au lait macules, two or more neurofibromas of any type or a single plexiform neurofibroma freckling in the axillary or inguinal region and optic glioma. Proper diagnosis and management of patients with neurofibromatosis should be carried out. A multidisciplinary approach should be carried out for the proper planning of the treatment plans. The aim of this paper was to report the clinical, radiographic orofacial characteristics observed in 4 famalies patients diagnosed with NF1.

Copyright © 2021, Shilpa Parikh et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Citation: Dr. Shilpa Parikh, Dr. Sheetal Sharma, Dr. Mahalaxmi Panda and Dr. Jigna. S. Shah. "Neurofibromatosis type 1: familial case series and review", International Journal of Current Research, 13, (01), 15842-15845.

INTRODUCTION

Neurofibromatosis (NF) is referred to a group of genetic disorders that primarily affect the cell growth of neural tissues. This disease was first described by Friederich Daniel Von Recklinghausen, in 1882. (1) There are two types of NF -Type 1 (NF1) and Type 2 (NF2) both of them share few common features and are caused by mutations on different gene. (1,2) Neurofibromatosis type 1, also known as Von Recklinghausen's disease, is a neurodermal dysplasia. It is an autosomal dominant disease caused by spectrum of mutations that affect the NF1 gene located at the 17q11.2 chromosome. It is the most common type of NF and is estimated to occur in 90% of all cases, (3, 4) with prevalence of one in 3,000 births. (1,3) There is no gender or racial predilection.. Only 50% of patients have positive family history. The expressivity of the disease is extremely variable, with manifestations ranging from mild lesions to several complications and functional impairment. The penetration is otherwise 100%. (1, 2) The diagnostic criteria for NF1 is as follows; the patient should have two or more of the following: (1) Six or more cafe Â-aulait spots 1.5 cm or larger in post-pubertal individuals and 0.5 cm or larger in pre-pubertal

individuals (2) Two or more NF of any type or one or more plexiform NF (3) Axillary or groin freckling (4) Two or more Lisch nodules (bening melanotic iris hamartomas) (5) Optic glioma (6) A distinctive bony lesion- dysplaia of sphenoid bone, dysplasia/thinning of cortex of long bones.(7) A first degree relative with NF1.(3-6) Neurofibroma, a benign nerve sheath neoplasm that is the predominant feature of NF1, is frequently found in the head and neck and can appear as a solitary or generalized, peripheral or central lesion. Clinically, they present as slow growing masses that produce deformities of the anatomical structures and may displace the adjacent teeth (5, 6) Oral manifestations may occur in this disease as high as 72% to 92% of all cases, especially if a detailed clinical and radiographic examination is performed. (5, 6) The most common location of peripheral neurofibromas within the oral cavity is the tongue, often causing macroglossia. (1,4,5,6) Radiographical characteristics include enlargement and/or ramification of the mandibular canal, thinning and concavity of the ramus, enlarged and lower mandibular foramen, increased coronoid notch, increased bone density, decreased mandibular angle, notching of the inferior border of the mandible, and hypoplastic coronoid processes and condyles. (2,3) Finally, the most fearsome complication of NF1 is the increased risk of malignant tumors; the most common NF1associated malignancy is the neurofibrosarcoma that has also been reported to occur in the oral cavity (3,5,6,7).

