

Available online at http://www.journalcra.com

International Journal of Current Research Vol. 6, Issue, 02, pp.4948-4961, February, 2014 INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF CURRENT RESEARCH

# **RESEARCH ARTICLE**

# SOURCES OF GREENHOUSE GASES EMISSION AND THEIR IMPACT ON CROP PRODUCTION: MITIGATION STRATEGIES FOR CHANGED CLIMATIC SCENARIO

# \*Avtar Singh, Harmeet Singh, J. S. Kang and Jasvinder Singh

Department of Agronomy, Punjab Agricultural University, Ludhiana-141 004

ARTICLE INFO	ABSTRACT
Article History: Received 16 <sup>th</sup> November, 2013 Received in revised form 18 <sup>th</sup> December, 2013 Accepted 24 <sup>th</sup> January, 2014 Published online 21 <sup>st</sup> February, 2014	Climatic variability and changes in the last decade is the great threat to the sustainability in food grain production in India. In spite of technological advances, weather and climate are playing vital role in Indian agriculture. Change in climate is mainly a result of increased production of $CO_2$ , methane (CH <sub>4</sub> ), Nitrous oxide (N <sub>2</sub> O), ozone, water vapors, Chlorofluorocarbons (CFCs), which resulted in increase in atmospheric temperature, disturbance in quantity and distribution of rainfall, melting of glaciers, rise in sea level etc. The change in climate of the world has mainly brought out by rapid
Key words:	industrialization, deforestation, increased agricultural operations, combustion of fossil fuels, increased number of vehicles, etc. and the driving force behind these factors is ever increasing human population requiring more food and space to live. It is resulted in global warming. This is happened
Carbon dioxide, Chlorofluorocarbon, Climate change, Green house gases,	due to the increase in concentration of GHGs in the atmosphere, and leads to a phenomenon widely

Climate change, Green house gases, Green house effect, Methane, Nitrous oxide, Temperature.  $CO_2$ , rainfall and drought, flooding and storm affect the agriculture.

Copyright © 2014 Avtar Singh, et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

# INTRODUCTION

Indian, self sufficiency and sustainability in food grain production is under threat due to the climatic variability and changes that had occurred in the last decade. In spite of technological advances such as improved crop varieties, production and protection measures and irrigation facilities, weather and climate are playing key role in Indian agriculture. Several climatic models predict that global warming in future may reduce over large area of semi-arid grasslands in North America and Asia. It is predicted that there will be a 17 % increase in desert land at global level due to climate change expected from a doubled concentration of atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> (Rao and Ramakrishna 2008). It is interesting to mention here the scientists, common man and politicians all over the world has started thinking that global warming will have a major impact on agro-ecosystems that is why United Nations Environment Program (UNEP) along with World Meteorological Organization (WMO) established the Inter-Governmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) in 1988 to periodically assess the state of global environment and to advice various UN agencies on climate change.

# MATERIALS AND METHODS

In this article discussed the causes of climate and contribution of different sources, GHGs emission from various crop and animal food products, effect climate changes on ecosystem, temperature, rainfall, projected impact climate change on Indian agriculture, effect of elevated carbon dioxide, temperature and rainfall on agriculture, interactive effect changing climate on crop production effect of elevated temperature and rainfall on nutrient cycling, water requirement of plants, fertilizer requirement, effect of climate change on rice-wheat productivity, strategies to deal with changed climatic scenario and researchable issues by consulting the different contribution of the scientists who are working on climate change.

# **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

## **Climate change**

Climate change can be defined as a statically significant variation in either the mean state of the climate or in its variability persisting for an extended period (typically decades or longer). Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) defined climate change as "Any change in climate over time, whether due to natural variability or as a result of human activity". United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change (UNFCCC) also defined climate change as "A change of climate, which is attributed directly or indirectly to human activities that alter composition of the global atmosphere, which are in addition to natural climate variability observed over comparable time period". The effects of climate change have reached such an extent that irreversible changes in the functioning of the planet are feared. Some of the main effects

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author: Avtar Singh

Department of Agronomy, Punjab Agricultural University, Ludhiana-141 004

of climate change with specific reference to agriculture and food production especially during the last decade are:

- increased occurrence of storms and floods
- increased incidence and severity of droughts and forest fires
- increased frequency of diseases and insect pest attack and vanishing habitats of plants and animals.

Carbon dioxide  $(CO_2)$  is the major anthropogenic green house gases (GHGs). Its annual emissions have been increased between 1970 and 2004 by about 80%, from 21 to 38 gigatonnes (94.4 to 170.8 ton), and represented 77 % of total anthropogenic GHGs emissions in 2004. The rate of growth of CO<sub>2</sub>-equivlent emissions was much higher during the last decade of 1995-2004 [0.92 Gt (4.14 ton) CO2- equivalent per year] than last two and a half decade of 1970-1994 [043 Gt (1.93 ton) CO<sub>2</sub>-equivlent per year]. The largest increase in GHG emissions between 1970 and 2004 has come from energy supply, transport and industry, while residential and commercial buildings, forestry (including deforestation) and agriculture sectors have been growing at a lower rate. Change in climate is mainly a result of increased production of  $CO_2$ , methane (CH<sub>4</sub>), Nitrous oxide (N<sub>2</sub>O), ozone, water vapors, Chlorofluorocarbons (CFCs), which resulted in increase in atmospheric temperature, disturbance in quantity and distribution of rainfall, melting of glaciers, rise in sea level etc. the concentrations of CO2, CH4, N2O and CFCs between 1000-1750 AD were 280 ppm, 700 ppb, 270 ppb and 0 ppt, respectively (Table 1), but in 2005, these values increased to 379 ppm, 1774 ppb, 319 ppb and 5.03 ppt, respectively (Kaur and Hundal 2008). These increases in concentration of green house gases have resulted in warming of the atmosphere by 0.74 <sup>o</sup>C during 1906-2005. Eleven out of the twelve years (1995-2006) rank amongst the twelve warmest years since 1850. The rate of warming has been much higher in the recent decades and the minimum temperature at night has been increasing at twice the rate of day time maximum temperature (Kaur and Hundal 2008).

#### Causes of climate change and major contributors

The change in climate of the world has mainly brought out by rapid industrialization, deforestation, increased agricultural operations, combustion of fossil fuels, increased number of vehicles, etc. and the driving force behind these factors is ever increasing human population requiring more food and space to live. It is resulted in global warming. This is happened due to the increase in concentration of GHGs in the atmosphere, and leads to a phenomenon widely known as 'Greenhouse Effect'. Amongst various sources of GHGs, agriculture is considered a major contributor primarily through the emission of MH<sub>4</sub> and N<sub>2</sub>O. As per Indian Network for Climate Change Assessment (INCCA) Report (2010), the net GHGs emissions were 1727.7 million tons (Mt) of CO2-equivalent (eq.) from India in 2007 of which CO<sub>2</sub> emissions were 1221.76 Mt; CH<sub>4</sub> emissions were 20.56 Mt; and N<sub>2</sub>O emissions were 0.24 Mt; GHG emissions from Energy, Industry, Agriculture, and Waste sectors constituted 58, 22, 17 and 3% of the net CO<sub>2</sub> eq. emissions respectively. Energy sector emitted 1100.06 Mt of CO<sub>2</sub> eq. of which 719.31 Mt of CO<sub>2</sub> eq. was emitted from electricity generation and 142.04 Mt of CO2 eq. from the transport sector.

Industry sector emitted 412.55 Mt of  $CO_2$  eq. LULUCF sector was a net sink. It sequestered 177.03 Mt of  $CO_2$ .India's per capita  $CO_2$  eq. emissions including Land Use Land Use Change & Forestry (LULUCF) were 1.5 tons/capita in 2007. The major cause to climate change has been ascribed to the increased levels of GHGs like  $CO_2$ , MH<sub>4</sub>, N<sub>2</sub>O, and CFCs. The main sectors contributing to this emission are energy, industry, agriculture and waste. With a total emission of 334 Mt  $CO_2$ equivalents, the major sources in the agricultural sector are enteric fermentation (63.4%), rice cultivation (20.9%), agricultural soils (13.0%), manure management (2.4%) and onfield burning of crop residues (2.0%). The crop production sector (rice cultivation, soil and field burning of crop residues), thus contributes 35.9% to the total emissions from agriculture.

Beyond their natural levels due to the uncontrolled human activities such as burning of fossil fuels, increased use of refrigerants, and enhanced agricultural activities. These GHGs are nearly transparent to the visible and near infra-red wavelengths of sunlight but they absorb and re-emit downward a large fraction of the longer infra-red radiation emitted by earth. As a result of this heat trapping, the atmosphere radiates large amounts of long wavelength energy downward to the earth's surface and long wavelength radiant energy received on earth is increased. Agriculture sector emitted 371.7 Mt of CO<sub>2</sub> eq. (Enteric fermentation 212.09, manure management 2.44, rice cultivation 84.24, agricultural soil 64.7 and crop residue burring 8.21 Mt) during 2007 comprising 13.84 Mt eq. of CH<sub>4</sub> (Enteric fermentation 10.10, manure management 0.12, rice cultivation 3.37 and crop residue burring 0.25 Mt) and 0.23 Mt of N<sub>2</sub>O (Agricultural soil 0.22 and crop residue 0.01 Mt. Enteric fermentation constituted 61 % of the total  $CO_2$  eq. emission from this sector and 20 % of the emissions were from rice cultivation. Agricultural soils emitted 16 % of the total CO<sub>2</sub> eq. emission from agriculture (Bhatia et al., 2010). The remaining 3 % of the emissions are attributed to livestock manure management and burning of crop residues in field.

Table 1. Relative increase in GHGs influenced by anthropogenic activities

Gases	Year		Atmospheric lifetime (years)	Anthropogenic sources
	1750	2005	· · ·	
$CO_2$	280 ppm	379	Variable	Fossil fuels combustion
		ppm		land use conversion, cement production
$CH_4$	715 ppb	1774	12.2	Fossil fuel, rice
		ppb		stubbles, waste dumps, livestock
$N_2O$	270 ppb	319 ppb	120	Fertilizer, industrial processes combustion
CFC's	0	5.03 ppt	102	Liquid coolants, foams

Source: Kaur and Hundal (2008)

#### **Carbon Dioxide**

It is a colorless, odorless non-flammable gas.  $CO_2$  is the most prominent greenhouse gas in earth's atmosphere. Every year humans add over 30 billion tons of  $CO_2$  in the atmosphere by these processes. The rapid increase in atmospheric concentrations of carbon dioxide over years is linked with combustion of fossil fuels, conversion of forested land to agricultural use and changes occurring in various carbon pools and fluxes (Table 1). There has been growing concern in recent years that these high levels of greenhouse gases may not only lead to changes in the earth's climate system, but may also alter ecological balances through effects on vegetation. Terrestrial ecosystems act as both source and sink and large uncertainty exists in understanding the current carbon status and its spatial and temporal variability.  $CO_2$  is increasing at the rate of 1.5 ppm per year. Half of the green house effect is expected due to  $CO_2$ .

