

Available online at http://www.journalcra.com

International Journal of Current Research Vol. 7, Issue, 06, pp. 16683-16687, June 2015 INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF CURRENT RESEARCH

RESEARCH ARTICLE

A POTENT PLANT COMPOUND FROM MANGIFERA INDICA TO TREAT DIABETES

*Chitra, P.

Department of Biochemistry and Bioinformatics, Sri Ramakrishna College of Arts and Science for Women, Coimbatore, Tamilnadu, India

ARTICLE INFO	ABSTRACT
<i>Article History:</i> Received 22 nd March, 2015 Received in revised form 13 th April, 2015 Accepted 31 st May, 2015 Published online 27 th June, 2015	Type 1.5 diabetes also known as latent autoimmune diabetes in adults (LADA) is an important form of diabetes which occurs in about 10% of patients classified as type 2 diabetes and not initially requiring insulin. β - cell dysfunction in type 1.5 diabetes is caused mainly by Glutamic acid decarboxylase antibody (GADA). β - cell stress results in an induction of Heat shock protein 90 (Hsp90) expression, where Hsp 90 is a regulator of Class II antigen processing and presentation. <i>In</i> <i>silico</i> docking studies and drug likeliness analysis have shown that docking target protein Hsp 90 α
Key words:	with the ligand mangiferin had a protective role against autoimmune destruction. This study paves way for treating the autoimmune diabetes at the immunity level.
Glutamic acid decarboxylase antibody.	

Copyright © 2015 Chitra. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Citation: Chitra, P. 2015. "A potent plant compound from *Mangifera indica* to treat diabetes", *International Journal of Current Research*, 7, (6), 16683-16687.

INTRODUCTION

Heat shock protein 90,

Ligands.

Diabetes for the whole world is not an epidemic anymore but has turned into pandemic (Lal et al., 2009). Diabetes currently afflicts 171 million people worldwide (Boden and Taggart, 2009). Type 1.5 diabetes also known as latent autoimmune diabetes in adults (LADA) is an important form of diabetes although it is frequently under estimated (Mayer et al., 2007). Type 1.5 diabetes occurs in about 10% of patients classified as type 2 diabetes and not initially requiring insulin (Agardh et al., 2005). Type 1.5 diabetics are phenotypic ally similar to type 2 diabetic patients but they are also positive for the autoantibody commonly seen in type 1 diabetes (Stenstrom et al., 2005). There is no established therapeutic intervention for patients with LADA so far and they are currently treated as patients with type 2 diabetes (Chitra and Jeyanthi, 2006). Auto immunity and insulin resistance co-exist in type 1.5 diabetes and the contribution of these factors seems to be reflected in GADA titres (Calsolari et al., 2008). Antibodies to GAD65 (GADA) are considered highly predictive humoral markers of type 1.5 diabetes (Villalba el al., 2007). In auto immune diabetes, β - cell stress results in an induction of Heat shock protein 90 (HSP 90) expression (Kunisawa and Shastri, 2006).

Department of Biochemistry and Bioinformatics, Sri Ramakrishna College of Arts and Science for Women, Coimbatore, Tamilnadu, India.

Recent studies have implicated HSP 90 as a regulator of Class II antigen processing and presentation. As Hsp90 is considered a key component of immune function, its inhibition has become an important target for disease therapy (Bae et al., 2007). In vitro studies have shown that the inhibition of HSP 90 by pharmacological agents decrease presentation of both exogenous and endogenous GAD by Class II molecules (Houlihan et al., 2009). Mangiferin, a major Cglucosylxanthone from M. indica stem bark, leaves, heartwood, roots and fruits occurs widely among different angiosperm families and ferns. Mangiferin was reported to show activities pharmacological namely antioxidant, immunomodulatory, anti-allergic, anti-inflammatory, antidiabetic and lipolytic properties, supporting the numerous traditional uses of the plant (Wauthoz et al., 2007). Modern approaches to find new leads for therapeutic targets are increasingly based on 3-dimensional information about receptors. An effective way to predict the binding structure of a substrate in its receptor is docking studies which has been used in many applications (Sengupta et al., 2007). In the present study, an in silico approach has been carried out to study the inhibitory effect of mangiferin on HSP 90 a protein and to study the drug likeliness of this compound.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The structure of the target protein HSP 90 α protein complexed with 1-4 - [(2r) -1- (5-chloro-2,4 - dihydroxybenzoyl)

^{*}Corresponding author: Chitra, P.

pyrrolidin – 2 - yl] benzyl} -3,3 -difluoropyrrolidinium complex with the protein databank identification number (PDB ID : 3HEK) was retrieved from PDB (www.pdb.org). The structure of mangiferin with the identification number CID5281647 was downloaded from the Pubchem compound database (www.pubchem.org). Docking was done using GLIDE module of Schrodinger version 7.5, drug likeliness was analysed by using Lipinski drug filter of the Supercomputing Facility for Bioinformatics and Computational Biology and the drug likeliness and drug non likeliness of the plant compound mangiferin was compared using the tool Molsoft .