CASE 1	CASE 2	CASE 3	CASE 4
A 24 year old patient reported to the	A 21 year old female patient reported	A 43 year old female patient had	A 50 year old male patient had
Department of Oral Medicine and	with complaint of pain in teeth since 2	complaint of pain in teeth since 8	complaint of missing teeth
Radiology with the chief complaint of	months. On general examination, she	days	complaint of missing teem
restricted mouth opening since 2 years.	was moderately built and nourished,	days	
restricted mouth opening since 2 years.	had short stature with mild mental		
	retardation.		
HISTORY	HISTORY	HISTORY	HISTORY
Positive family history was found	Her mother also had similar nodules on	Positive family history was	Positive family history was
regarding NF	entire body present since childhood.	elicited with her offsprings (a son	elicited with his mother, sister and
His maternal uncle had similar findings of	entire body present since cinidiood.	and a daughter) having similar	daughter) having similar lesions
numerous nodules in entire body and		lesions	daughter) having similar resions
plexiform pattern of growth in right chest.		icsions	
GENERAL EXAMINATION	GENERAL EXAMINATION	GENERAL EXAMINATION	GENERAL EXAMINATION
Well defined, irregular, scar tissue	Frontal bossing, telecanthus and square	she was moderately built and	Nodules were present all over the
measuring about 5x3 cm in diameter at	shaped face were noted. Round to oval	nourished with presence of	body which started in childhood.
the region of right side of neck was noted.	shaped nodules with smooth surface	multiple soft tissue cutaneous	They were round to oval in shape
Patient had kyphotic deformity.	were present in entire body which was	nodules and café au lait	and of size varying from
Nodules were present all over the body	present since birth. On palpation they	pigmentations (coffee with milk)	millimeters to centimeters, with a
which started in childhood. They were	were sessile, soft to firm and non-	on the body. Axillary freckling	smooth surface, skin over the
round to oval in shape and of size varying	tender. (FIG 1)	was evident.(FIG 2)	nodules being normal.
from millimeters to centimeters, with a	,	,	On palpation they were sessile,
smooth surface, skin over the nodules			soft to firm and non-tender.
being normal.			Café au lait pigmentations were
On palpation they were sessile, soft to			evident at neck, chest, abdomen,
firm and non-tender.			left upper arm, upper back and
Café au lait pigmentations were evident at			waist region.(FIG 3)
neck, chest, abdomen, left upper arm,			
unner back and waist region			
upper back and waist region.			
upper back and waist region.			
INTRAORAL EXAMINATION	INTRAORAL EXAMINATION	INTRAORAL EXAMINATION	INTRAORAL EXAMINATION
INTRAORAL EXAMINATION multiple nodules were present on hard	macroglossia with multiple nodules on	INTRAORAL EXAMINATION Not significant	INTRAORAL EXAMINATION Not significant
INTRAORAL EXAMINATION multiple nodules were present on hard palate and tongue with enlargement of	macroglossia with multiple nodules on right and left lateral borders of tongue		
INTRAORAL EXAMINATION multiple nodules were present on hard palate and tongue with enlargement of fungiform papillae.(FIG 4)	macroglossia with multiple nodules on right and left lateral borders of tongue and carious 36 47 teeth.(FIG 5)	Not significant	Not significant
INTRAORAL EXAMINATION multiple nodules were present on hard palate and tongue with enlargement of	macroglossia with multiple nodules on right and left lateral borders of tongue and carious 36 47 teeth.(FIG 5) RADIOGRAPHIC	Not significant RADIOGRAPHIC	Not significant RADIOGRAPHIC
INTRAORAL EXAMINATION multiple nodules were present on hard palate and tongue with enlargement of fungiform papillae.(FIG 4) RADIOGRAPHIC PRESENTATION	macroglossia with multiple nodules on right and left lateral borders of tongue and carious 36 47 teeth.(FIG 5) RADIOGRAPHIC PRESENTATION	Not significant RADIOGRAPHIC PRESENTATION	Not significant RADIOGRAPHIC PRESENTATION
INTRAORAL EXAMINATION multiple nodules were present on hard palate and tongue with enlargement of fungiform papillae.(FIG 4) RADIOGRAPHIC PRESENTATION enlarged mandibular canal and	macroglossia with multiple nodules on right and left lateral borders of tongue and carious 36 47 teeth.(FIG 5) RADIOGRAPHIC	Not significant RADIOGRAPHIC	Not significant RADIOGRAPHIC PRESENTATION Enlarged mandibular canal and
INTRAORAL EXAMINATION multiple nodules were present on hard palate and tongue with enlargement of fungiform papillae.(FIG 4) RADIOGRAPHIC PRESENTATION enlarged mandibular canal and mandibular foramen, increased coronoid	macroglossia with multiple nodules on right and left lateral borders of tongue and carious 36 47 teeth.(FIG 5) RADIOGRAPHIC PRESENTATION	Not significant RADIOGRAPHIC PRESENTATION	Not significant RADIOGRAPHIC PRESENTATION
INTRAORAL EXAMINATION multiple nodules were present on hard palate and tongue with enlargement of fungiform papillae.(FIG 4) RADIOGRAPHIC PRESENTATION enlarged mandibular canal and mandibular foramen, increased coronoid notch, increased bone density, decreased	macroglossia with multiple nodules on right and left lateral borders of tongue and carious 36 47 teeth.(FIG 5) RADIOGRAPHIC PRESENTATION	Not significant RADIOGRAPHIC PRESENTATION	Not significant RADIOGRAPHIC PRESENTATION Enlarged mandibular canal and