## Methane

Methane is a colourless, odourless, flammable gas. It is formed when plants decay and where there is very little air. It is often called swamp gas because it is abundant around water and swamps. Methane is the second most important greenhouse gas after carbon dioxide and contributes about15% to the global warming. Rice cultivation has been accredited as one of the major source of anthropogenic methane (Manjunath et al., 2009). With the intensification of rice cultivation to meet the growing global food demand, CH<sub>4</sub> emission from this important ecosystem is anticipated to increase. It was observed that all India monthly average atmospheric concentration of methane ranges from 1693 to 1785 ppb. A systematic seasonal pattern was observed in methane concentration, which was mostly influenced by rice growth characteristics. It was found that January to June is associated with relatively lower concentration of methane (1699-1708 ppb) in India, which characteristically increases from July to September (1747-1785 ppb) with further gradual decline from October to December (1768-1704 ppb). The satellite based spatial variability of methane is in accordance with field based methane emission measurements. The spatial distribution of methane over Indian region is associated with agricultural practices particularly rice cultivation. It was observed that Indo-Gangetic plain including North-eastern region, parts of Chhattisgarh, Orissa and Andhra Pradesh showed higher methane concentration (> 1730 ppb) as compared to hilly regions of Jammu and Kashmir (< 1710 ppb). Pathak et al. (2010) reported that the highest seasonal methane emission (average 875 kg/ha) from rice field was observed at Ludhiana, Punjab followed by North 24 Paraganas, west Bengal (average 305 kg/ha), Barrack, West Bengal (average 222 kg/ha), Jorhat, Assam (average 175 kg/ha), Bhubaneswar, Orissa (average 163 kg/ha), Nadia, West Bengal (158 kg/ha), Maruteru, Andhra Pradesh (average 150 kg/ha), Madras, Tamil Nadu (average 149 kg/ha) and other places below 120 kg/ha. The lowest was observed at Allahabad, Uttar Pradesh average 5 kg/ha.

#### Source

- Rice fields are one of the most important sources of atmospheric CH<sub>4</sub> with a global emission of CH<sub>4</sub> estimated between 60 and 150 Tg/year. Flooding of rice fields stops the influx of atmospheric oxygen into the soil and decomposition of organic matter becomes anaerobic.
- Methane is also found in the digestive track of ruminants and in the guts of various insects of which termites are the most important. Methane has two times greater capacity for global warming than that of CO<sub>2</sub>. About 20 per cent of the global warming is caused by methane.

The total output of methane into the atmosphere from all sources in the world is estimated to be 535 Tg/year. India's contribution to global methane emission from all sources is 18.5 Tg/year. Agriculture largely rice and ruminant animals are the major (68%) is the major contributor to its emission.

### Nitrous Oxide

Nitrous oxide (N2O) is another colourless greenhouse gas however, it has a sweet odour. Nitrogen oxides play a central role in tropospheric chemistry. An improved knowledge of the global tropospheric distribution of NOx (NO+NO<sub>2</sub>) is important for climate change studies. NOx and volatile organic compounds are emitted in large quantities due to human activities such as vehicles and industry (Table 2). The knowledge of the ozone distribution and its budgets is strongly limited by a severe lack of observations of NO and NO<sub>2</sub> in the troposphere. The technique used to retrieve total slant columns of atmospheric trace species from Satellite measurements is the Differential Optical Absorption Spectroscopy (DOAS). The DOAS technique allows the determination of concentrations of atmospheric species, which leave their absorption fingerprints in the spectra. Spatial distribution of tropospheric NO<sub>2</sub> concentration was analyzed over India. It was observed that high concentration of NO2 distribution is associated with coalmine and thermal power locations as well as major metropolitan cities of India.

#### Source

- This gas is released naturally from oceans and by bacteria in soils
- Through nitrogen based fertilizers
- Disposing of human and animal waste in sewage treatment plants
- Automobile exhaust
- N<sub>2</sub>O gas has risen by more than 15% since 1750.
- Nitrogen based fertilizer use has doubled in the past 15 years.
- Oxides of nitrogen (gaseous form) have 10-1000 time greater effect on global warming than that of CO<sub>2</sub>.

## Chlorofluorocarbons (CFC's)

Fluorocarbon is a general term for any group of synthetic organic compounds that contain fluorine and carbon. The major source of CFCs is liquid coolants and foams (Table 1). CFC's are emitted into the atmosphere; they break down molecules in the Earth's ozone layer. CFC's have 10,000 times greater potential for global warming than that of  $CO_2$ . CFC's are used for refrigeration, aerosol propellant and for insulation. These are responsible for 15 % of the green house effect. The substitutes for CFC's are hydro fluorocarbons (HFC's). CFC's do not breakdown the ozone molecule, but they do trap heat in the atmosphere, making it a greenhouse gas, aiding in global warming.

# Emission of GHG from various food products from crop and animal

Basically four stages of life cycle of food products i.e., production, processing, transportation and preparation are

contributed in the emission of GHGs. Food products from animal determined the CH<sub>4</sub> emission, while food products from crop determined the emission of CH<sub>4</sub> (from rice cultivation) and N<sub>2</sub>O (from all crops). Emission of CO<sub>2</sub> occurred during farm operations, production of farm inputs, transport, processing and preparation of food. Production of food products varied considerably in GHG emission (Table 2). For example, emission of GHG from production of ordinary rice was about 10.2 and 43.3 times higher than production of wheat and vegetables, respectively. Higher emission in rice was because of CH<sub>4</sub> emission under anaerobic soil condition, whereas, wheat, vegetables and other crops are grown in aerobic soil conditions and there is no CH<sub>4</sub> emission. Potato and other root vegetables have high productivity, resulting in low emission of GHG per unit food product. Production of food (meat and milk) from animal emitted larger amount of GHG compared to food from crops because of emission of methane by ruminants. The nature of GHG also varied for different food items. The food products from animal such as mutton, poultry meat, dairy products and fish dominated the CH<sub>4</sub> emission. On the other hand, the food products from crop contributed to N<sub>2</sub>O emission except rice, which contributed to CH<sub>4</sub> as well as N<sub>2</sub>O emission. Application of synthetic nitrogen fertilizers in agriculture was responsible for a major part of the N<sub>2</sub>O emission. The GWP of food items was larger on dry weight basis than that with fresh weight basis However, as the foods are generally consumed fresh, the results lower GWP.

Table 2. Emission of greenhouse gases due to production of various food products from crop and animal

Crop/animal		GHG emission(g/kg)				
product	$CH_4$	$N_2O$	$CO_2$	GWP (CO <sub>2</sub> eq.)		
Wheat	0.0	0.3	45.0	119.5		
Rice	43.0	0.2	75.0	1221.3		
Pulse	0.0	0.8	83.3	306.8		
Cauliflower	0.0	0.1	13.3	28.2		
Brinjal	0.0	0.1	12.5	31.1		
Oilseed	0.0	1.3	50.0	422.5		
Mutton	482.5	0.0	0.0	12062.7		
Egg	0.0	2.0	1.0	588.4		
Milk	29.2	0.0	0.0	729.2		
Fish	25.0	0.3	18.8	718.3		
Apple	0.0	1.0	41.7	331.4		
Banana	0.0	0.2	10.0	71.6		
Spice	0.0	2.5	100.0	845.0		

#### Effect of climate change on ecosystem

### Plant and animal species

The rapid pace of changes may be too quick for many organisms to adjust to changing habitats. About 80% of the existing forests are undergoing a change in the type of vegetation. Many species of plants and animals might not be able to cope with climate change and could, therefore, face "Extinction".

#### Sea Level

Sea levels have risen between 4-10 inches since 1990. By 2100, there will be 2 foot rise in the sea level and it will continue to rise 2-3 feet per century, for 1000 years. It increases the salinity of freshwater throughout the world and cause coastal lands to be washed under the ocean. Warmer water and increased humidity will encourage tropical cyclones.

Changing wave patterns could produce more tidal waves and strong beach erosion. Increased water vapour in the atmosphere, glaciers and polar ice caps appear to be melting, floods and droughts are becoming more severe. About 50 million acres of Asian region are already subjected to seasonal floods.

#### **Observed changes in temperature**

Change in atmospheric temperature has been reported by many workers. (Rao and Ramakrishna 2008) observed that an increase in air temperature over last 100 years (1850-1899 to 2001-2005) of 0.76°C in India. Sinha et al. (1998) found that in many parts of Northern India, there is an increase in minimum temperature by about 1°C in *rabi* season. Hingane *et al.* (1985) worked on long term trends of surface temperature in India from a period of 1900-1982 from 73 weather stations distributed over the country and found warming trend of 0.04°C/decade. Indian mean annual temperature has shown significant warming trend of 0.05 °C per decade during 1901-2003, the recent period 1971-2003 has a relatively accelerated warming rate of 0.22 °C per decade. Analysis of meteorological data of Punjab conducted by Kaur and Hundal (2008) revealed that the maximum temperature has decreased from normal at Ballowal Saunkri and at Bathinda, however, for other locations no trend could be established. The kharif maximum temperature decreased at a rate of 0.04 °C per year at Ballowal Saunkri and at Bathinda. The annual and seasonal minimum temperature has increased at the rate of 0.07°C/year over past three decades at Ludhiana. At Patiala the annual and kharif minimum temperature has increased at the rate of 0.02 °C per year and at Bathinda the annual, kharif and rabi minimum temperatures has increased at the rate of 0.03, 0.02 and 0.05°C per year, respectively. However no trend of change in minimum temperature was observed at Ballowal Saunkri and Amritsar.