Protein Preparation

OPLS-AA force field (Optimized Potential for Liquid Simulations for All Atoms) aids to perform Glide (Schrodinger) calculations .Energy minimization using OPLS-AA force field in the protein preparation wizard and refinement was carried out until the average root mean square deviation of the non-hydrogen atoms reached 0.3A° using default settings, Site points were generated followed by generation of the grid displaying the active site with an enclosing box at the centroid of the workspace.

Ligand Preparation

The ligand structure was assigned an appropriate bond order using ligPrep module of Schrodinger. Since the crystal structure contains only one ligand structure but there is a chance that one of the tautomeric forms interacts more strongly with the binding site relative to the other forms, ligprep module generates tautomers all of the other possible tautomeric states of one inhibitor. The ligand mangiferin was prepared for docking.

Docking using Glide

The Glide SP (Standard Precision), a ligand docking program of the software Schrodinger version 7.5 used in the present study for predicting protein-ligand binding modes and ranking ligands via high-throughput virtual screening utilizes scoring functions SP Glide Score, to rank-order compounds. The docking process involves a conformational search for a compound which complements a target binding site, with the aim of identifying the best matching binding pose. The Glide docking algorithm performs a series of hierarchical searches for locations of possible ligand affinity within the binding site of a receptor. The stability of the docked ligand-protein complex is due to hydrogen bonding and van der Waals Interactions. The glide score, glide energy value, H-bonds and vander Waals contacts (good, bad and ugly) to the receptor were visualized in the using default settings to analyze the binding modes of the ligands to receptor.

Finding drug likeliness using Lipinski drug filter

The ligand mangiferin used in the present study was subjected to Lipinski rule screening using the tool Lipinski drug filter of the Supercomputing Facility for Bioinformatics and Computational Biology (http://www.scfbio-iitd.res.in/utility/ LipinskiFilters.jsp) according to which prediction of high probability of success or failure is based on drug likeness for molecules complying with 2 or more of the rules namelymolecular mass less than 500 dalton, high lipophilicity (expressed as Log P less than 5),less than 5 hydrogen bond donors, less than 10 hydrogen bond acceptors and molar refractivity should be between 40-130.

Comparing drug likeliness and drug non likeliness using Molsoft tool

The drug likeliness and drug non likeliness of the plant compound mangiferin was compared using the tool Mol soft (http://www.rdchemicals.com/chemistry-software/molsoft. html).

RESULTS

Protein Preparation

The complex 1-4 - [(2r) -1 - (5-chloro-2,4 - dihydroxybenzoyl) pyrrolidin - 2 - yl] benzyl} -3,3 -difluoropyrrolidinium complex was removed from the receptor protein Hsp 90 α , water molecules were removed, site points were generated and grid was generated displaying the active site with an enclosing box at the centroid of the workspace.

Pick	ligand	📕 Sh	ow mark	ers	
Procedu	ire:				
🔶 Pi	reparation	h and re	finemen	t	
🔷 Pi	reparation	n only			
🗢 B	efinement	t only			
Neutrali	zation zor	ne aroui	nd the li	gand:	
🔶 o	rr				
~ ~					

Figure 1. Protein preparation wizard used for protein preparation and refinement



Figure 2. Grid generation in the Hsp 90 a

Ligand Preparation

Ligand was prepared using ligprep module. For the ligand mangiferin used in the present study, the best tautomeric form was generated.