INTRAORAL EXAMINATION multiple nodules were present on hard palate and tongue with enlargement of fungiform papillae.(FIG 4) RADIOGRAPHIC PRESENTATION enlarged mandibular canal and mandibular foramen, increased coronoid notch, increased bone density, decreased mandibular angle, notching of the inferior	macroglossia with multiple nodules on right and left lateral borders of tongue and carious 36 47 teeth.(FIG 5) RADIOGRAPHIC PRESENTATION	Not significant RADIOGRAPHIC PRESENTATION	Not significant RADIOGRAPHIC PRESENTATION Enlarged mandibular canal and
INTRAORAL EXAMINATION multiple nodules were present on hard palate and tongue with enlargement of fungiform papillae.(FIG 4) RADIOGRAPHIC PRESENTATION enlarged mandibular canal and mandibular foramen, increased coronoid notch, increased bone density, decreased mandibular angle, notching of the inferior border of the mandible	macroglossia with multiple nodules on right and left lateral borders of tongue and carious 36 47 teeth.(FIG 5) RADIOGRAPHIC PRESENTATION Not significant	Not significant RADIOGRAPHIC PRESENTATION Not significant	RADIOGRAPHIC PRESENTATION Enlarged mandibular canal and mandibular foramen.(FIG-6)
INTRAORAL EXAMINATION multiple nodules were present on hard palate and tongue with enlargement of fungiform papillae.(FIG 4) RADIOGRAPHIC PRESENTATION enlarged mandibular canal and mandibular foramen, increased coronoid notch, increased bone density, decreased mandibular angle, notching of the inferior border of the mandible DIAGNOSTIC CRITERIA	macroglossia with multiple nodules on right and left lateral borders of tongue and carious 36 47 teeth.(FIG 5) RADIOGRAPHIC PRESENTATION Not significant DIAGNOSTIC CRITERIA	Not significant RADIOGRAPHIC PRESENTATION Not significant DIAGNOSTIC CRITERIA	RADIOGRAPHIC PRESENTATION Enlarged mandibular canal and mandibular foramen.(FIG-6) DIAGNOSTIC CRITERIA
INTRAORAL EXAMINATION multiple nodules were present on hard palate and tongue with enlargement of fungiform papillae.(FIG 4) RADIOGRAPHIC PRESENTATION enlarged mandibular canal and mandibular foramen, increased coronoid notch, increased bone density, decreased mandibular angle, notching of the inferior border of the mandible DIAGNOSTIC CRITERIA Six or more cafe Â-au-lait spots	macroglossia with multiple nodules on right and left lateral borders of tongue and carious 36 47 teeth.(FIG 5) RADIOGRAPHIC PRESENTATION Not significant DIAGNOSTIC CRITERIA Six or more cafe Â-au-lait spots	Not significant RADIOGRAPHIC PRESENTATION Not significant DIAGNOSTIC CRITERIA Six or more cafe Â-au-lait	Not significant RADIOGRAPHIC PRESENTATION Enlarged mandibular canal and mandibular foramen.(FIG-6) DIAGNOSTIC CRITERIA Six or more cafe Â-au-lait Two or
INTRAORAL EXAMINATION multiple nodules were present on hard palate and tongue with enlargement of fungiform papillae.(FIG 4) RADIOGRAPHIC PRESENTATION enlarged mandibular canal and mandibular foramen, increased coronoid notch, increased bone density, decreased mandibular angle, notching of the inferior border of the mandible DIAGNOSTIC CRITERIA Six or more cafe Â-au-lait spots Two or more NF of any type or one or	macroglossia with multiple nodules on right and left lateral borders of tongue and carious 36 47 teeth.(FIG 5) RADIOGRAPHIC PRESENTATION Not significant DIAGNOSTIC CRITERIA Six or more cafe Â-au-lait spots Two or more NF of any type or one or	Not significant RADIOGRAPHIC PRESENTATION Not significant DIAGNOSTIC CRITERIA Six or more cafe Â-au-lait Two or more NF of any type or	RADIOGRAPHIC PRESENTATION Enlarged mandibular canal and mandibular foramen.(FIG-6) DIAGNOSTIC CRITERIA Six or more cafe Â-au-lait Two or more NF of any type or one or
INTRAORAL EXAMINATION multiple nodules were present on hard palate and tongue with enlargement of fungiform papillae.(FIG 4) RADIOGRAPHIC PRESENTATION enlarged mandibular canal and mandibular foramen, increased coronoid notch, increased bone density, decreased mandibular angle, notching of the inferior border of the mandible DIAGNOSTIC CRITERIA Six or more cafe Â-au-lait spots Two or more NF of any type or one or more plexiform NF	macroglossia with multiple nodules on right and left lateral borders of tongue and carious 36 47 teeth.(FIG 5) RADIOGRAPHIC PRESENTATION Not significant DIAGNOSTIC CRITERIA Six or more cafe Â-au-lait spots Two or more NF of any type or one or more plexiform NF	Not significant RADIOGRAPHIC PRESENTATION Not significant DIAGNOSTIC CRITERIA Six or more cafe Â-au-lait Two or more NF of any type or one or more plexiform NF	RADIOGRAPHIC PRESENTATION Enlarged mandibular canal and mandibular foramen.(FIG-6) DIAGNOSTIC CRITERIA Six or more cafe Â-au-lait Two or more NF of any type or one or more plexiform NF