#### **Observed changes in rainfall**

Rupa Kumar et al. (2002) observed that summer monsoon rainfall during 1901-2000 has shown significant decreasing trends in the sub-divisions of NE India, viz. Nagaland, Mizoram, Manipur and Tripura (-12.5mm/decade), Orissa (-11.0 mm/decade) and East Madhya Pradesh (-14.0 mm/decade). Significant increasing rainfall trends in Konkan and Goa (27.9 mm/decade) and coastal Karnataka (28.4 mm/decade) along the west-cost and in Haryana, Chandigarh and Delhi (13.6 mm/decade) and Punjab (18.6 mm/decade) were noticed in North India. Similarly, the winter monsoon rainfall has shown significant increasing trend in the subdivisions of Marathwada (5.4 mm/decade), Telengana and North interior Karnataka (4.5 mm/decade), in central India and also in Gujarat (1.2 mm/decade). Hundal and Kaur (2002) observed an overall increase in rainfall over a period of 1970-1998 at different locations in Punjab, but during the period from 1999 to 2005 below normal rainfall was received at all the five locations (Ballowal Saumkri, Amritsar, Ludhiana, Patiala and Bathinda) during the year 1999, 2002, 2004 and 2005. In the year of 2000 below normal rainfall was recorded at Ludhiana and Patiala and during 2001 below normal rainfall was recorded at all the four locations except at Ludhiana. This resulted in arresting the increasing trend of rainfall at different locations in the state. Hence, no significant trend in increases/decreases of rainfall was noted at all locations except at Ballowal Saunkri where a significant decreasing trend was noticed.

#### Shift in monthly rainfall

Any shift in monthly rainfall has a direct bearing on agriculture as it influences various agricultural operations mainly the time of sowing and subsequent crop growth therefore necessitating shift in time of sowing and cropping patterns to match the modified rainfall regime. A study by Rajegowda *et al.* (2001) from Karnataka covering the period 1991-2000 indicated that shift in rainfall peaks by 2-3 weeks. Similarly, Sastri and Urkurkar (1996) observed trends of decreasing pattern in premonsoon rainfall in some parts of Chhattisgarh region in May and June proving detrimental to pre-sowing operations of rice.

#### Projected climate change in india

Projected change in seasonal temperature and rainfall is being presented in Table 3 as projected by Lal (2001).

Table 3. Climate change projected for India

Year	Season	Temperature change (°C)		e change (°C) Rainfall change (%)	
		Lowest	Highest	Lowest	Highest
2020	Annual	1.00	1.41	2.16	5.97
	Rabi	1.08	1.54	-1.95	4.36
	Kharif	0.87	1.17	1.81	5.10
2050	Annual	2.23	2.87	5.36	9.34
	Rabi	2.54	3.18	-9.22	3.82
	Kharif	1.81	2.37	7.18	10.52
2080	Annual	3.53	5.55	7.48	9.90
	Rabi	4.14	6.31	-24.83	-4.50
	Kharif	2.91	4.62	10.10	15.18

Source: Lal (2001).

## Projected impacts of climate change on Indian Agriculture

- Productivity of most cereals would decrease due to increase in temperature. Reports indicate a loss of 10-40 % in crop production by 2100 AD. Greater loss expected in *rabi*. Increased droughts and floods are likely to increase production variability
- The potential effect of climate change on agriculture is the shifts in the sowing time and length of growing seasons geographically Increased temperature would increase fertilizer

requirement for the same production targets. However, increase in  $CO_2$  concentration can lower pH, thereby, directly affecting both nutrient availability and microbial activity

- The effect of temperature rise will lead to an increase in soil biological activity as well as physical and chemical processes.
- The average atmospheric temperatures are expected to increase more near the poles than at the equator.
- Increased temperature resulting from global warming is likely to reduce the profit from cultivation and will compel farmers of lower latitudes to option for maize and sorghum, which are better adapted to higher temperature

• In mid-latitudes, crop models indicated that warming of less than a few °C and the associated increase in CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations will lead to positive responses and generally negative responses with greater warming

## Effect of elevated Co<sub>2</sub> concentration on agriculture

Elevated  $CO_2$  concentrations often stimulate plant growth, because photosynthesis is stimulated by elevated  $CO_2$ , at least in  $C_3$  plants, secondly in almost all species, stomatal closure is induced in response to the increased availability of  $CO_2$ . Lower stomatal conductance can result in reduced evapotranspiration, which in turn can result in comparably higher soil moisture at any given plant biomass, or to the maintenance of higher plant biomass at any given level of soil H<sub>2</sub>O (Jackson *et al* 1998, Niklaus and Korner 2004, Owensby *et al.*, 1999).

#### Effect of elevated CO<sub>2</sub> on fertilization

The increase in  $CO_2$  in the atmosphere could enhance plant growth by increasing the rate of photosynthesis leading to more leaf expansion and a large canopy. Photosynthesis is the net accumulation of carbohydrates formed by uptake of  $CO_2$ .

#### Effect of elevated CO<sub>2</sub> on C<sub>3</sub> and C<sub>4</sub> plants

 $C_3$  plants respond more favorably to increasing  $CO_2$  than  $C_4$ plants because they tend to suppress rates of photorespiration. Productivity of a crop community depends mainly on its photosynthetic capacity, which is highly dependent on climatic conditions. There are numerous reports, which suggest that environmental carbon dioxide concentration is increasing and as result temperature is also increasing. Both of these environmental variables play an important role in determining photosynthesis rates of the crop canopy. Carbon dioxide is a substrate for photosynthesis reactions. Atmospheric carbon dioxide reaches the chloroplasts, the site of photosynthesis reactions, by diffusion process through the pores on leaf surface called stomata. The temperature, at which a crop is exposed, influences activity of various enzymes including those responsible for photosynthesis. The difference in photosynthesis efficiency between C<sub>3</sub> and C<sub>4</sub> plants is due to photorespiration.

#### Causes for difference in photorespiration

Crop plants are broadly classified into two groups on the basis of the photosynthetic mechanism followed by them. Majority of cultivated species show first stable product of photosynthesis as a 3 carbon molecule called glyceric acid-3phosphate and are called as  $C_3$  plants. Whereas some crops like sugarcane, maize and sorghum have an additional mechanism of photosynthetic reaction in which the first stable product is a 4 carbon molecule. These plants are called as  $C_4$  plants which are considered to be more efficient than  $C_3$  plants. The advantage of  $C_4$  mechanism over  $C_3$  is most pronounced in the conditions of low carbon dioxide availability, high light intensity and high temperature. These conditions favour photorespiratory carbon loss leading to reduced net photosynthesis of  $C_3$  plants. This photorespiration is negligible in  $C_4$  plants due to leaf anatomy and enzymes involved in carboxylation. In  $C_3$  plants, all the photosynthetic reactions take place in mesophyll cells which get atmospheric air having both carbon dioxide and oxygen in their natural proportion of approximately 20% O<sub>2</sub> and 0.03% CO<sub>2</sub>. The enzyme Rubisco (ribulose-1, 5-bisphosphate carboxylase-oxygenase) has both properties of carboxylation (carbon fixation) as well as oxygenation responsible for photorespiration. Due to high availability of oxygen in mesophyll cells (where Rubisco is present), C<sub>3</sub> plants show considerable rate of photorespiration. Whereas in case of C<sub>4</sub> plants, carboxylation process of photosynthesis takes place in presence of enzyme phosphoenol pyruvate (PEP Case) in mesophyll cells in which both carbon dioxide and oxygen are available. This enzyme has very high affinity to CO<sub>2</sub> with no oxygenation property and hence, it can not utilize oxygen. The carbon dioxide fixed in mesophyll cells in form of 4 carbon acid is decarboxylated and pumped into bundle sheath cells where it is again carboxylated by the enzyme Rubisco. These bundle sheath cells are surrounded by mesophyll cells thereby limiting oxygen availability. Moreover, pumping of carbon dioxide from mesophyll cells increase carbon dioxide concentration in bundle sheath cells so much that all the reaction sites of Rubisco get sufficient carbon dioxide molecules to carry out carboxylation leaving negligible/no site for reaction with oxygen. The differences in leaf anatomy and enzyme involved in primary CO<sub>2</sub> fixation are responsible for differences in photorespiration rates between  $C_3$ and C<sub>4</sub> plants.

# Response to elevated CO<sub>2</sub> concentration

Increase in carbon dioxide concentration causes an increase in photosynthesis rate in both  $C_3$  and C4 plants up to a certain  $CO_2$  concentration, which is variable with crop species. But very high  $CO_2$  concentration causes reduction in leaf photosynthesis due to partial closure of stomata. The response of  $C_3$  plants to elevated  $CO_2$  concentration for carbon fixation is more than that of  $C_4$  plants. It is because of the reason that at elevated  $CO_2$  concentration, the ratio of chloroplastic  $CO_2$ :  $O_2$  increases causing more carbon dioxide molecules competing with oxygen for reaction site of Rubisco resulting in increased photosynthesis rate in  $C_3$  plants. Low response of  $C_4$  plants to elevated  $CO_2$  concentration leading to high net photosynthesis rate in  $C_3$  plants. Low reasons:

- The enzyme PEP carboxylase has about 100 times more affinity to CO<sub>2</sub> than Rubisco and therefore, PEP Case can react well even with low amount of CO<sub>2</sub> present in the chloroplast. This enzyme is incapable of reacting with oxygen. Therefore, increased ratio of CO<sub>2</sub>: O<sub>2</sub> in mesophyll cells has low utility.
- The carbon dioxide liberated from  $C_4$  acids is pumped into bundle sheath cells (where Rubisco is present) causing  $CO_2$ concentration much higher than atmospheric concentration. Therefore, increased atmospheric carbon dioxide concentrations do not make proportional increase in  $CO_2$ concentration near the site of action of Rubisco enzyme in  $C_4$  plants.

Differential response of  $C_3$  and  $C_4$  plants to elevated carbon dioxide concentration is reported by Kimball *et al.* (2002) on the basis of a large number of FACE (Free Air CO<sub>2</sub> enrichment) experiments. They reported higher increase in photosynthesis of  $C_3$  species than  $C_4$  species in FACE experiments. They also found that peak leaf area index and crop yields increase in  $C_3$  plants under elevated  $CO_2$ concentration but in case of sorghum, a  $C_4$  plant, both these parameters reduced. The decrease in stomatal conductance and evapo-transpiration under elevated  $CO_2$  concentration may be due to partial stomatal closure at elevated levels of carbon dioxide. The benefit of  $CO_2$  enrichments are more pronounced in a short period study but in long term, the effects on photosynthesis is less, may be due to accumulation of photosynthates is source leaf because of less demand by sink.