Table 1. Molecular details of mangiferin

Ligand	Drug / Compound identification number	Molecular formula	Molecular weight
Mangiferin	CID5281647	$C_{19}H_{18}O_{11}$	422.34



Figure 3. Chemical structure of mangiferin



Figure 4. Docking of hsp90 with mangiferin

tle 58385 58385	Lig #	Conf #	Pose #	0.000						
58385 58385	13	Name and Address of the Owner o		G-Score	E-Model	Energy	HBnd	Good vdW	Bad vdW	Ugly vd
58385		298	232	-8,49	-75.4	-44.7	6	195	14	3
And the second sec	2	297	95	-7.79	-71.6	-45.1	5	197	9	2
58385	28	151	75	-7.64	-82.4	-43.0	5	265	14	2
58385	18	6	43	-7.63	-79.2	-48.7	5	203	12	2
58385	20	14	15	-7.54	-89.2	-45.6	7	249	10	2
58385	14	161	18	-7.40	-80.2	-51.0	4	190	7	1
58385	21	262	361	-7.10	-69.4	-43.3	4	194	10	2
58385	4	325	142	-7.09	-81.2	-43.2	8	207	14	2
58385	29	297	185	-6.92	-71.7	-48.8	4	185	6	0
58385	1	119	128	-6.89	-72.9	-48.6	4	182	7	1
58385	6	134	177	-6.88	-76.1	-48.6	4	183	5	1
58385	19	206	227	-6.88	-76.1	-40.1	7	196	9	3
58385	10	208	151	-6.82	-72.1	-38.3	6	184	9	3
58385	16	17	130	-6.81	-72.6	-47.3	4	184	8	1
58385	12	154	395	-6.75	-75.8	-41.2	4	218	13	2
58385	30	37	25	-6.75	-73.7	-47.5	4	178	6	1
58385	25	222	248	-6.61	-77.1	-43.4	5	205	8	1
58385	7	56	195	-6.60	-73.9	-48.3	3	189	6	1
s Next										
H-Bonds to Receptor										
Contacts to Receptor										
	3385 3385 3385 3385 3385 3385 3385 3385 3385 3385 3385 3385 3385 3385 3385 3385 3385 4 H-Bonds to Receptor	0.000 10 8385 20 8385 21 8385 21 8385 4 8385 29 8385 6 8385 6 8385 19 8385 16 8385 12 8385 30 8385 25 8385 25 8385 7 Next H-Bonds to Receptor	3385 10 5 8385 20 14 8385 14 161 8385 21 262 8385 4 325 8385 29 297 8385 6 134 8385 6 134 8385 19 206 8385 16 17 8385 16 17 8385 30 37 8385 25 222 8385 25 222 8385 7 56	10 5 43 8385 20 14 15 8385 20 14 15 8385 20 14 15 8385 21 262 361 8385 4 325 142 8385 29 297 185 8385 1 119 128 8385 6 134 177 8385 6 134 177 8385 10 208 151 8385 16 17 130 8385 30 37 25 8385 25 222 248 8385 7 56 195	18 6 43 -7.53 8385 20 14 15 -7.54 8385 14 161 18 -7.40 8385 21 262 361 -7.10 8385 21 262 361 -7.70 8385 29 297 185 -6.92 8385 6 134 177 -6.88 8385 6 134 177 -6.88 8385 19 206 227 -6.88 8385 10 208 151 -6.82 8385 10 208 151 -6.82 8385 12 154 395 -6.75 8385 30 37 25 -6.75 8385 25 222 248 -6.61 8385 7 56 195 -6.60	0.385 16 6 4.3 -7.63 -7.63, 2 8385 20 14 15 -7.63 -7.63, 2 8385 20 14 15 -7.63 -89, 2 8385 14 161 18 -7.40 -80, 2 8385 21 262 361 -7.10 -69, 4 8385 21 262 361 -7.10 -69, 4 8385 29 297 185 -6, 92 -71, 7 8385 1 119 128 -6, 89 -72, 9 8385 6 134 177 -6, 88 -76, 1 8385 19 206 227 -6, 88 -76, 1 8385 10 208 151 -6, 82 -72, 1 8385 10 208 151 -6, 82 -72, 1 8385 12 154 395 -6, 75 -75, 8 8385 25 222 248 -6, 61 -77, 1 8385 25 222 248 -6, 60 -73, 9	0.355 10 6 4-3 -7.53 -7.52 -4-6.7 0.385 20 14 15 -7.54 -89.2 -45.6 0.385 20 14 161 18 -7.63 -7.52 -45.6 0.385 20 14 161 18 -7.60 -80.2 -51.0 0.8385 21 262 361 -7.10 -69.4 -43.3 0.8385 21 262 361 -7.09 -81.2 -43.2 0.8385 29 297 185 -6.92 -71.7 -48.8 0.8385 6 134 177 -6.88 -76.1 -48.6 0.8385 6 134 177 -6.88 -76.1 -40.1 0.8385 19 206 227 -6.88 -76.1 -40.1 8385 10 208 151 -6.82 -72.9 -47.3 8385 12 154 395 -6.75 -75.8 -41.2 8385 30 37 25	0.000 10 0 43 -7.03 -7.03 -7.02 -45.7 5 0.000 14 15 -7.03 -7.03 -7.02 -45.6 7 0.000 14 161 18 -7.04 -89.2 -45.6 7 0.000 14 161 18 -7.00 -89.2 -51.0 4 0.000 2.01 142 1262 361 -7.10 -69.4 -43.3 4 0.000 2.02 2.01 142 -7.09 -81.2 -43.2 8 0.000 2.02 2.07 1.05 -6.92 -71.7 -48.8 4 0.000 2.02 2.07 1.05 -6.89 -76.1 -48.6 4 0.000 2.02 151 -6.82 -76.1 -40.6 4 0.000 2.02 151 -6.82 -72.1 -38.3 6 0.000 17 130 -6.81 -72.6 -47.3 4 0.000 37 25 -6.75 -73.	0.385 16 6 43 -7.63 -7.63 -7.63 -7.63, 2 -45, 6 7 249 8385 20 14 15 -7.63 -89, 2 -45, 6 7 249 8385 14 161 18 -7.740 -89, 2 -45, 6 7 249 8385 14 161 18 -7.70 -89, 2 -51, 0 4 190 8385 21 262 361 -7.10 -69, 4 -43, 3 4 194 8385 4 325 142 -7.09 -81, 2 -43, 2 8 207 8385 29 297 185 -6, 92 -71, 7 -48, 6 4 182 8385 1 119 128 -6, 89 -72, 9 -48, 6 4 183 8385 6 134 177 -6, 88 -76, 1 -40, 1 7 196 8385 19 206 227 -6, 88 -76, 1 -40, 1 7 196 8385	0385 18 6 43 -7,53 -73,2 -46,7 5 203 12 0385 20 14 15 -7,54 -89,2 -45,6 7 249 10 0385 14 161 18 -7,54 -89,2 -45,6 7 249 10 8385 14 161 18 -7,10 -69,4 -43,3 4 190 7 8385 21 262 361 -7,10 -69,4 -43,2 8 207 14 8385 4 325 142 -7,09 -81,2 -43,2 8 207 14 8385 29 297 185 -6,92 -71,7 -48,8 4 185 6 8385 19 206 227 -6,88 -76,1 -40,1 7 196 9 8385 19 206 227 -6,88 -76,1 -40,1 7 196 9 8385 10 208 151 -6,82 -72,1 -