INTRAORAL EXAMINATION multiple nodules were present on hard palate and tongue with enlargement of fungiform papillae.(FIG 4) RADIOGRAPHIC PRESENTATION enlarged mandibular canal and mandibular foramen, increased coronoid notch, increased bone density, decreased mandibular angle, notching of the inferior border of the mandible DIAGNOSTIC CRITERIA Six or more cafe Â-au-lait spots Two or more NF of any type or one or more plexiform NF Axillary or groin freckling	macroglossia with multiple nodules on right and left lateral borders of tongue and carious 36 47 teeth.(FIG 5) RADIOGRAPHIC PRESENTATION Not significant DIAGNOSTIC CRITERIA Six or more cafe Â-au-lait spots Two or more NF of any type or one or more plexiform NF Axillary or groin freckling	Not significant RADIOGRAPHIC PRESENTATION Not significant DIAGNOSTIC CRITERIA Six or more cafe Â-au-lait Two or more NF of any type or one or more plexiform NF Axillary or groin freckling	RADIOGRAPHIC PRESENTATION Enlarged mandibular canal and mandibular foramen.(FIG-6) DIAGNOSTIC CRITERIA Six or more cafe Â-au-lait Two or more NF of any type or one or more plexiform NF Axillary or groin freckling
INTRAORAL EXAMINATION multiple nodules were present on hard palate and tongue with enlargement of fungiform papillae.(FIG 4) RADIOGRAPHIC PRESENTATION enlarged mandibular canal and mandibular foramen, increased coronoid notch, increased bone density, decreased mandibular angle, notching of the inferior border of the mandible DIAGNOSTIC CRITERIA Six or more cafe Â-au-lait spots Two or more NF of any type or one or more plexiform NF Axillary or groin freckling Two or more Lisch nodules	macroglossia with multiple nodules on right and left lateral borders of tongue and carious 36 47 teeth.(FIG 5) RADIOGRAPHIC PRESENTATION Not significant DIAGNOSTIC CRITERIA Six or more cafe Â-au-lait spots Two or more NF of any type or one or more plexiform NF Axillary or groin freckling A first degree relative with NF1	Not significant RADIOGRAPHIC PRESENTATION Not significant DIAGNOSTIC CRITERIA Six or more cafe Â-au-lait Two or more NF of any type or one or more plexiform NF	RADIOGRAPHIC PRESENTATION Enlarged mandibular canal and mandibular foramen.(FIG-6) DIAGNOSTIC CRITERIA Six or more cafe Â-au-lait Two or more NF of any type or one or more plexiform NF Axillary or groin freckling A distinctive bony lesion-
INTRAORAL EXAMINATION multiple nodules were present on hard palate and tongue with enlargement of fungiform papillae.(FIG 4) RADIOGRAPHIC PRESENTATION enlarged mandibular canal and mandibular foramen, increased coronoid notch, increased bone density, decreased mandibular angle, notching of the inferior border of the mandible DIAGNOSTIC CRITERIA Six or more cafe Â-au-lait spots Two or more NF of any type or one or more plexiform NF Axillary or groin freckling Two or more Lisch nodules A distinctive bony lesion- dysplaia of	macroglossia with multiple nodules on right and left lateral borders of tongue and carious 36 47 teeth.(FIG 5) RADIOGRAPHIC PRESENTATION Not significant DIAGNOSTIC CRITERIA Six or more cafe Â-au-lait spots Two or more NF of any type or one or more plexiform NF Axillary or groin freckling A first degree relative with NF1	Not significant RADIOGRAPHIC PRESENTATION Not significant DIAGNOSTIC CRITERIA Six or more cafe Â-au-lait Two or more NF of any type or one or more plexiform NF Axillary or groin freckling	RADIOGRAPHIC PRESENTATION Enlarged mandibular canal and mandibular foramen.(FIG-6) DIAGNOSTIC CRITERIA Six or more cafe Â-au-lait Two or more NF of any type or one or more plexiform NF Axillary or groin freckling A distinctive bony lesion-dysplaia of sphenoid bone,
INTRAORAL EXAMINATION multiple nodules were present on hard palate and tongue with enlargement of fungiform papillae.(FIG 4) RADIOGRAPHIC PRESENTATION enlarged mandibular canal and mandibular foramen, increased coronoid notch, increased bone density, decreased mandibular angle, notching of the inferior border of the mandible DIAGNOSTIC CRITERIA Six or more cafe Â-au-lait spots Two or more NF of any type or one or more plexiform NF Axillary or groin freckling Two or more Lisch nodules A distinctive bony lesion- dysplaia of sphenoid bone, dysplasia/thinning of	macroglossia with multiple nodules on right and left lateral borders of tongue and carious 36 47 teeth.(FIG 5) RADIOGRAPHIC PRESENTATION Not significant DIAGNOSTIC CRITERIA Six or more cafe Â-au-lait spots Two or more NF of any type or one or more plexiform NF Axillary or groin freckling A first degree relative with NF1	Not significant RADIOGRAPHIC PRESENTATION Not significant DIAGNOSTIC CRITERIA Six or more cafe Â-au-lait Two or more NF of any type or one or more plexiform NF Axillary or groin freckling	RADIOGRAPHIC PRESENTATION Enlarged mandibular canal and mandibular foramen.(FIG-6) DIAGNOSTIC CRITERIA Six or more cafe Â-au-lait Two or more NF of any type or one or more plexiform NF Axillary or groin freckling A distinctive bony lesion-dysplaia of sphenoid bone, dysplasia/thinning of cortex of