#### Impact of climate change

Impact assessment of climate change on crop productivity is very difficult due to complicity of the response of different plants processes to changes in temperature and carbon dioxide concentration. The rise in carbon dioxide concentration may favor  $C_3$  photosynthesis because rise in atmospheric  $CO_2$ would not reach the level in foreseeable future at which photosynthesis is reduced due to stomatal closure. The C<sub>4</sub> plants being less responsive to elevated CO<sub>2</sub> concentration will have less or no improvement in their photosynthetic rate. The rise in temperature may have both beneficial and harmful effects on crop photosynthesis depending upon location, crop species, crop growth stage and level of temperature. The temperate reasons, the species and crop growth stages, which require warm climate may benefit from temperature rise. On the other hand, crops, which are adapted for low temperature and crops grown in tropical climate may be adversely affected due to rise in temperature. The productivity of crops will depend upon relatives gain in photosynthesis compared to respiratory loss. The latter is to play more important role because photosynthetic gain is limited by day light but increase in temperature associated respiration continues for whole of the crop life cycle. The plants like any other organism, have inbuilt mechanism to make adjustments in their different processes to enable to survive in a given set of conditions (acclimation). How and to what extent plants will adapt to environmental change, is not properly under stood. Therefore, the effects of short term (hours and crop season) experiments may not hold true in long term of decades on century changes in climate which is a slow but continuous change. Rice crop is sensitive to changes in temperature and carbon dioxide concentration (Hundal and Kaur 2002). Being a C<sub>3</sub> plant, rice holds an edge over C<sub>4</sub> plants due to increase in photosynthetic rates under expected enhanced CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations. The results of the simulation study for interactive effects of increasing temperature and CO<sub>2</sub> concentration revealed that the adverse effect of increase in temperature on growth and yield of crop was counter-balanced by favorable effect of increasing CO<sub>2</sub> levels up to some particular combination. With temperature increase of 1.0°C from normal, CO<sub>2</sub> concentration of only more than 500 ppm was able to nullify the negative deviations in growth and yield, but when temperature increased by 2.0°C from normal, even 600 ppm CO<sub>2</sub> was unable to nullify the adverse effect of temperature.

#### Effect of elevated CO<sub>2</sub> on nutrient cycling

Higher biomass productivity by plants under elevated  $CO_2$  will ultimately increase organic matter inputs to soils. Increased soil carbon under elevated  $CO_2$  could lead to higher soil microbial biomass and immobilization of nutrients. This will have negative effect on plant growth and has been demonstrated in a pot CO2 experiment where microbial biomass N increased and plant responses to elevated CO2 were negative (Diaz et al., 1993) mainly due to increased input of high C:N compounds to soils. On the other hand, extra C inputs to soils can increase microbial activity and thus enhance the mineralization of organic matter. Its positive effect on plant growth has been proposed by Zak et al. (1993). Two different mechanisms may explain these observations: First, microbial N may have been primed by extra C inputs under elevated CO<sub>2</sub> (mechanism proposed by Zak et al. 1993), second, increased soil moisture at elevated CO2 may have led to increased N mineralization, at least in water limited ecosystems. Microbial immobilization of extra N under elevated CO2 may be restricted to systems where N supply is abundant and nutrient cycles are not in equilibrium with plant demand (Niklaus and Korner 1996 and Hu et al., 1999). Phosphorus and sulphur may respond to elevated  $CO_2$  in a similar way as that of N because increases in soil microbial biomass will also be accompanied by the immobilization of these nutrients and the decomposition of soil organic matter will release the mineral nutrients that it contained. Higher soil moisture has been reported in many ecosystems exposed to elevated CO<sub>2</sub> (Morgan et al., 2004b) and this will enhance the leaching of nutrients because more water will drain through the soil profile, when saturation is exceeded. Korner and Arnone (1992) observed increased NO3<sup>-</sup> leaching from tropical communities. While many studies reported decrease in NO<sub>3</sub>leaching like Torbert et al. (1996) found decreased NO3<sup>-</sup> concentrations below the rooting zone. Niklaus et al. (2001b) observed reductions in soil NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> concentrations in calcareous grassland communities exposed to elevated CO<sub>2</sub> for several years. Soil NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> concentrations are regulated by many interacting processes, including nitrification and denitrification, immobilization of NH4<sup>+</sup> and NO3<sup>-</sup> by soil microbes, and rooting patterns and root uptake of NH4+ and NO3-. Therefore, predictions are difficult, but a principal control is certainly plant uptake of mineral N, which will reduce NH4<sup>+</sup> available for nitrification or remove the NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> produced.

#### Effect of elevated temperature and rainfall on agriculture

Temperature is a very important factor affecting crop productivity right from seed germination to harvest all phonological stages of crop are affected by temperature. Any variation in temperature will affect crop productivity adversely. Singh et al (2009) concluded that a decline in rainfall with anticipated thermal stress (maximum temperature is increased by 0.18 °C and minimum temperature is increased by 1.58 °C leads, on an average, to a reduction in crop yield by nearly 5% for every 10% decline. The positive effects of elevated CO<sub>2</sub> almost cancel out with enhanced thermal stress (maximum temperature is increased by 0.28 °C and minimum temperature is increased by 2.58 °C and reduction in rainfall by 40 and 50% such that soybean yield is up by only 0.1% and down by 6%, respectively. This suggests that significantly deficient monsoon rainfall conditions combined with thermal stress should adversely affect the positive effect of elevated CO<sub>2</sub> on the soybean crop in Madhya Pradesh, India. Khetarpal et al. (2009) observed the significant increase in rate of photosynthesis and reduction in stomatal conductance was observed in both the chickpea cultivars grown at high temperature. Pusa 1053 showed significant increase (64 %) in rate of photosynthesis during flowering stage. Similarly, Pusa

1108 plants showed 15.5 and 24.3% increase in rate of photosynthesis during vegetative and podding stages. Stomatal conductance decreased in both the cultivars under elevated temperature significantly at all the growth stages. Among two cultivars, Pusa 1053 showed higher reductions (43%) in stomatal conductance during vegetative stage. The response of crop species to temperature depends upon the temperature optima of photosynthesis, growth and yield. When the level of temperature is below the optimum for photosynthesis, a small increase in temperature can greatly increase the rate of photosynthesis and crop growth and the reverse is true when the level of temperature is near the maximum for growth and photosynthesis. The enhancement in photosynthesis rate under elevated temperature indicated that ambient temperature during the crop growth period was below optimum for photosynthesis. As a result, exposure of the plants to high temperature increased rate of photosynthesis.

Field studies conducted by Dhiman et al. (1985) and Saini and Nanda (1987) indicated that increased temperature hastened the rate of senescence resulting in reduced LAI and total biomass in wheat, the decreased crop duration with increased temperature resulted in reduction in gain yield. Hundal and Kaur (1995) reported that maximum LAI in wheat decreased by 4.5 to 33.8 %, in rice by 1.5 to 15.9 % and in groundnut by 1.2 to 5.3 % when the temperature increased from 0.5 to 3.0°C above normal, the study further revealed that with similar increase in temperature the grain yield of wheat, rice and maize declined by 5.5 to 25.7%, 2.4 to 25.1% and 7.4 to 21.4%, respectively from the normal yields. In another study conducted by Kaur et al. (2007) using CERES wheat model to assess the effect of intra seasonal increase in temperature from normal on yield of wheat sown on different dates revealed that in general an increase in temperature from mid February to mid March severely affected the yield of early, normal and late sown wheat. Mathauda et al. (2000) validate the CERES-Rice model under Punjab conditions and give the % deviation over normal scenario. The results showed a decline in crop duration, grains m<sup>-2</sup>, grain yield, maximum LAI, grains per ear, biomass and straw yield with each 0.5 <sup>o</sup>C increase in temperature over the normal during the crop season. Under the warm climatic scenarios, the reduced source size (leaf area) coupled with poor sink strength (as depicted by the number of grains per ear) reduced number of effective tillers (as indicated through lesser number of grains m<sup>-2</sup>) and shorter period of harvesting solar radiation (crop duration) resulted in considerable decline in biomass and grain yield of rice crop over the normal (Table 4). Jalota and Panigrahy (2009) suggested that amongst the three cropping systems, cotton-wheat will be affected more adversely than maize-wheat and rice-wheat systems. The adverse effect of increased temperature was more for maximum temperature than minimum temperature. Though increase CO<sub>2</sub> would increase the crop productivity but the magnitude of increase in crop yields was less than that of decrease by the increased temperature.

# Interactive effect of changing climatic factors on crop production

The ultimate productivity of crops is determined by the interaction of genotypes, soil constituents, water, temperature, day length etc. According to Yoshida and Parao (1976)

temperature, solar radiation and water directly affects the physiological processes involved in grain development and indirectly affects the grain yield by influencing the incidence of disease of insect and diseases. They found that the rice grain yield was correlated positively with average solar radiation and negatively with average daily mean temperature during reproductive stage. Relatively low temperature and high solar radiation during reproductive stage had positive effect on number of spikelets and hence increased the grain yield. Solar radiation had positive influence on grain filling during the ripening period. The simulation results indicate that warm climate with decreasing radiation levels will affect the growth and yield of cereal crops. However, the harmful effects of increasing temperature on growth and yield are likely to be counter-balanced by the increasing levels of CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations to some extent in the near future (Hundal and Kaur 1996 a,b).