Figure 5. Glide pose viewer displaying the glide score for mangiferin

Table 2. Docking result of mangiferin for HSP 90 a

Ligands	Glide score	Glide energy	No. of hydrogen bonds	Aminoacids involved in Interaction
Mangiferin	-8.49	-44.7	6	Lys 112, Asp 93 and Ile110

Receptor - ligand docking using Glide

Docking procedures basically aim to identify the correct conformation of ligands in the binding pocket of a protein and to predict the affinity between the ligand and the protein. It is a process by which two molecules fit together in a 3-dimensional space .Docking algorithm based on the tetrahedral grid model of proteins allows a more precise description of shape complementarity. The Glide SP (Standard Precision), a ligand docking program of the software Schrodinger version 7.5 used in the present study predicts protein-ligand binding modes and ranks ligands via high-throughput virtual screening utilizing scoring functions SP Glide Score, to rank-order compounds.

Finding drug likeliness using Lipinski drug filter

In the present study the ligand- mangiferin satisfied four rules establishing drug likeliness of the ligand.



Figure 6. Results of Lipinski drug filters for radanamycin

Table 3	. Linin	ski drug	🤊 filter	result	of man	giferin
I abit 5	• Lupm	shi ui uz	2 IIIIUI	ICSUIL	vi man	igner m



Figure 7. Drug-likeness model score

The result of the Molsoft shows that the drug likeliness score for mangiferin is 0.50 and from the figure it is proved that the drug likeliness is comparatively greater than the drug nonlikeliness.