INTRAORAL EXAMINATION multiple nodules were present on hard palate and tongue with enlargement of fungiform papillae.(FIG 4) RADIOGRAPHIC PRESENTATION enlarged mandibular canal and mandibular foramen, increased coronoid notch, increased bone density, decreased mandibular angle, notching of the inferior border of the mandible DIAGNOSTIC CRITERIA Six or more cafe Â-au-lait spots Two or more NF of any type or one or more plexiform NF Axillary or groin freckling Two or more Lisch nodules A distinctive bony lesion- dysplaia of sphenoid bone, dysplasia/thinning of cortex of long bones.	macroglossia with multiple nodules on right and left lateral borders of tongue and carious 36 47 teeth.(FIG 5) RADIOGRAPHIC PRESENTATION Not significant DIAGNOSTIC CRITERIA Six or more cafe Â-au-lait spots Two or more NF of any type or one or more plexiform NF Axillary or groin freckling A first degree relative with NF1	Not significant RADIOGRAPHIC PRESENTATION Not significant DIAGNOSTIC CRITERIA Six or more cafe Â-au-lait Two or more NF of any type or one or more plexiform NF Axillary or groin freckling	RADIOGRAPHIC PRESENTATION Enlarged mandibular canal and mandibular foramen.(FIG-6) DIAGNOSTIC CRITERIA Six or more cafe Â-au-lait Two or more NF of any type or one or more plexiform NF Axillary or groin freckling A distinctive bony lesion-dysplaia of sphenoid bone, dysplasia/thinning of cortex of long bones.
INTRAORAL EXAMINATION multiple nodules were present on hard palate and tongue with enlargement of fungiform papillae.(FIG 4) RADIOGRAPHIC PRESENTATION enlarged mandibular canal and mandibular foramen, increased coronoid notch, increased bone density, decreased mandibular angle, notching of the inferior border of the mandible DIAGNOSTIC CRITERIA Six or more cafe Â-au-lait spots Two or more NF of any type or one or more plexiform NF Axillary or groin freckling Two or more Lisch nodules A distinctive bony lesion- dysplaia of sphenoid bone, dysplasia/thinning of cortex of long bones. A first degree relative with NF1	macroglossia with multiple nodules on right and left lateral borders of tongue and carious 36 47 teeth.(FIG 5) RADIOGRAPHIC PRESENTATION Not significant DIAGNOSTIC CRITERIA Six or more cafe Â-au-lait spots Two or more NF of any type or one or more plexiform NF Axillary or groin freckling A first degree relative with NF1	RADIOGRAPHIC PRESENTATION Not significant DIAGNOSTIC CRITERIA Six or more cafe Â-au-lait Two or more NF of any type or one or more plexiform NF Axillary or groin freckling A first degree relative with NF1	RADIOGRAPHIC PRESENTATION Enlarged mandibular canal and mandibular foramen.(FIG-6) DIAGNOSTIC CRITERIA Six or more cafe Â-au-lait Two or more NF of any type or one or more plexiform NF Axillary or groin freckling A distinctive bony lesion-dysplaia of sphenoid bone, dysplasia/thinning of cortex of long bones. A first degree relative with NF1
INTRAORAL EXAMINATION multiple nodules were present on hard palate and tongue with enlargement of fungiform papillae.(FIG 4) RADIOGRAPHIC PRESENTATION enlarged mandibular canal and mandibular foramen, increased coronoid notch, increased bone density, decreased mandibular angle, notching of the inferior border of the mandible DIAGNOSTIC CRITERIA Six or more cafe Â-au-lait spots Two or more NF of any type or one or more plexiform NF Axillary or groin freckling Two or more Lisch nodules A distinctive bony lesion- dysplaia of sphenoid bone, dysplasia/thinning of cortex of long bones. A first degree relative with NF1 PROVISIONAL DIAGNOSIS	macroglossia with multiple nodules on right and left lateral borders of tongue and carious 36 47 teeth.(FIG 5) RADIOGRAPHIC PRESENTATION Not significant DIAGNOSTIC CRITERIA Six or more cafe Â-au-lait spots Two or more NF of any type or one or more plexiform NF Axillary or groin freckling A first degree relative with NF1 PROVISIONAL DIAGNOSIS	RADIOGRAPHIC PRESENTATION Not significant DIAGNOSTIC CRITERIA Six or more cafe Â-au-lait Two or more NF of any type or one or more plexiform NF Axillary or groin freckling A first degree relative with NF1 PROVISIONAL DIAGNOSIS	RADIOGRAPHIC PRESENTATION Enlarged mandibular canal and mandibular foramen.(FIG-6) DIAGNOSTIC CRITERIA Six or more cafe Â-au-lait Two or more NF of any type or one or more plexiform NF Axillary or groin freckling A distinctive bony lesion-dysplaia of sphenoid bone, dysplasia/thinning of cortex of long bones. A first degree relative with NF1 PROVISIONAL DIAGNOSIS
INTRAORAL EXAMINATION multiple nodules were present on hard palate and tongue with enlargement of fungiform papillae.(FIG 4) RADIOGRAPHIC PRESENTATION enlarged mandibular canal and mandibular foramen, increased coronoid notch, increased bone density, decreased mandibular angle, notching of the inferior border of the mandible DIAGNOSTIC CRITERIA Six or more cafe Â-au-lait spots Two or more NF of any type or one or more plexiform NF Axillary or groin freckling Two or more Lisch nodules A distinctive bony lesion- dysplaia of sphenoid bone, dysplasia/thinning of cortex of long bones. A first degree relative with NF1 PROVISIONAL DIAGNOSIS chronic generalized periodontitis and	macroglossia with multiple nodules on right and left lateral borders of tongue and carious 36 47 teeth.(FIG 5) RADIOGRAPHIC PRESENTATION Not significant DIAGNOSTIC CRITERIA Six or more cafe Â-au-lait spots Two or more NF of any type or one or more plexiform NF Axillary or groin freckling A first degree relative with NF1 PROVISIONAL DIAGNOSIS chronic periapical abscess and NF1	RADIOGRAPHIC PRESENTATION Not significant DIAGNOSTIC CRITERIA Six or more cafe Â-au-lait Two or more NF of any type or one or more plexiform NF Axillary or groin freckling A first degree relative with NF1 PROVISIONAL DIAGNOSIS chronic generalized periodontitis	RADIOGRAPHIC PRESENTATION Enlarged mandibular canal and mandibular foramen.(FIG-6) DIAGNOSTIC CRITERIA Six or more cafe Â-au-lait Two or more NF of any type or one or more plexiform NF Axillary or groin freckling A distinctive bony lesion-dysplaia of sphenoid bone, dysplasia/thinning of cortex of long bones. A first degree relative with NF1