# Effect of increased temperature and rainfall on nutrient cycling

Elevated CO<sub>2</sub> concentration along with other green house active atmospheric gases, will lead to higher mean atmospheric temperatures and altered precipitation patterns (IPCC, 2001). Goncalves and Carlyle (1994) studied N mineralization in vitro at different temperatures and soil moisture contents. The reduction in mineralization rate when reducing soil moisture from 60 to 10% of field capacity was much larger relatively at  $25^{\circ}$ C ( $\approx$ 70%) than at  $5^{\circ}$ C ( $\approx$ 50%). Warming both experimentally and naturally, is accompanied by increased evapotranspiration and, therefore, decreased soil moisture and plant water availability (Luo *et al.*, 2001), which results in a further reduction of microbial activity. Contrary to this, several whole-ecosystem studies have shown that increased air temperatures can actually result in decreased soil temperatures

Table 4.	Effect of	CO <sub>2</sub> and	temperature	on	LAI	of Rice
----------	-----------	---------------------	-------------	----	-----	---------

Temperature	CO <sub>2</sub> (ppm)				
	Normal (330)	400	500	600	
Deviation from normal (%)					
Normal	5.22*	+1.9	+8.5	+11.0	
$+ 0.5^{0}C$	-5.5	-1.9	+2.5	+6.6	
$+ 1.0^{0}$ C	-9.3	-6.1	-4.0	+1.7	
$+ 1.5^{\circ}C$	-9.8	-9.1	-5.7	-1.7	
$+ 2.0^{0}$ C	-12.3	-11.9	-7.8	-5.5	

Source: Hundal and Kaur (1996a,b)

Abrol et al. (1991) observed that in India the adverse effects of 1-2°C rise in temperature could be absorbed with 5-10 per cent increase in precipitation. The grain yield increase of 20-30 per cent may be possible on about 70 per cent area under rice and wheat. In northern India, warming could offset some losses in yield by early pod set in winter grain legumes like chickpea and lentil. All India estimates of production based on current relative contribution of different states in total production, showed decline in production from the current levels by 3.16 and 13.72 % in the year 2020 and 2050, respectively. Currently the winters are severe in Punjab, Haryana and western UP witnessing frosting in December and January. In future climate scenarios warming may ease the chilling conditions in these regions to favour potato productivity, while in other regions with cooler winter season the warming from current levels may prove detrimental (Singh and Lal 2009). Mahi (1996) found that the maximum LAI, biomass and grain yield of wheat and rice declined when the radiation decreased by 10 per cent from the normal but increased when the radiation was enhanced by 10 per cent from the normal. The simulation results suggest that the growth and yield of wheat and rice would be influenced by increasing temperature. The adverse affects generated by high temperature scenario may be lessened to some extent by decrease in radiation amounts. But this aspect is still uncertain because the radiation is expected to be on the lower side under the influence of greenhouse effect. In the Punjab state, there are indications that the amount of radiation is likely to decrease. As a result, the production of wheat and rice may be adversely affected depending upon the degree of change in the coming years. The past increase in CO<sub>2</sub> experienced to date and the projections of its increase in the future will no doubt counter balance the negative effects of rise in temperature on the crop productivity.

(Coulson et al., 1993, Robinson et al., 1995, Wookey et al., 1993). It could be due to increased biomass of plants at elevated ambient temperatures can effectively insulate soils from solar radiation, further, taller species will absorb solar radiation farther off the ground and convective heating of soils will effectively be reduced. Lukewille and Wright (1997) heated forest floor by 3 to 5°C using electric cables and found increased  $NO_3^-$  and  $NH_4^+$  in runoff. Joslin and Wolfe (1993) found higher soil NO<sub>3</sub>, Mg and Al concentrations at the warmer spots. High temperatures during summer can increase evapotranspiration particularly in areas with relatively dry climate, drier soils may have higher rates of erosion by wind and rain, also, the frequency of droughts may increase, further enhancing erosive losses (Schar et al., 2004). Plant canopies reduce erosive power of rain by interception, subsurface roots hold the soil in place, and crop residues and surface mulch reduce rill erosion rates (Nearing et al., 2004). Increased rainfall may also increase erosion because of increased amount of precipitation and due to extreme rainfall events as is predicted for many areas (IPCC 2001). Soil erosion by rain is determined by intensity of rain, a 1.5 to 2.0% increase in erosion rates can be expected by a percent increase in precipitation as reported by Nearing et al. (2004).

#### Effect of climate change on water requirement of plants

Warmer air temperatures will influence leaf evaporation by affecting vapour pressure diffusion because warmer air has a greater water vapor holding capacity so it will increase the evaporative gradient at the leaf surface (Polley 2002). It is possible that vapour pressure diffusion will rise with global warming, particularly in areas where precipitation is expected to decrease. Allen *et al.* (2003) found in soybean grown in

outdoor chambers that elevated CO2 reduced stomatal conductance, by 33% at an average growth temperature of 27°C, and by only 17 at 40°C. Increases in leaf area index can balance any changes that reduced canopy evaporation has on canopy water use, while having the potential to reduce soil evaporation. In field grown, wheat and sorghum crops exposed to FACE, ET decreased by 9 and 7%, respectively, relative to control plots (Conley et al., 2001; Grant et al., 2001). In a nutrient-poor, water-limited grassland system, there were small reductions in ET at elevated CO2. These small but insignificant differences in ET accumulated over time, leading to marginal but significant water savings at elevated CO2 (Niklaus et al., 1998; Niklaus and Korner 2004). Wilson et al. (1999) modeled ET for soybean and maize and found that, at the simplest level with no feedback operating, ET was reduced by 15.1 and 24.7% in soybean and maize, respectively, at double ambient (CO<sub>2</sub>). With maximum complexity accounting for atmospheric feedbacks, soil fluxes, and physiological responses, ET decreased by 5.4 and 8.6%, in soybean and maize respectively, at high CO<sub>2</sub>. Introduction of water stress to the model increased the reductions in ET for both crops. Grant et al. (2001) reported from a wheat experiment, in which FACE decreased ET by 7 and 19% under high and low N supplies, respectively. In contrast, soil water stress can enhance the reductions in ET under CO<sub>2</sub> enrichment as has been observed for sorghum (Conley et al 2001). Warmer air temperatures can nullify the effects of elevated CO<sub>2</sub> on ET. Allen et al. (2003) found in soybean grow in outdoor chambers that double ambient  $CO_2$ reduced ET by an average of 9 at 23°C, while high CO<sub>2</sub> had no effect on ET above 35°C. In a nut shell, the available data suggests that elevated CO2 reduces ET of well-watered canopies.

#### Effect of climate change on weeds

Apart from the direct CO<sub>2</sub> fertilization effect, climatic change, particularly precipitation and temperature, will have effects on weed biology. Temperature and precipitation are primary abiotic factors, which control distribution of vegetation on the globe, and as such will impact the geographical distribution of weeds with subsequent effects on their growth, reproduction, and competitive abilities. Increasing temperature may mean expansion of weeds into higher latitudes or higher altitudes. Many of the weeds associated with warm-season crops originated in tropical or warm temperature areas; consequently, northward expansion of these weeds may accelerate with warming (Patterson 1993, Rahman and Wardle 1990). Patterson et al. (1979) found that in itchgrass (Rottboelliia cochinchinensis) a warming of 3°C (day night temperature increase from 26/20 to 29/23°C) increased biomass and leaf area by 88 and 68%, respectively. Patterson (1995 a.b) reported a Northward expansion of weeds, such as Imperata cylindrica and witchweed (Striga asiatica), due to warming. However warming may restrict the southern expansion of some plants such as wild proso millet (Panicum miliaceum) due to increased competition (Patterson et al., 1986). Most of the crop species are  $C_3$  plants, while many weed species are  $C_4$  plants. C<sub>3</sub> plants are expected to benefit more from elevated CO<sub>2</sub> than C<sub>4</sub> plants which suggests that crops will gain a competitive advantage over most weeds. This could result in changes in herbicide efficacy because at elevated temperatures, metabolic

activity tend to increase uptake, translocation, and efficacy of many herbicides (Patterson et al., 1999), while moisture deficit, especially when severe, tends to decrease efficacy of postemergence herbicides, which generally perform good when plants are actively growing. Ziska et al. (1999) found that elevated CO<sub>2</sub> levels reduced the efficacy of the widely used herbicide glyphosate. In controlled-environment studies, herbicide efficacy was mostly reduced by elevated CO<sub>2</sub>, and effects were dependent on the mode of action of herbicides, on weed species, and on competition. Double-ambient CO<sub>2</sub> caused a decrease of 57% in efficacy of the herbicide fluazifopbutyl + fenoxyprop (blocks the activity of (AC Case) applied to Avena fatua ( $C_3$ ), no effects of elevated  $CO_2$  were found when the herbicide was applied to S. viridis (C<sub>4</sub>) (Archambault et al 2001). Differences in growth response and effects of  $CO_2$  on herbicide efficacy between A. fatua ( $C_3$ ) and S. viridis ( $C_4$ ) serve to illustrate the complexity of the issue. Coupland (1986) studied the effects of environmental conditions on herbicide efficacy on Agropyron repens and found that increased light, temperature and humidity immediately following application increased the efficacy of fluazifop-butyl, but that prolonged exposure of plants to increased temperature and light decreased efficacy. In this study, increased daytime temperature also caused decreased herbicide efficacy. Herbicide efficacy was found to increase, decrease, or remain the same when plants were subjected to changed environmental conditions (climate change) and efficacy changes were species specific.

#### Effect of climate change on fertilizer requirement

The total amount of N-fertilizer required by  $C_3$  crops to support optimal productivity at elevated CO<sub>2</sub> concentration is likely to remain same, despite the increase in biomass (Poorter and Navas 2003). This view is supported by results of wheat (Adamsen *et al.*, 2005) and for sorghum (Torbert *et al.*, 2004). While an increase in losses of nitrogen can leads to an increase in demand of its fertilizers, secondly in areas where favorable environmental conditions will be created due to altered rainfall and temperature regimes the need for fertilizers may increase.

#### Effect of increased CO<sub>2</sub> concentration on crops

Carbon dioxide is vital for photosynthesis and hence for plant growth. An increase in atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> concentration affects agricultural production by climate change and change in photosynthesis and transpiration rate. The direct effect of increased concentrations of CO<sub>2</sub> are generally beneficial to vegetation (Farquhar 1997), especially for  $C_3$  plants, as increased levels leads to higher assimilation rates and to an increase in stomatal resistance resulting in a decline in transpiration and improved WUE of crops. Simulation studies have been conducted to study the effect of increased concentration of CO2 on yield of crops. Lal et al (1998) found that under elevated CO<sub>2</sub> levels, yield of rice and wheat increased by 15 and 28%, respectively for a doubling in CO<sub>2</sub> concentration in NW India. Hundal and Kaur (1996a,b) reported that compared to base level of 330 ppm CO2, grain yield of rice would increase by 1.5, 6.6 and 8.7% with enhanced CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations of 400, 500 and 600 ppm, respectively (Table 5).