DISCUSSION

The ligand molecule mangiferin was docked with the target protein Hsp 90 α involving six hydrogen bonds. Mangiferin interacted with the HSP 90 α with a glide score value of -8.49. The amino acids found to be involved in interaction were Lys 112, Asp 93 and Ile110. Drug likeliness score was found to be 0.50. In the present study the ligand molecule mangiferin satisfied more four rules of Lipinski's rule of five predicting high probability of success to show drug likeliness. Drug likeliness was also found to be more.

Conclusion

Thus the *insilico* method adopted in the present study helped in identifying the plant compound mangiferin to be a potent ligand using the commercial software and online tools for the pandemic disorder diabetes. This method not only reduces the time and cost in designing a drug, in analyzing the drug likeliness before it enters the clinical trials but also throws light into the natural bio world for being used to treat the disorder of the third world-diabetes.

REFERENCES

- Agardh, C. D., Cilio, C. M., Lethagen, A., Lynch, K., Leslie, R. D. G., Palmer, M., Harris, R. A., Robertson, J. A. and Lernmark, A. 2005. Clinical evidence for the safety of GAD65 immunomodulation in adult-onset autoimmune diabetes, *Journal of Diabetes* and its *Complications*, 19, 238-246.
- Bae, J., Mitsiades, C., Tai, Y. T., Bertheau, R., Shammas, M., Batchu, R. B., Li, C., Catley, L., Prabhala, R., Anderson, K. C. and Munshi, N. C. 2007. Phenotypic and Functional Effects of Heat Shock Protein 90 Inhibition on Dendritic Cell, The Journal of Immunology, 178, 7730 -7737.
- Boden, W. E. and Taggart, D. P. 2009. Diabetes with coronary disease — A moving target amid evolving therapies?, *The New England Journal of Medicine*, 360, 2570-2572.
- Calsolari, M. R., Rosário, P. W., Reis, J. S., Silva, S. C. and Purisch, S. 2008. Latent autoimmune diabetes of adult or slim type 2 diabetes mellitus?, Arquivos brasileiros de endocrinologiae metabologia, 52, 315-21.
- Chitra, P. and Jeyanthi, G. P. 2011. *In Silico* Drug Designing Approaches For Latent Autoimmune Diabetes In Adults (LADA), *International Journal of Pharma and Bio Sciences*, 2,16-27.
- Houlihan, J. L., Metzler, J. J. and Blum, J. S. 2009. Hsp90 Ω*x* and Hsp90 β isoforms selectively modulate MHC Class II antigen presentation in B cells, *The Journal of Immunology*, 182, 7451-7458.
- Kunisawa, J. and Shastri, N. 2006. Hsp90 æ chaperones large C-terminally extended proteolytic intermediates in the MHC class I antigen processing pathway, *Immunity*, 24, 523-534.
- Lal, S. S., Sukla, Y., Singh, A., Andriyas, E. A. and Lall, A. M. 2009. Hyperuricemia, high serum urea and hypoproteinemia are the risk factor for diabetes, *Asian Journal of Medical Sciences*, 1, 33-34.
- Mayer, A., Fabien, N., Gutowski, M. C., Dubois, V., Gebuhrer, L., Bienvenu, J., Orgiazzi, J. and Madec, A. M. 2007. Contrasting cellular and humoral autoimmunity associated with latent autoimmune diabetes in adults, *European Journal of Endocrinology*, 157, 53-61.
- Sengupta, D., Verma, D. and Naik, P. K. 2007. Docking mode of delvardine and its analogues into the p66 domain of HIV-1 reverse transcriptase: screening using molecular mechanics-generalized born/surface area and absorption, distribution, metabolism and excretion properties, *Journal* of Biosciences, 32, 1307–1316.

- Stenstrom, G., Gottsater, A., Bakhtadze, E., Berger, B. and Sundkvist, G. 2005. Latent autoimmune diabetes in adults, *Diabetes*, 54, S68-S72.
- Villalba, A., Valdez, S. N., Iacono, R. F. and Poskus, E. 2007. Development of 2 alternative enzyme-linked immunosorbent assays for routine screening of glutamic acid decarboxylase autoantibodies, Clinica Chimica Acta; *International Journal of Clinical Chemistry*, 376, 82-87.
- Wauthoz, N., Balde, A., Balde, E. S., Damme, M. V. and Duez, D. 2007. Ethnopharmacology of *Mangifera indica* L. bark and pharmacological studies of its main Cglucosylxanthone mangiferin, *International Journal of Biomedical and Pharmaceutical Sciences*, 1, 112-119.