INTRAORAL EXAMINATION multiple nodules were present on hard palate and tongue with enlargement of fungiform papillae.(FIG 4) RADIOGRAPHIC PRESENTATION enlarged mandibular canal and mandibular foramen, increased coronoid notch, increased bone density, decreased mandibular angle, notching of the inferior border of the mandible DIAGNOSTIC CRITERIA Six or more cafe Â-au-lait spots Two or more NF of any type or one or more plexiform NF Axillary or groin freckling Two or more Lisch nodules A distinctive bony lesion- dysplaia of sphenoid bone, dysplasia/thinning of cortex of long bones. A first degree relative with NF1 PROVISIONAL DIAGNOSIS chronic generalized periodontitis and NF1were given. with recurrent facial	macroglossia with multiple nodules on right and left lateral borders of tongue and carious 36 47 teeth.(FIG 5) RADIOGRAPHIC PRESENTATION Not significant DIAGNOSTIC CRITERIA Six or more cafe Â-au-lait spots Two or more NF of any type or one or more plexiform NF Axillary or groin freckling A first degree relative with NF1 PROVISIONAL DIAGNOSIS	RADIOGRAPHIC PRESENTATION Not significant DIAGNOSTIC CRITERIA Six or more cafe Â-au-lait Two or more NF of any type or one or more plexiform NF Axillary or groin freckling A first degree relative with NF1 PROVISIONAL DIAGNOSIS	RADIOGRAPHIC PRESENTATION Enlarged mandibular canal and mandibular foramen.(FIG-6) DIAGNOSTIC CRITERIA Six or more cafe Â-au-lait Two or more NF of any type or one or more plexiform NF Axillary or groin freckling A distinctive bony lesion-dysplaia of sphenoid bone, dysplasia/thinning of cortex of long bones. A first degree relative with NF1 PROVISIONAL DIAGNOSIS
INTRAORAL EXAMINATION multiple nodules were present on hard palate and tongue with enlargement of fungiform papillae.(FIG 4) RADIOGRAPHIC PRESENTATION enlarged mandibular canal and mandibular foramen, increased coronoid notch, increased bone density, decreased mandibular angle, notching of the inferior border of the mandible DIAGNOSTIC CRITERIA Six or more cafe Â-au-lait spots Two or more NF of any type or one or more plexiform NF Axillary or groin freckling Two or more Lisch nodules A distinctive bony lesion- dysplaia of sphenoid bone, dysplasia/thinning of cortex of long bones. A first degree relative with NF1 PROVISIONAL DIAGNOSIS chronic generalized periodontitis and NF1were given. with recurrent facial plexiform neurofibroma were given. As	macroglossia with multiple nodules on right and left lateral borders of tongue and carious 36 47 teeth.(FIG 5) RADIOGRAPHIC PRESENTATION Not significant DIAGNOSTIC CRITERIA Six or more cafe Â-au-lait spots Two or more NF of any type or one or more plexiform NF Axillary or groin freckling A first degree relative with NF1 PROVISIONAL DIAGNOSIS chronic periapical abscess and NF1	RADIOGRAPHIC PRESENTATION Not significant DIAGNOSTIC CRITERIA Six or more cafe Â-au-lait Two or more NF of any type or one or more plexiform NF Axillary or groin freckling A first degree relative with NF1 PROVISIONAL DIAGNOSIS chronic generalized periodontitis	RADIOGRAPHIC PRESENTATION Enlarged mandibular canal and mandibular foramen.(FIG-6) DIAGNOSTIC CRITERIA Six or more cafe Â-au-lait Two or more NF of any type or one or more plexiform NF Axillary or groin freckling A distinctive bony lesion-dysplaia of sphenoid bone, dysplasia/thinning of cortex of long bones. A first degree relative with NF1 PROVISIONAL DIAGNOSIS
INTRAORAL EXAMINATION multiple nodules were present on hard palate and tongue with enlargement of fungiform papillae.(FIG 4) RADIOGRAPHIC PRESENTATION enlarged mandibular canal and mandibular foramen, increased coronoid notch, increased bone density, decreased mandibular angle, notching of the inferior border of the mandible DIAGNOSTIC CRITERIA Six or more cafe Â-au-lait spots Two or more NF of any type or one or more plexiform NF Axillary or groin freckling Two or more Lisch nodules A distinctive bony lesion- dysplaia of sphenoid bone, dysplasia/thinning of cortex of long bones. A first degree relative with NF1 PROVISIONAL DIAGNOSIS chronic generalized periodontitis and NF1were given. with recurrent facial	macroglossia with multiple nodules on right and left lateral borders of tongue and carious 36 47 teeth.(FIG 5) RADIOGRAPHIC PRESENTATION Not significant DIAGNOSTIC CRITERIA Six or more cafe Â-au-lait spots Two or more NF of any type or one or more plexiform NF Axillary or groin freckling A first degree relative with NF1 PROVISIONAL DIAGNOSIS chronic periapical abscess and NF1	RADIOGRAPHIC PRESENTATION Not significant DIAGNOSTIC CRITERIA Six or more cafe Â-au-lait Two or more NF of any type or one or more plexiform NF Axillary or groin freckling A first degree relative with NF1 PROVISIONAL DIAGNOSIS chronic generalized periodontitis	RADIOGRAPHIC PRESENTATION Enlarged mandibular canal and mandibular foramen.(FIG-6) DIAGNOSTIC CRITERIA Six or more cafe Â-au-lait Two or more NF of any type or one or more plexiform NF Axillary or groin freckling A distinctive bony lesion-dysplaia of sphenoid bone, dysplasia/thinning of cortex of long bones. A first degree relative with NF1 PROVISIONAL DIAGNOSIS