CO <sub>2</sub> concentration (ppm)	Grain yield (kg/ha)		% change : optimal an yield	from base d suboptimal
	Optimal	Sub-optimal	Optimal	Sub-optimal
330 (Base value)	3837	3112	-	-
440	4630	3695	21	19
550	5687	4327	48	39
660	6465	4876	68	57

Table 5. Change in wheat yield due to varying CO<sub>2</sub> concentration under optimal and sub optimal moisture conditions

Source: Hundal and Kaur (1996a,b)

### Effect of climate change on rice-wheat system productivity

Climate change may have serious direct and indirect effects on the rice-wheat system and food security of India. This may be aggravated by water scarcity, drought, flood, and decline in soil organic C content. Simulation models for rice production indicate a reduction in yield of about 5% per degree rise in mean temperature above 32°C (Matthews et al., 1995). This would counter balance any increase in yield due to increased CO<sub>2</sub> concentration. Rice is sensitive to hot temperature at anthesis, sterility in some varieties occurs if temperatures exceed 35°C at anthesis for only about one hour (Yoshida 1981). At anthesis, spikelet fertility declines from 90 to 20% after only 2 hour exposure to 38°C, and to 0% by less than one hour exposure to 41°C. The critical temperature for spikelet fertility (defined as when fertility exceeds 80%) varies between genotypes, but it is about 32-36°C (Yoshida 1981). Below 20°C and above about 32°C, spikelet sterility becomes a major factor, even if growth is satisfactory. Rao and Sinha (1994) showed that higher temperatures and reduced radiation associated with increased cloudiness caused spikelet sterility and reduced vields to such an extent that any increase in drymatter production as a result of CO<sub>2</sub> fertilization proved to be of no advantage in grain productivity of rice.

Hundal and Kaur (1996a,b) reported that, if all other climate variables remain constant, a temperature increase of 1, 2, and 3°C would reduce the grain yield of rice by 5.4, 7.4 and 25.1%, respectively. Matthews et al. (1997) suggested that rice production in the Asian region may decline by 3.8% under changed climate. Lal et al. (1998) observed that, under elevated CO<sub>2</sub> yields of rice increased significantly (28% for a doubling of CO<sub>2</sub>), however, 2°C increase in temperature canceled out the positive effect of elevated CO<sub>2</sub> on rice. Peng et al. (2004) reported that rice yield declined by 10% for each 1°C increase in growing season minimum temperature in the dry season, whereas, the effect of maximum temperature was insignificant. Zachrias et al. (2010) stated that the warmer temperature hastens crop development, shortens the growth period and thus finally lowers the grain yield. Impact of high temperature on crop growth and yield is largely determined by the duration and coincidence of it with sensitive crop growth phase. Period from panicle initiation to flowering stage is found to be more sensitive to high temperature stress in wheat and rice. Exposure to high temperature from seedling stage to panicle initiation stage affected yield predominantly causing tiller mortality and reduced number of spikes. Coincidence of high temperature stress with panicle initiation to flowering phase of crop affect grain yield by reducing dry matter accumulation, productive tillers, number of spikes, grain weight and increased floret

sterility. In case crop is exposed to heat stress from flowering to maturity, then the reduction in yield is predominantly caused by floret sterility leading to reduced number of grains per spike and also due to reduced grain weight. Effects of climate change on wheat production include reduced grain yield over most of India, with the greatest impacts in lower potential areas such as the eastern IGP (Ortiz et al., 2008). Physiological traits that are associated with wheat yield in heat-prone environments are canopy temperature depression, membrane thermostability, leaf chlorophyll content during grain filling, leaf conductance and photosynthesis and senescence (Reynolds et al., 1998). Grain growth is shorter with heat stress, thereby influencing grain filling (Wardlaw et al., 1980) and resulting in lower yield. Wheat cultivars capable of maintaining high test weight under heat stress are more tolerant to high temperature (Reynolds et al., 1994). Hundal and Kaur (1996a,b) observed that in Punjab (India), a temperature increase of 1, 2 and 3°C from present-day conditions, would reduce the grain yield of wheat by 8.1, 18.7 and 25.7%, respectively.

Lal et al. (1998) found that under elevated CO2, yields of wheat increased significantly (28% for a doubling of  $CO_2$ ), however, 3°C rice in temperature nullified the positive effect of elevated CO<sub>2</sub> on wheat. Attri and Rathore (2003) observed an increase in yield of wheat to the extent of 29-37 and 16-28% for different genotype, under rainfed and irrigated conditions, respectively, for a temperature rise coupled with elevated CO<sub>2</sub>  $(T_{max} + 1.0^{\circ}C, T_{min} + 1.5^{\circ}C \text{ and } 460 \text{ ppm CO}_2)$  compared with the current climate. An increase in temperature on the order of 3°C or more, however, canceled out the beneficial effects of elevated CO<sub>2</sub>. The impact of modified climate was observed to be higher under rainfed conditions than under irrigated conditions for all genotypes. Aggarwal and Sinha (1993) conducted simulation studies to find the impact of climate change on wheat yields for several locations in India using a modeling approach the results indicated that, in northern India, a 1°C rise in the mean temperature had no significant effect on potential yields, though an increase of 2°C reduced potential grain yields at most place. In another study, Rao and Sinha (1994) using the CERES-Wheat model, showed that wheat yields were lower than those in the current climate, even with the beneficial effects of  $CO_2$  on crop yield. Yield reductions were due to a shortening of the wheat growing season, resulting from an increase in temperature. Aggarwal and Mall (2002) observed that a 2°C increase resulted in a 15-17% decrease in grain yield of rice and wheat but, beyond that, the decrease was very high in wheat. The grain filling of wheat is seriously impaired by heat stress due to reductions in leaf and ear photosynthesis at high temperatures (Blum et al., 1994). Samra and Singh (2005) studied the impact of temperature rise in March 2004 on the productivity of wheat. A temperature increase above normal ranged from 1 to 12°C in different parts of northern India, resulting in a wheat production loss of 4.6 million tonnes due to increased incidences of pests and diseases, and advanced maturity of wheat by 10-20 days further reduced grain weight. Pathak and Wassmann (2007) studied the impacts of rainfall variability on wheat yield in Northwest India and showed that the years with scarce rainfall resulted in only 34% (Ludhiana) and 35% (Delhi) of the baseline yield. In Ludhiana, high rainfall years resulted in 200% yield as compared with the baseline yield, whereas these years resulted in only 105% yield in Delhi.

# Strategies to deal with changed climatic scenario

According to the recent IPCC assessment, agricultural production in South Asia could fall by 30% by 2050 if no action is taken to combat the effects of increasing temperatures and hydrologic changes (IPCC 2007). Adaptive options to deal with the impact of climate change are

- 1. Developing varieties tolerant to heat and salinity stress and resistant to flood and drought.
- 2. Modifying crop management practices.
- 3. Proper water management.
- 4. Adopting new resource-conserving technologies.
- Conservation tilling/zero tilling/ Zero tillage: It has many benefits like reduced water use, carbon sequestration, increases yield and income, reduced fuel consumption, reduced GHG emissions, more tolerant to heat stress.
- Laser-aided land leveling: It helps in reduced water use, efficient tractor use, reduced fuel consumption, reduced GHG emissions, increased area for cultivation.
- Direct drill seeding of rice: Its benefits are less requirement of water, saves time, postharvest soil conditions of field is better for succeeding crop, deeper root growth and better tolerance to water and heat stress, reduced methane emissions.
- Raised-bed planting: It has many advantages like less water use, improved drainage, better residue management, less lodging of crop, more tolerance to water stress.
- Improved farming systems with several crop rotations
- Residue recycling as retention on surface or incorporation
- Growing cover crops
- Judicious use of fertilizers & INM
- Site specific nutrient management
- Leaf colour chart for N management: Use of leaf colour chart can help in reducing fertilizer N requirement; reduce N loss and environmental pollution, reduced nitrous oxide emission.
- Water management/ conservation, irrigation
- Use of tensiometer: The use of tensiometer for irrigation scheduling in paddy can save considerable amount of irrigation water. There is need to shift from conventional practices to improved recommended practices.
- 5. Crop diversification. Diversification will help is efficient use of water, increased income and nutritional security, conserve soil fertility, reduce risk of crop failures.
- 6. Improving pest management by IPM.
- 7. Improved weather forecasts and weather based agro advisories.
- 8. Utilizing the indigenous technical knowledge of farmers

All these recommended practices sequestration given below:	have different level of potential of carbon
Recommended practices	C sequestration potential (Mg C/ha/yr)
Conservation tillage	0.10-0.40
Winter cover crop	0.05-0.20
Soil fertility management	0.05-0.10
Elimination of summer fallow	0.05-0.20
Forages based rotation	0.05-0.20
Use of improved varieties	0.05-0.10
Organic amendments	0.20-0.30
Water table management/	0.05-0.10
irrigation	

#### Some management options to mitigate climate change

- Minimum tillage or zero tillage with residue cover in surface for improving soil quality
- Cover cropping, in-situ residue management and restoration of degraded lands for soil moisture conservation and improved C-sequestration
- Agro-forestry with multipurpose trees, crops and animal components for improving hydrology and also creates green cover for carbon sequestration. Forestry has highest level to sequest more soil organic carbon stocks.
- Integrated farming systems and watershed development with animal, fishery and hedge row cropping for soil and moisture conservation and nutrient recycling.
- Screening short duration varieties for their drought resistance.
- Popularization of technologies like system of rice cultivation (SRI) and aerobic rice cultivation for water saving and mitigation of green house gas (GHG) emission.
- In-*situ* biomass management instead of biomass burning to reduce CO<sub>2</sub> emission and improve hydrology.
- Promotion of technologies that enhance biological N fixation and improve nutrient and water use efficiency to reduce  $N_2O$  emission and dependence on non-renewable energy.
- Change in planting dates and crop varieties are another adaptive measure to reduce impacts of climate change to some extent. For example, the Indian Agricultural Research Institute study indicates that losses in wheat production in future can be reduced from 4 – 5 million tons to 1-2 million tons if a large percentage of farmers could change to timely planting and changed to better adapted varieties.

#### **Nutrient Management**

- Precise N application (dose, time and place)
- Use of slow release N fertilizers or nitrification inhibitors
- Applying N when least susceptible to loss or prior to plant uptake
- Integrated nutrient management (INM) and Site-Specific Nutrient Management (SSNM) have the potential to mitigate effects of climate change

#### Water Management

Efficient water use leads to more grain and residue production which ultimately results in more carbon sequestration and reduces GHGs emission to a considerable level. Proper drainage improves the aeration in the soil and reduces the  $CH_4$ and  $N_2O$  emission from rice fields.

#### Researchable issues identified for future

- Breeding for improved crop varieties with specific reference to growth and flowering phenology, photo sensitivity/insensitivity, stability in response to inputs viz., lodging resistant, optimum tillering, harvest index etc.
- Evolving efficient water and soil management practices in addition to identification of crops and varieties with high water use efficiency, dry matter conversion ratio, positive response to temperature extremes and elevated CO<sub>2</sub>.
- Identifying new intercropping and novel farming system combinations including livestock and fisheries, which can

withstand predicted climate change situations and can be economically viable

- Identifying cost effective methods for reducing greenhouse gas emission from rice paddies and also from cropping systems with livestock components
- Promoting conservation agriculture practices especially in water harvesting, nutrient, pest and disease management

#### Conclusion

- Climate change is a reality.
- C<sub>3</sub> plants will be benefited more than C<sub>4</sub> plants at elevated CO<sub>2</sub>.
- Weeds will become more competitive from carbon fertilization.
- Mitigation strategies need to be studied to meet the challenge posed by climate change on agriculture productivity
- Water management practices such as alternate wetting and drying, mid-season drainage helps in reducing CH<sub>4</sub> emission from rice fields
- Increase humidity and higher temperature will result in more infestation of diseases

## REFERENCES

- Abrol, Y. P., Bagga, N., Chakravarty, N. V. K. and Wattal, P. N. 1991. Impact of rise in temperature on the productivity of wheat in India. Abrol Y P, Gnanam A, Govindjee, Ort D R, Teramura A H and Wattal P N (ed.) Proc Indo-US Workshop on Impact of Global Climate Change on Photosynthesis and Plant Productivity. pp 787-98. Oxford and IBH Publishing Co. Pvt Ltd.
- Adamsen, F. J., Wechsung, G. and Wechsung, F. 2005. Temporal changes in soil and biomass nitrogen for irrigated wheat grown under free-air carbon dioxide enrichment (FACE). Agronomy Journal 97: 160-168.
- Aggarwal, P. K. and Mall, R. K. 2002. Climate change and rice yields in diverse agro-environments of India. II. Effect of uncertainties in scenarios and crop models on impact assessment. *Climatic Change* 52: 331-343.
- Aggarwal, P. K. and Sinha, S. K. 1993. Effect of probable increase in the carbon dioxide and temperature on the wheat yields in India. *J Agric Meteorol* 48: 811-814.
- agriculture, Base Paper. National Academy for Agricultural Science. pp 20.
- Allen, L. H., Pan, D., Boote, K. J., Pickering, N. B. and Jones, J. W. 2003. Carbon dioxide and temperature effects on evapotranspiration and water use efficiency of soybean. *Agronomy Journal* 95 : 1071-1081.
- Archambault, D. J., Li, X., Robinson, D., O'Donovan, J. T. and Klein, K. K. 2001. The effects of elevated CO2 and temperature on herbicide efficacy and weed/crop competition. *Report to the Prairie Adaptation Research Collaborative*, 29.
- Attri, S. D. and Rathore, L. S. 2003. Simulation of impact of projected climate change on wheat in India. *Int J Climatol* 23: 693-705.
- Bhatia, A., Jain, N. and Pathak, H. 2010. Greenhouse gas emissions from Indian agriculture. Low carbon technologies for agriculture: A study on rice and wheat systems in the Indo-Gangetic Plains. Editors Pathak H and

Aggrawal P K Indian Agricultural Research Institute, New Delhi pp 1-9.

- Blum, A., Sinmena, B., Mayer, J., Golan, G. and Shpiler, L. 1994. Stem reserve mobilization supports wheat-grain filling under heat stress. *Austr J Plant Physiol* 21: 771-781.
- Conley, M. M., Kimball, B. A. and Brooks, T. J. 2001. CO<sub>2</sub> enrichment increases water-use efficiency in sorghum. *New Phytologist* 151 : 407-412.
- Coulson, S., Hodkinson, I. D., Strathdee, A., Bale, J. S., Block, W., Worland, M. R. and Webb, N. R. 1993. Simulated climate change-the interaction between vegetation type and microhabitat temperatures at Ny-Alesund, Svalbard. Polar Biology 13 : 67-70.
- Coupland, D. 1986. The effects of environmental factors on the performance of fluazifopbutyl against *Elymus repens*. *Annals of Applied Biology* 108 : 353-363.
- Dhiman, S. D., Singh, D. P. and Sharma, H. C. 1985. Grain growth of wheat as influenced by time of sowing and nitrogen fertilization. *HAU J Res* 15: 158-163.
- Diaz, S., Grime, J. P., Harris, J. and McPherson, E. 1993. Evidence of a feedback mechanism limiting plant response to elevated carbon dioxide. *Nature* 364 : 616-617.
- Farquhar, G. D. 1997. Carbon dioxide and vegetation. *Science* 278 : 1411.
- Goncalves, J. L. M. and Carlyle, J. C. 1994. Modeling the influence of moisture and temperature on net nitrogen mineralization in a forested sandy soil. *Journal Soil Biology and Biochemistry* 26 : 1557-1564.
- Grant, R. F., Kimball, B. A., Brook, T. J. 2001. Modeling interactions among carbon dioxide, nitrogen and climate on energy exchange of wheat in a free air carbon dioxide experiment. *Agronomy* Journal 93 : 638-649.
- Hingane, L. S., Rupa Kumar, K. and Ramana Murty, B. V. 1985. Long term trends of surface air temperature in India. *J Climatology* 5: 521-528.
- Hu, S., Firestone, M. K. and Chapin, F. S. 1999. Soil microbial feedbacks to atmospheric  $CO_2$  enrichment. Trends in *Ecology and Evolution* 14 : 433-437.
- Hundal, S. S. and Kaur, P. 1995. Effect of environmental stresses on potential productivity on major cereal crops in Punjab. Proc International Conference on Sustainable Agriculture and Environment. 11-13 January 1995 HAU Hissar.
- Hundal, S. S. and Kaur, P. 1996a. Climate change and its impact on crop productivity in Punjab, India. In "Climate Variability and Agriculture" (Y P Abrol, S Gadgil and G B Pant eds.). pp 377-393. Narosa Publishing House, New Delhi.
- Hundal, S. S. and Kaur, Prabhjyot. 1996b. Climatic change and its impact on crop productivity in Punjab, India. In Abrol Y P, Gadgil S and Pant G B (ed.). *Climatic Variability and Agriculture* .pp 377-393. Narosa Publishing House, New Delhi.
- Hundal, S. S. and Kaur, Prabhjyot. 2002. Annual and seasonal climatic variability at different locations at Punjab state. *J Agrometeorology* 4: 506-511.
- INCCA (Indian Network for Climate Change Assessment). 2010. Assessment of the greenhouse gas emission : 2007, The Ministry of Environment & Forests, Govt. of India, New Delhi.
- IPCC (Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change). 2001. Climate change 2001: Third assessment report of the

Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK.

- IPCC. 2007. Fourth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change: The Impacts, Adaptation and Vulnerability (Working Group III). Cambridge University Press, New York.
- Jackson, R. B., Sala, O. E., Paruelo, J. M. and Mooney, H. A. 1998. Ecosystem water fluxes for two grasslands in elevated CO2: a modeling analysis. *Oecologia* 113 : 537-546.
- Jalota, and Panigrahy. 2009. Simulating the Effect of Temperature on Growth and Yield of BT Cotton Under Semi-Arid Conditions of Punjab, India *ISPRS workshop proceedings; Impact on Climate change in Agriculture* Pp 138-142
- Joslin, J. D. and Wolfe, M. H. 1993 Temperature increase accelerates nitrate release from high-elevation red spruce soils. *Canadian Journal of Forest Research* (Revue Candienne De Recherche Forestiere) 23 : 756-759.
- Kaur, Prabhjyot and Hundal, S. S. 2008. Impact of climate change on agriculture over Punjab. In : Prasada Rao G S L H V, Rao G G S N, Rao, Rao V U M and Ramakrishna Y S (ed) *Climate Change and Agriculture over India.* pp 237-257.Kerala Agricultural University.
- Kaur, Prabhjyot, Singh, H. and Hundal, S. S. 2007. Application of CERES-Wheat model in evaluating the impact of within season temperature rise on wheat yield in Punjab. Proc National Conference on "Impact of Climate Change with Particular Reference to Agriculture" held at Tamil nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore from 22-24 August 2007.
- Khetarpal, S., Pal, M. and Sneh, Lata. 2009. Effect of elevated temperature on growth and physiological Characteristics in chickpea cultivars. *J. Plant physiol*.15 (4): 350-358
- Kimball, B.A., Kobayashi, K. and Bindi, M. 2002. Responses of agricultural crops to free-air CO2 enrichment. *Advances in Agronomy* 77: 293-368.
- Korner, C. and Arnone, J. A. 1992. Responses to elevated carbon dioxide in artificial tropical ecosystems. *Science* 257 : 1672-1675.
- Lal, M. 2001. Future climate change: implications for Indian summer monsoon and its variability. *Current Sci* 81: 1205.
- Lal, M., Singh, K. K., Rathore, L. S., Srinivasan, G. and Saseendran, S. A. 1998. Vulnerability of rice and wheat yields in NW India to future changes in climate. *Agric For Meteorol* 89 : 101-114.
- Lal, M., Singh, K. K., Srinivasan, G., Rathore, L. S., Naidu, D. and Tripathi, C. N. 1998. Growth and yield response of soybean in Madhya Pradesh, India to climatic variability and change. *Agric For Meteorol* 89: 101-114.
- Lukewille, A. and Wright, R. F. 1997. Experimentally increased soil temperature causes release of nitrogen at a boreal forest catchment in southern Norway. *Global Change Biology* 3: 13-21.
- Luo, Y. Q., Wan, S. Q., Hui, D. F. and Wallace, L. L. 2001. Acclimatization of soil respiration to warming in a tall grass prairie. *Nature* 413 : 622-625.
- Mahi, G. S. 1996. Effect of climate change on simulated wheat and rice yield under Punjab conditions. *PhD Dissertation PAU Ludhiana*.
- Manjunath, K.R., Panigrahy, S., Addhya, T.K., Beri, V., Rao, K.V. and Parihar, J.S., 2009. Methane emission inventory

from Indian Rice ecosystem using remote sensing, GIS and field observations. Scientific Report, Space Applications Centre, Ahmedabad, SAC/AFEG/AMD/EIAA/SN/03/08, p 131.