The aim of this paper is to report the orofacial, clinical and radiographic characteristics observed in 4 families diagnosed with NF1.

DISCUSSION

This disease is a slowly evolving neurodermic dysplasia. Troubles begin at the embryonic stage before differentiation of the neural crests. After birth, the disease evolves in bursts, especially during growth, puberty, and pregnancy. (1) This finding was duplicated in our study, in case 2, the mother reported an increase of her lesions during pregnancy and other patients experiencing increase in number of lesions during pubertal growth spurt. There are basically 4 types of neurofibromas found in NF1: (1) Cutaneous: superficial, soft button-like tumors with no malignant potential.

(2) Subcutaneous: tumors in the dermis that may cause localised pain or tenderness. (3) Nodular plexiform: large network of tumors involving the dorsal nerve roots. (4) Diffuse plexiform: invasive tumors that may involve all layers of skin, muscle, bone and blood vessels.(1) Both cutaneous lesions and subcutaneous lesions are circumscribed; neither is specific for type1 NF. These nodules may be brown, pink, or skin colored. They may be soft or firm to the touch, and they may have the pathognomonic buttonhole invagination when pressed with a finger. The plexiform subtype is hallmark for NF. (1-5)Plexiform neurofibromas noncircumscribed, thick, and irregular, and they can cause disfigurement by entwining important supportive structures. The tumor is soft and feels like a "bag of worms". In case 1, patient had plexiform neurofibroma on right side of nape of neck and his maternal uncle had similar large sized lesion on chest.



Fig. 1.Multiple skin neurofibromas on the patient's face and neck



Fig. 2. Café au lait spots and small neurofibromas on the back



Fig. 3. Axillary freckling evident on patient's arm pit

The lesion usually spreads along the peripheral nerve and may affect some nervous rami. The cranial nerves most involved are the fifth, ninth and tenth. A third variant is known as segmental neurofibromatosis; i.e disease limited to a single body region. Segmental neurofibromatosis may be related to mosaicism or segmental hyperexpression of the condition.

Loss of heterozygosity may create the clinical impression of segmental lesions (8).