- Mathauda, S. S., Mavi, H. S., Bhangoo, B. S. and Dhaliwal, B. K.2000. Impact of projected climate change on rice production in punjab (india) *Tropical Ecology* 41(1): 95-98
- Matthews, R. B., Kropff, M. J. and Bachelet, D. 1995. Introduction. In "Modeling the Impact of Climate Change on Rice Production in Asia" (R B Matthews, M J Kropff, D Bachelet and H H van Laar, eds.). pp 3-9. CAB International and International Rice Research Institute, Philippines.
- Matthews, R. B., Kropff, M. J., Horie, T. and Bachelet, D. 1997. Simulating the impact of climate change on rice production in Asia and evaluating options for adoption. *Agric Syst* 54 : 399-425.
- Morgan, J. A., Pataki, D. E., Korner, C. 2004b. Water relations in grassland and desert ecosystems exposed to elevated atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub>. *Oecologia* 140 : 11-25.
- Nearing, M. A., Pruski, F. F. and O'Neal, M. R. 2004. Expected climate change impacts on soil erosion rates : a review. *Journal of Soil and Water Conservation* 59 : 43-50.
- Niklaus, P. A. and Korner, C. 1996. Responses of soil microbiota of a late successional alpine grasslands to long term  $CO_2$  enrichment. *Plant and Soil* 184 : 219-229.
- Niklaus, P. A. and Korner, C. 2004. Synthesis of a six-year study of calcareous grassland responses to in situ CO<sub>2</sub> enrichment. *Ecological Monographs* 74 : 491-511.
- Niklaus, P. A., Kandeler, E., Leadley, P. W., Schmid, B., Tscherko, D. and Korner, C. 2001b. A link between elevated CO<sub>2</sub>, plant diversity and soil nitrate. *Oecologia* 127 : 540-548.
- Niklaus, P. A., Spinnler, D. and Korner, C. 1998. Soil moisture dynamics of calcareous grassland under elevated CO<sub>2</sub>. *Oecologia* 117 : 201-208.
- Ortiz, R., Sayre, K. D., Govaerts, B., Gupta, R., Subbarao, G. V., Ban, T., Hodson, D., Dixon, J. A., Ortiz Monasterio, J. I. and Reynolds, M. 2008. Climate change: Can wheat beat the heat? *Agric Ecosyst Environ* 126 : 46-58.
- Owensby, C. E., Ham, J. M., Knapp, A. K. and Auen, L. M. 1999. Biomass production and species composition change in a tallgrass prairie ecosystem after long-term exposure to elevated atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub>. *Global Change Biology* 5 : 497-506.
- Pathak, H. and Wassmann, R. 2007. Introducing greenhouse gas mitigation as a development objective in rice-based agriculture. I. Generation of technical coefficients. *Agric Syst* 94 : 807-825.
- Pathak, H., Bhatia, A., Jain and Aggarwal, P. K. 2010 Greenhouse gas emission and mitigation in Indian agriculture- A review. In: ING Bulletins on Regional Assessment of Reactive Nitrogen Bulletin No. 19. Ed: Bijay Singh. SCON-ING, New Delhi.
- Patterson, D. T. (1995b) Effects of environmental stress on weed/ crop interact ions. *Weed Science*, 43, 483±490.
- Patterson, D. T. 1993. Implications of global climate change for impact of weeds, insects and plant diseases. *International Crop Science* 1 : 273-280.
- Patterson, D. T. 1995a. Weeds in a changing climate. Weed Science 43, 685-701.

- Patterson, D. T. *et al.* 1986. Effect of temperature and photoperiod on Texas panicum (*Panicum texanum*) and wild proso millet (*Panicum miliaceum*). Weed Science 34 : 876-882.
- Patterson, D. T., Meyer, C. R., Flint, E. P. and Quimby, P. C. Jr. 1979. Temperature responses and potential distribution of itchgrass (*Rottboellia exaltata*) in the United States. *Weed Science* 27 : 77-82.
- Patterson, D. T., Westbrook, J. K., Joyce, R. J. V., Lingren, P. D. and Rogasik, J. 1999. Weeds, insects and diseases. *Climate Change* 43: 711-727.
- Peng, S. B., Huang, J. L., Sheehy, J. E., Laza, R. C., Visperas, R. M., Zhong, X. H., Centeno, G. S., Khush, G. S. and Cassman, K. G. 2004. Rice yields decline with higher night temperature from global warming. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 101: 9971-9975.
- Polley, H. W. 2002. Implications of atmospheric and climatic change for crop yield and water use efficiency. *Crop Science* 42 : 131-140.
- Poorter, H. and Navas, M. L. 2003. Plant growth and competition at elevated CO<sub>2</sub>: on winners, losers and functional groups. *New Phytologist* 157: 175-198.
- Rahman, A. and Wardle, D. A. 1990. Effects of climate change on cropping weeds in New Zealand. In The Impact of Climate Change on Pests, Diseases, Weeds and Beneficial Organisms Present in New Zealand Agricultural and Horticultural Systems, Prestridge, R. A. and Pottinger, P. P. eds. New Zealand Ministry for the Environment, Wellington, NZ, 107-112.
- Rajegowda, M. B., Murali, N. M. and Muralidhara, K. S. 2001. Agroclimatic features of Gandhi Krishi Vignana Kendra, Technical Bulletin : AICRP on Agrometeorology , UAS, Angalore. Pp 33.
- Rao, D. and Sinha, S. K. 1994. Impact of climate change on simulated wheat production in India. In "Implications of Climate Change for International Agriculture : Crop Modelling Study" (C Rosenzweig and A Iglesias, eds.). EPA 230-B-94-003. US Environmental Protection Agency, Washington, DC.
- Rao, V. U. M. and Ramakrishna Y S. 2008. Impact of regional climate change over India. In : Prasada Rao, G. S. L. H. V., Rao, G. G. S. N., Rao, V. U. M. and Ramakrishna, Y. S. (ed) *Climate Change and Agriculture over India*. Pp13-50. Kerala Agricultural University.
- Reynolds, M. P., Balota, M., Delgado, M. I. B., Amani, I. and Fischer, R. A. 1994. Physiological and morphological traits associated with spring wheat yield under hot, irrigated conditions. *Austr J Plant Physiol* 21: 717-730.
- Reynolds, M. P., Singh, R. P., Ibrahim, A., Ageeb, O. A. A., Larque Saavedra, A. and Quick, J. S. 1998. Evaluating the physiological traits to complement empirical selection for wheat in warm environments. *Euphytica* 100 : 85-94.
- Robinson, C. H., Wookey, P. A., Parsons, A. N. 1995. Responses of plant litter decomposition and nitrogen mineralization to simulated environmental change in a high arctic polar semi-desert and subarctic dwarf shrub health. *Oikos* 74 : 503-512.
- Rupa Kumar, K., Krishna Kumar, K. and Pant, G. B. and Rinivasan, G. 2002. Climate change- The Indian
- Saini, A. and Nanda, R. 1987. Analysis of temperature and photoperiodic response to flowering in wheat. *Indian J Agric Sci* 57:351-359.

- Samra, J. S. and Singh, G. 2005. Heat Wave of March 2004: Impact on Agriculture. p 32. Indian Council of Agricultural Research, New Delhi.
- Sastri, A. S. R. A. S. and Urkukar, J. S. 1996. Climatic variability and crop productivity : a case study for Chhattisgarh region of central India. In Abrol, Y. P., Gadgil, S. and Pant, G. B. (ed.). *Climatic Variability and Agriculture*. Pp 394-410. Narosa Publishing House, New Delhi.
- Scenario, In : Background Paper prepared By FICCI, International Conference on Science and Technology Capacity Building for Climate Change, October 20-22, New Delhi. Pp 5-17.
- Schar, C., Vidale, P. L., Luthi, D., Haberli, C., Liniger, M. A. and Appenzeller, C. 2004. The role of increasing temperature variability in European summer heat waves. *Nature* 427 : 332-336.
- Singh, J..P. and Lal, S.S. 2009. Climate Change And Potato Production In India. ISPRS Archives XXXVIII-8/W3 Workshop Proceedings: Impact of Climate Change on Agriculture pp110-115.
- Sinha, S. K., Singh, G. B., Purohit, A. N. and Singh, A. K. 1998. Climate change and perspective for agriculture. Base Paper. National Academy of Agricultural Sciences. 20p
- Torbert, H. A., Prior, S. A., Rogers, H. H. 2004. Elevated CO<sub>2</sub> effects on N fertilization in grain sorghum and soybean. *Field Crops Research* 88 : 47-57.
- Torbert, H. A., Prior, S. A., Rogers, H. H., Schlesinger, W. H., Mullins, G. L. and Runion, G. B. 1996. Elevated atmospheric carbon dioxide in agro ecosystems affects ground-water quality. *Journal of Environmental Quality* 25: 720-726.
- Wardlaw, J. F., Sofield, I. and Cartwright, P. M. 1980. Factors limiting the rate of dry matter in the grain of wheat grown at high temperature. *Austr J Plant Physiol* 7 : 121-141.
- Wilson, K.B., Carlson, T.N., Bunce, J.A. 1999 Feedback significantly influences the simulated effect of CO<sub>2</sub> on seasonal evapotranspiration from two agricultural species. *Global Change Biol* 5:903–917.
- Wookey, P. A., Parsons, A. N., Welker, J. M., Potter, J. A., Callaghan, T. V., Lee, J. A. and Press, M. C. 1993. Comparative responses of phenology and reproductive development to simulated environmental-change in subarctic and high arctic plants. *Oikos* 67 : 490-502.
- Yoshida, S. 1981. Fundamentals of Rice Crop Science. p 269. International Rice Research Institute, Los Banos, Philippines.
- Yoshida, S. and Parao, P. T. 1976. Climatic influence on yield and yield components of low land rice in tropics. *Proc Symposium on Climate and Rice*. Pp 471-494 IRRI, Los Banos, Manila.
- Zacharias M, Singh, SD, Kumar N, Harit R and Aggarwal PK (2010) Impact of elevated temperature at different phonological stages on growth and yield of wheat and rice. *Indian Journal of Plant Physiology* 15(4): 350-358.
- Zak, D. R., Pregitzer, K. S., Curtis, P. S., Teeri, J. A., Fogel, R. and Randlett, D. L. 1993 Elevated atmospheric  $CO_2$  and feedback between carbon and nitrogen cycles. *Plant and Soil* 151 : 105-117.
- Ziska, L. H., Teasdale, J. R. and Bunce, J. A. 1999. Future atmospheric carbon dioxide may increase tolerance to glyphosate. *Weed Science* 47 : 608-615.