Fig. 4. Multiple nodules present on the hard palate



Fig. 5. Enlarged tongue with teeth indendation on lateral border of tongue



Fig. 6. Panoramic radiograph showing mandibular canal enlargement

These findings were duplicated in case 3. Pigmented lesions are common manifestation in NF1 but not pathognomonic. These lesions usually appear during the first years of life or are present at birth, either as Café au lait spots or freckles. Caféaulait spots are hyperpigmented maculae that may vary in color from light to dark brown. Their borders may be smooth or irregular. (1-10) These are composed of epidermal melanocytes with giant pigment granules (macromelanosomes) within the cytoplasm and are of neural crest origin. (9) Inguinal and axillary freckles (Crowe's sign)

are frequently present. In some patients freckling may occur diffusely over the trunk, extremities, upper eyelids, and base of the neck. (1,6,8,9) In the presented series all cases exhibited more than six Caféaulait spots more than 1.5 cm and bilateral axillary freckles in accordance to literature. Oral manifestations are prevalent in 72% of NF1 and may appear in any tissue, soft or hard, in the oral cavity. The most commonly affected site is the tongue. Enlargement of the fungiform papillae of tongue occurs in about 50% of cases. The tongue may be involved by a localized neurofibroma, but macroglossia caused by plexiform neurofibroma. (1,2) findings were similar in case 1 and case 2 patients. Oral radiological changes are widening of the mandibular channel, mandibular foramen, and mental foramen. (1) We found these characteristics in case 1. Neurofibroma can also develop intraosseously, resulting in well demarcated unilocular, but occasionally multilocular, radiolucent lesions. In our case, however, these radiographic changes were not observed. (2) Involvement of other body parts causes: Malformation of the long bones (below the knee and elbow) and curvature of the spine (scoliosis), short stature and growth hormone deficiency, learning difficulties (speech problems), tumors on the optic nerve which can cause visual loss, high blood pressure, tumors on the spine and brain causing increase risk of epilepsy, lesions on the gastrointestinal tract that may cause bleeding or obstruction and hearing defects (8) Skeletal involvement is present in almost 40% of the patients with NF1. (2) Thoracic spinal curvatures are common in NF1 and affect approximately 10% of NF1 patients. In the present case patient had kyphotic deformity since childhood. Kyphosis is the extreme curvature of the upper back exceeding more than 50 degrees. Severe rapidly progressive form of kyphoscoliosis which develops between 3 to 5 years of age necessitates surgical correction. (4,6) Our patient in case 1 exhibited scoliosis and other cases did not showed such changes. Short stature has long been known to be a feature of NF1 and the average height of individuals with NF1 is less than expected for age, but reduction in height is usually mild. (2) Learning disabilities occur in 50% of patients. (6) and also behavioural problems.(8,9) This was noted in case 2 patient. The most common malignancy seen in individuals affected with NF1 is the malignant peripheral nerve sheath tumour (MPNST) in 2±16% of patients. Preexisting plexiform neurofibroma appear to be the most common precursor to MPNSTs in people with NF1. Biopsy should be done for confirmation. (2, 6) case 1 had plexiform neurofibroma but no evidence of malignancy was found.

Conclusion

Neurofibromatosis type 1 is an autosomal dominant disease caused by spectrum of mutations that affect the NF1 gene. The patients described in this case report are vary typical case of NF-1, which presents a considerable interest because of the high generalization of the skin lesion as well as oral involvemnt. In such cases, a detailed patient investigation is required, because of the possibility for generalized. Every patient suffering from NF requires social and emotional support. Educating the patients and their parents regarding advances in treatment and potential complications is today's need. The treatment of such kind of patient is surgical, seeking to achieve cosmetic improvement, and may be only palliative.

REFERENCES

- Cunha KS, Barboza EP, Dias EP, Oliveira FM. Neurofibromatosis type I with periodontal manifestation. A case report and literature review. British dental journal. 2004 Apr; 196(8):457-60.
- Cunha KS, Barboza EP, Dias EP, Oliveira FM. Neurofibromatosis type I with periodontal manifestation. A case report and literature review. British dental journal. 2004 Apr;196(8):457-60.
- Dimitrova V, Yordanova I. A case of neurofibromatosis type 1. Journal of IMAB–Annual Proceeding Scientific Papers. 2008 Oct 7;14(1):63-7.
- Ghalayani P, Saberi Z, Sardari F. Neurofibromatosis type I (von Recklinghausen's disease): A family case report and literature review. *Dental research journal*. 2012 Jul; 9(4):483.
- Hirsch NP, Murphy A, Radcliffe JJ. Neurofibromatosis: clinical presentations and anaesthetic implications. British journal of anaesthesia. 2001 Apr 1;86(4):555-64.
- Munhoz ED, Cardoso CL, Tolentino ED, Centurion BS, Gonçales ES, Sant'Ana E, Rubira-Bulen IR. Von Recklinghausen's disease-Diagnosis from oral lesion: Neurofibromatosis I. Int. j. odontostomatol.(Print). 2010:179-83.
- Puri N. A Study of Clinical Profile of Neurofibromatosis. JIMSA. 2013;26(2):103-5.
- Sigillo R, Rivera H, Nikitakis NG, Sauk JJ. Neurofibromatosis type 1: a clinicopathological study of the orofacial manifestations in 6 pediatric patients. Pediatric dentistry. 2002 Dec;24(6):575-.
- Thornton JB, Tomaselli CE, Rodu B, Creath CJ. Neurofibroma of the mandible in an adolescent with von Recklinghausen's disease. Pediatric dentistry. 1992 Sep; 14(5):347.